CALL TO ORDER – SPECIAL USE HEARING OFFICER

ROLL CALL: Bill Ford, Hearing Officer

MINUTES: Approval of minutes from the September 3, 2013 Special use Hearing Officer Meeting

PETITIONS:

1. 13-17 Maly Poultry Processing Plant
Request A-1 Special Use
Purpose Special use for a Small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant
Location 16895 Lisbon Center Road

ADJOURN SPECIAL USE HEARING OFFICER- Next meeting on October 28, 2013
KENDALL COUNTY
SPECIAL USE HEARING OFFICER
111 WEST FOX STREET, Room 209 and 210
YORKVILLE, IL 60560
September 3, 2013 – 7:00 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER- SPECIAL USE HEARING OFFICER
At 8:30 p.m., Chairman Bill Ford called the Special Use Hearing Officer meeting to order.

ROLL CALL
Members present: Bill Ford

MINUTES
Bill Ford motioned to approve the January 28, 2013 & February 21, 2013 Special Use Hearing Officer Meeting minutes as written.

Chairman Ford swore in all members of the audience that wished to talk about the petition including the special use for a small poultry and small animal processing plant.

PETITIONS
#13-17 Maly Poultry Processing Plant
Planner Zubko stated the petitioners; Alan & Mary Maly are requesting approval of an A-1 special use to operate a small poultry and small animal processing plant. The property is located at 16895 Lisbon Center Road on the north side of Lisbon Center road about 0.3 miles east of Fennel Road. The petitioners own about 125 acres of land and are requesting 27 acres of it for the special use. The Big Grove Township board made a unanimous recommendation in favor of the petition. Planner Zubko has received a letter from the Village of Newark Trustees saying they reviewed the information and support the endeavor. The petitioners have stated that all processing will take place in enclosed building and projecting to process a maximum of 3,000 chickens a day. They will also employ about 30-35 employees probably in about 5 years. They do meet all the setback requirements. Staff has requested to start discussions with our consulting engineer with regards to their 2 proposed detention areas. There is an existing driveway the petitioner proposes to use. This road will be the jurisdiction of Big Grove Township Road Commissioner who I have spoken to and he did not feel this would be an issue. Most people will be bringing chickens in small trailers. For parking they would request tar and chip or pavers instead of asphalt. They do not anticipate a retail outlet or anything. In an average transport you can fit about 8-10 chickens per coop. The picture in the report can hold about 200 chickens. The petitioner proposes to be open from 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process Turkeys. The petitioners have stated that typically January to April are the slowest times of the year. Also typically the chickens will be dropped off in the morning, slaughtering typically takes place between 6-10am, then the customer picks up their processed chickens between 2-4pm. If the customer wants the chickens frozen they would typically pick them up the next day. The petitioner has stated they plan to have waste removed on a regular basis and have already been contacted by a rendering company in Joliet that will deal with the waste. Also it will be kept in sealed containers and picked up within 48 hours. For the well and septic there will be a combined effort. The IL EPA and the US Department of Agriculture are in charge of the water and waste from the animal by-products. Our Health department is in charge of the waste from the toilets and hand washing inside. The IDPH (IL Department of Public Health) will regulate the well used for the
processing plant. No sign is proposed at this time, if a sign is installed at a later date it must comply with the sign regulations in Section 12 and requires a building permit. Parking lot lighting will be needed unless a variance is requested. The parking lot will mainly be used by employees. The Zoning Administrator may grant an exception to agricultural (A-1) zoned properties with low traffic volume to not asphalt the parking lot. The petitioners request the parking lot be gravel instead of asphalt and staff is in support. We are waiting to see if the Department of Agricultural will be inspecting the buildings and do not know if the petitioner will be requesting inspections or going to apply as Agriculturally exempt. This property will be a USDA facility so a USDA employee will be on site when the operation is open and must be built to USDA standards. In the packet is an email from Jody and Beth Osmund in support of this proposed facility. There is another letter of support from the Illinois Stewardship Alliance and I just received and passed out a letter from Richard Durbin from the United States Senate in support and urging to give this application serious consideration. Also in the packet is a site plan and elevations of the proposed building. Staff is comfortable with this request and recommends approval with the 16 listed conditions. Also near the back of the packet is a list of concerns from Alice Marks and a map showing some homes in the area. As mentioned earlier some concerns that were brought up at the Plan Commission meeting where: traffic, noise, the property values in the area, lighting, possible protests, landscaping, driveway construction, commercial retail on the site, possible water contamination, appearance, signage and waste. At the Plan Commission condition 16 was added that states that within 5 years, 50’ of the driveway must be a minimum of tar and chipped. Staff agrees with this condition. There was also discussion from the Plan Commission of a fence on the east side of the property or some type of landscaping but they did not want to put it as a condition at this time.

Attorney Kelly Helland stated the USDA employee/inspector will be onsite whenever the operation is open and every bird will be logged that comes through the site so the staff could inspect the 21,000 average per week is being abided for. Also the petitioners would be amendable to putting up some landscaping and screening along the parking lot and other locations that might be visible to the neighbors. The elevation of the building being proposed is an agricultural façade and fits in with the surrounding area and agricultural area. They do not feel the plant will have detrimental effect to the neighbors as the operation will be completely enclosed. Just to reiterate the petitioners are not looking to seek any retail on site. They are requesting a positive recommendation.

Chairman Ford asked what the function of the USDA function is. Attorney Helland stated he inspects the cleanliness and oversee any bacterial infections. Mary Maly stated they inspect every bird to make sure they are not diseased and also look at the trailers they are brought in to make sure they’re hygienic and makes sure the site is clean. Mr. Ford asked about the process and how the waste products will be contained. Ms. Maly stated the birds are unloaded and kept in the unloading area until processing, in a shaded area to be less stressful on the birds. Once they are removed from the transport coup they are shackled, stunned, and conveyed over a trough to bleed out (which is collected and disposed of properly). The birds are then put into a scalding to loosen their feathers, then into a tumbler to remove the feathers. The birds are then hung on a separate conveyor system where the organs are removed and various stages and the bird is washed out. Then the birds are put in a chilled tank for a few hours. Once that is completed then they are packaged and put into refrigerator storage or freezer space. The organs are either saved to be packaged or in another barrel to be removed for waste products. Mr. Ford asked what will be different with this facility verse the Arthur facility. Alan Maly stated the Arthur plant was built over 15 years ago and they are Amish so they do a lot of things that need to conform to their religion. So this plant will be more up to date, will have lighting in their facility, use less water and have a little more modern machinery in this facility. Mr. Ford asked about the drain...
system. Mr. Maly stated there will be a main drain system and a separate drain for the wash down that contains harsh chemicals. Darren Poundstone stated the IL EPA will be regulating the process and permitted it. The Illinois Department of Agricultural is deciding if they also want to have a say but the minimum will be the IL EPA. There is a permit for construction and a permit for operation with the EPA. Mr. Ford asked about the water creek on site and Mr. Poundstone stated there is a swale on the property that is dry most of the time on the property. Mr. Ford asked about the well and the petitioner stated there is an existing well on site they plan to use. The EPA also requires sampling, quarterly he believed. Mr. Ford asked if there are any problems with smell. Attorney Helland stated she did not think this would be a problem since everything is done indoors. Planner Zubko stated the only area that smelled in Arthur was the unloading area which is why there is a condition it must be 400’ from a principle residence. Mr. Ford asked about the rendering company and asked if they pull into the building or how that works. Ms. Maly stated in Arthur they pick it up outside, at this facility they are not sure if it will be done inside or outside but it will only be in sealed containers.

At this time Mr. Ford opened up the public hearing with the following testimony heard:

Bill Regan is a resident of Newark and feels all residents of Newark should have been notified and is concerned about traffic. Ms. Helland said the majority of the traffic will be employee traffic and the chickens typically come from small farmers at about 200-1000 at a time. The Maly’s stated it would most likely be scattered like 1,000 chickens from 1 farmer, 500 from another and 200 from a couple more. All the chickens are scheduled; you cannot just show up and expect your chickens to be processed. They are also looking into organic processing which that would take a different day or time of the day vs. regular chickens vs. rabbits. Ms. Maly stated they are expecting around 40 trips a day, more employee traffic than farmers.

Peter Renz is also a Newark resident and has a couple questions. He asked about the odor and asked about the vented ridge roof, the well on site and concerns about the septic and retention, truck traffic and if they are going to sit there during the process, traffic overall, customers and how many, what if there is no USDA approval, disease prevention, fire prevention and is the building going to be sprinkled, when did rabbits come in? The parking lot and regards to spills and what they are going to do. He also stated why not expand the Undessors’ site as that’s poultry processing? Mr. Ford stated that is a totally separate location and up to the petitioners.

Attorney Helland stated with regards to the fire department they have not decided if it will be sprinkled yet or not. The onsite well might need to be dug deeper but that will be looked at. There will be no discharge into a sewer system. As far as the roadway goes the road is tar and chipped and does not foresee any more traffic than the current grain trucks. Some farmers may sit on site and some may wait. Planner Zubko wanted to clarify the text amendment was for the small poultry and small animal processing plant and the Maly’s are going above and beyond to have a USDA facility so they can still run without being a USDA facility.

Carol Henderson stated the road was graveled when she moved there and tar and chipped it recently, she is concerned about truck traffic. She is against this special use, she’s about a ¼ mile from this property on Fennel. She’s also concerned about the smell and worried about rats.

Matthew Marks stated he owns numerous properties around this facility. His concerns are traffic, noise, odors and this being more commercial then other types of farm. One question is are any overnight stays permitted? On the open venting have they done circulation numbers yet? He stated semi trucks will not be able to make
the turn from Fennel to Lisbon Center Road. Fennel Road is done 2-3 times per season so this will affect the road conditions. He had some questions about the lighted cupola, is it decorate? With regards to outdoor lighting are shields going to be used so it is shining downwards. He brought up landscaping and asked if there is a landscaping plan? He asked about the existing trees along the drive and if they will stay? He stated all the adjacent properties have decorative landscaping and nice entranceway. Mr. Marks asked if an entrance light will be put it so people do not drive by and miss the site. He thought garbage should be removed daily and understood that would increase the traffic. Mr. Ford stated he understood a USDA employee will be on site at all time and the process will be regulated on a day to day process. Attorney Helland stated no overnight guests will be permitted. No calculations have been done yet with regards to the open venting. With regards to the existing trees they will stay and no formal landscape plan has been drawn yet. The lighting in the cupola will be decorative and will have shields on their lights and there is no proposed parking lot lighting. With regards to waste the petitioners plan to remove it every day, the text amendment states within 48 hours for a day buffer in case it is not picked up or if there is a holiday. The petitioners do not have plans to light any signs at the driveway at this time.

Don Hartman stated a lot of the existing neighbors did not know this is going on and feels more notification needs to take place. Another concern is the traffic.

Tom Marks lives next to this property and would like to see a landscape plan approved. He is of the opinion the paved drive needs to be sooner than five years.

Planner Zubko asked Attorney Kramer if they went to any Village of Newark meetings or if the talks were internally with staff. Attorney Kramer said no formal meetings were held, they only talked to staff, no hearing was held at the Village of Newark.

Matthew Marks started hearing rumors about this plant about a year ago and did not receive information from the Village of Newark. Planner Zubko stated for the record the County received this application May 28, 2013 so we were not aware of this for a year.

Alice Marks’ letter was put on the record.

Attorney Kelly Helland wanted to submit in evidence the mechanical systems. She also stated she feels this will have very little impact compared to some other agricultural business permitted by right and not going to store ammonia on site. This will also add about 30 jobs in the next 5-10 years and also provide a service to local farmers and businesses.

With no further testimony Bill Ford closed the public hearing.

Special Use Hearing Officer Ford reviewed the Findings of Fact for a special use as follows:

§ 13.08.J of the Zoning Ordinance outlines findings that the Hearing Officer must make in order to grant a special use. Staff has answered as follows:

That the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare. If the conditions are adhered to
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the establishment, maintenance, and operation of the special use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare. The testimony has shown that the process as outlined by the petitioners will be a state of the art operation, there will be a USDA employee on site during the operations and ensure the public health and safety and monitoring of the employees and premises.

That the special use will not be substantially injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood. The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question shall be considered in determining consistency with this standard. The proposed use shall make adequate provisions for appropriate buffers, landscaping, fencing, lighting, building materials, open space and other improvements necessary to insure that the proposed use does not adversely impact adjacent uses and is compatible with the surrounding area and/or the County as a whole. The entire operation will take place within a building and the unloading area must be at least 400’ from any principle structure on an adjoining lot. The zoning in the general area is agricultural which this process fits in with that classification.

That adequate utilities, access roads and points of ingress and egress, drainage, and/or other necessary facilities have been or are being provided. The special use will be utilizing the existing driveway and drainage will be closely evaluated when the engineering drawings are assessed.

That the special use shall in all other respects conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may in each instance be modified by the County Board pursuant to the recommendation of the Hearing Officer. The EPA, Illinois Department of Health, Kendall County Health Department and the Kendall County Building Department all have jurisdiction over different aspects of the special use and the regulations must be followed and adhered to.

That the special use is consistent with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies. The review and action to be taken on the special use petition will coincide with a proposed text amendment (Petition 13-16) that will permit a small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant as a special use in the A-1 District. The special use proposal will comply with all proposed conditions attached to the proposed text amendment.

Bill Ford made a favorable recommendation with this petition, with the above modified findings of fact and the 16 conditions specified on the special use. Mr. Ford wanted to state he is of the opinion 5 years is too long of a time.

**ADJOURNMENT**
Chairman Bill Ford adjourned the Special Use Hearing Officer meeting at 10:00 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,
Angela L. Zubko
Senior Planner & Recording Secretary
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, BUILDING & ZONING
111 West Fox Street • Room 204
Yorkville, IL • 60560
(630) 553-4141  Fax (630) 553-4179

13-17
MALY POULTRY PROCESSING
A-1 SPECIAL USE

SITE INFORMATION
PETITIONERS  Alan & Mary Maly
ADDRESS  16895 Lisbon Center Road
LOCATION  On the north side of Lisbon Center Road about 0.32 miles east of Fennel Road

TOWNSHIP  Big Grove
PARCEL #  07-08-100-011
SIZE  27 Acres of a 124.77 total acres
EXISTING LAND USE  Agricultural/Farming
ZONING  A-1 Agricultural

LRMP
Land Use  County: Agricultural; Village of Newark: ?
Roads  Lisbon Center Road is a Big Grove Township Roadway and is designated as a local rural road
Trails  There are no trails shown for this area
Other  There are no wetlands or floodplain on this property

REQUESTED ACTION  The petitioner is requesting approval of an A-1 Special Use Permit to operate a Small Poultry & Small animal Processsing Plant.

APPLICABLE  Pet. 13-16 (Text amendment to allow this as a special use in the A-1 district)
### REGULATIONS

§ 11.00 Off-street Parking and Loading  
§ 13.08 of the Zoning Ordinance(Special Uses)

### SURROUNDING LAND USE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Adjacent Land Use</th>
<th>Adjacent Zoning</th>
<th>LRMP</th>
<th>Zoning within ½ Mile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Agricultural/Farming</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>Newark &amp; A-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Agricultural/Farming</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>A-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Agricultural/Farming</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>A-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Agricultural/Farming</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>A-1; LaSalle County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PHYSICAL DATA

**ENDANGERED SPECIES REPORT**
The Illinois Natural Heritage Database contains no record of State-listed threatened or endangered species, Illinois Natural Area Inventory sites, dedicated Illinois Nature Preserves, or registered Land and Water Reserves in the vicinity of the project location.

**NATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY**
The NRI indicates that 67.4% of the soils on this site are soils that are classified as being prime farmland and the most agronomically productive. 100% of the soils are very limited for local roads and streets; and 15.9% are very limited for shallow excavation. The site is located within the Fox River Watershed and Roods Creek Subwatershed. A drainage tile survey is recommended to be completed on the parcel.

- Land Evaluation: 90
- Site Assessment: 113
- TOTAL: 203
- Level of Protection: Medium

### ACTION SUMMARY

**TOWNSHIP (Big Grove)**
It was discussed at the July 16, 2013 Big Grove Township Board and received an unanimous recommendation in favor of the petition.

**MUNICIPAL (Village of Newark)**
Received a letter dated August 5, 2013 stating the Village of Newark Trustees reviewed the information and support the endeavor.

**ZPAC (7.1.13)**
At the time of ZPAC there were a lot of open ended questions but they still made a favorable recommendation.

**RPC (8.28.13)**
The Plan Commission made a favorable recommendation and added a condition that within 5 years, 50' of the driveway must be a minimum of tar and chipped and modified the condition to not allow retail sales on site instead of the previous wording. The main concerns brought up at this meeting were: the notification process, public hearing sign size, traffic, noise, the property values in the area, lighting, possible protests, landscaping, driveway construction, commercial retail on the site, possible water contamination, appearance, signage and waste.

**SUHO (9.3.13)**
Bill Ford made a favorable recommendation to approve the special use. Also Mr. Ford felt the 5 year time limit to pave 50' is too long of a timeline. The main concerns brought up at this meeting once again was the notification process and feels all residents of Newark should be notified, traffic, noise, smell, disease...
prevention, the well, septic, retention ponds on site, fire prevention, hazardous spills, rats, entrance appearance, landscaping, roadway conditions and lighting.

REQUESTED ACTION
GENERAL
The petitioner is requesting approval of an A-1 Special Use Permit to operate a small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant.

The petitioner has stated that all processing will take place in enclosed buildings. They are projecting to process a maximum of 3,000 chickens per day. They also will have a maximum of 30-35 employees.

SETBACKS
From the proposed site plan all setbacks will be met for the building and parking lot.

STORMWATER DETENTION
Staff has requested to start discussions with our consulting engineer with regards to their 2 proposed detention areas.

ACCESS/ROADWAY
This road will be the jurisdiction of Big Grove Township Road Commissioner who I have spoken to and he did not feel this would be an issue. Most people will be bringing chickens in small trailers. For parking they would request tar and chip or pavers instead of asphalt. They do not anticipate a retail outlet or anything.

TRANSPORTATION
In an average transport you can fit about 8-10 chickens per coop. The transport below can hold about 200 chickens.
HOURS OF OPERATION
The petitioner proposes to be open from 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process Turkeys. The petitioners have stated that typically January to April are the slowest times of the year. Also typically the chickens will be dropped off in the morning, slaughtering typically takes place between 6-10am, then the customer picks up their processed chickens between 2-4pm. If the customer wants the chickens frozen they would typically pick them up the next day.

WASTE
The petitioner has stated they plan to have waste removed on a regular basis and have already been contacted by a rendering company in Joliet that will deal with the waste. Also it will be kept in sealed containers and picked up within 48 hours.

WATER/SEPTIC
The EPA and USDA will be in charge of the wastewater for animal products and the Health Department will be in charge of domestic waste and water. The IDPH will also regulate the well used for processing. Also staff is concerned about the waterway that runs on this property and if that could be contaminated in the future from this operation but that will be looked at more carefully while reviewing the engineering drawings.

SIGNAGE
No sign is proposed at this time, if a sign is installed at a later date it must comply with the sign regulations in Section 12 and requires a building permit.

LIGHTING
There will be security lighting on the building that will be shielded.

PARKING
The parking will mainly be used for employees as typically people will drop off their live chickens and pick up the processed chickens later that day. The Zoning Administrator may grant an exception in the agricultural (A-1) from the provision of having a hard surfaced parking lot where such uses generate low traffic volume. Handicapped parking stalls within the A-1 district shall be improved with a permanent, concrete, unit paver or asphalt surface and shall also provide a hard surface to the entrance of the structure a minimum of 6 feet wide. The petitioners request the parking lot be gravel instead of asphalt and staff is in support.

BUILDING CODES
The building inspector has not provided comments on this petition yet. We are waiting to see if the Department of Agricultural will be inspecting the buildings and do not know if the petitioner will be requesting inspections or going to apply as Agriculturally exempt.

FINDINGS OF FACT
§ 13.08.J of the Zoning Ordinance outlines findings that the Hearing Officer must make in order to grant a special use. Staff has answered as follows:

That the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare. If the conditions are adhered to the establishment, maintenance, and operation of the special use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare. The testimony has shown that the process as outlined by the petitioners will be a state of the art operation, there will be a USDA employee on site during the operations and ensure the public health and safety and monitoring of the employees and premises.

That the special use will not be substantially injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood. The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in
question shall be considered in determining consistency with this standard. The proposed use shall make adequate provisions for appropriate buffers, landscaping, fencing, lighting, building materials, open space and other improvements necessary to insure that the proposed use does not adversely impact adjacent uses and is compatible with the surrounding area and/or the County as a whole. The entire operation will take place within a building and the unloading area must be at least 400' from any principle structure on an adjoining lot. The zoning in the general area is agricultural which this process fits in with that classification.

That adequate utilities, access roads and points of ingress and egress, drainage, and/or other necessary facilities have been or are being provided. The special use will be utilizing the existing driveway and drainage will be closely evaluated when the engineering drawings are assessed.

That the special use shall in all other respects conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may in each instance be modified by the County Board pursuant to the recommendation of the Hearing Officer. The EPA, Illinois Department of Health, Kendall County Health Department and the Kendall County Building Department all have jurisdiction over different aspects of the special use and the regulations must be followed and adhered to.

That the special use is consistent with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies. The review and action to be taken on the special use petition will coincide with a proposed text amendment (Petition 13-16) that will permit a small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant as a special use in the A-1 District. The special use proposal will comply with all proposed conditions attached to the proposed text amendment.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff is comfortable with this request and recommends approval. If approved, Staff recommends the following conditions be placed on the controlling ordinance approving the A-1 Special Use:

1. A maximum of 21,000 units a week.
2. Facilities (the unloading area) must be located at least 400' from any principle structure.
3. No rendering may take place on the site.
4. Live animals may be held on the site for no more than twenty-four (24) hours.
5. All slaughtering/processing permitted only in an enclosed building.
6. The hours of operation are to be 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process turkeys.
7. In no event can poultry produced be sold for retail or wholesale by the processor on the processing site.
8. Parking shall be in accordance with Section 11 of the Zoning Ordinance including lighting.
9. All Applicable Federal, State and County rules and regulations shall apply.
10. Other such conditions as approved by the County Board.
11. Waste, by-products or any decomposable residue which results from the slaughtering of animals must be kept in a sealed container and picked up within 48 hours.
12. All signage shall comply with the provisions of Section 12 of the...
Kendall County Zoning Ordinance (Sign Regulations)
13. Shall satisfy all requirements of the Kendall County Health Department and Building Department prior to the issuance of occupancy permits.
14. Performance Standards. All activities shall conform to the performance standards set forth in section 10.01.G.
15. Engineering drawings including stormwater must be approved before a building permit can be released.
16. Within 5 years, 50’ of the driveway must be a minimum of tar and chipped.
17. Kendall County staff will have access to the log books kept in house to verify the limits are being maintained with regards to how many animals are processed weekly.

Attachments:
1. ZPAC Meeting minutes on 7.1.13
2. RPC Meeting minutes on 8.28.13
3. Special Use Hearing Officer minutes on 9.3.13
4. Letter of support from Jody & Beth Osmund
5. Letter of support from the Illinois Stewardship Alliance
6. Letter of support from Richard Durbin
7. Letter from Alice Marks with her concerns
8. Map made by Mathew Marks showing what’s in the area
9. Brower World Class Processing Equipment brochure
10. Draft Ordinance
11. Site Plan
12. Preliminary Concept Elevations
Planner Angela Zubko called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m.

Present:
Negan Andrews – Soil & Water Conservation District
Aaron Rybski – Health Department
Fran Klaas - County Highway Department
Phil Smith – Sheriff’s Office
Amy Cesich – PBZ Member
Angela Zubko – PBZ Senior Planner

Absent:
Greg Chismark – Wills Burke Kelsey
Jason Petit- Forest Preserve

Also present:
Attorney Daniel Kramer
Alan & Mary Maly (Petitioners)
Darrell Poundstone (Renwick and Associates, in Ottawa)

AGENDA

A motion was made by Amy Cesich to approve the agenda, Fran Klaas seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion carried.

MINUTES

Aaron Rybski made a motion, seconded by Fran Klaas, to approve the June 3, 2013 meeting minutes. All were in favor and the motion carried.

PETITIONS

#13-16 Poultry processing plant and slaughtering
Planner Zubko stated this is the text amendment to allow a poultry processing plant as a special use in the A-1 district and check the language of slaughtering in other sections. This text amendment directly relates to Petition 13-17. Planner Zubko stated she thought about changing the language of slaughter houses as that is not commonly used anymore but decided to keep it as that’s the language the state still uses. The text will be talked about more at Ad-hoc at the end of the month but they wanted it to go to ZPAC to get their comments and also hear from the Health Department. Planner Zubko read through the conditions and the following suggestions were made:
Condition h might be too limiting especially for small shops like in Lisbon
Condition m might also be too hard for some people to adhere to.

To help aid in the discussion on the text Planner Zubko went through Petition 13-17 at this time:

#13-17 Maly Poultry Processing Plant
Planner Zubko stated the petitioners; Alan & Mary Maly are requesting approval of an A-1 special use to operate a poultry and small animal processing plant. The property is located at 16895 Lisbon Center Road on the north side of Lisbon Center road about 0.3 miles east of Fennel Road. The petitioners own about 125 acres of land and are requesting 27 acres of it for the special use. The petitioners have stated that all processing will take place in enclosed buildings and projecting to process a maximum of 3,000 chickens a day. They will also employ about 30-35 employees. Once again staff has many questions the petitioners will be answering at ad-hoc or before ad-hoc to help aid in a decision to recommend.
approval of the special use. Some of the questions were about wastewater, who has authority, unloading of the chickens, how garbage will be handled, deliveries and inspections.

Attorney Daniel Kramer introduced himself and the owners/future operators of the property. Mr. Kramer wanted to talk a little about the text. He thought 20 acres might be a hindrance for small processing like Lisbon. Mr. Kramer asked if it’s 200’ from the property line or from where the processing will take place? These don’t effect this special use but possible others that exist. Mr. Kramer stated near Thanksgiving or Christmas they might do more than 3,000 but on an average day probably will do way less than 3,000 chickens. Holiday hours might vary and quantities. As long as there is some flexibility they do not see an issue with putting the amount of chickens in the Special use. With regards to regulations it is the IL Department of Agriculture and the USDA, they only oversee the processing and machinery, not building. Building and water is all under local authorities.

Mr. Kramer briefly discussed about the Village of Newark concern about annexing the property and having to take ownership of the roadways. They will be going to the Village of Newark’s meeting on July 10th but does not think there will be any issues. They have also notified the township and talked to the road commission and no issues have been brought up to date.

Most people will be bringing chickens in small trailers. For parking they would request tar and chip or pavers instead of asphalt. They do not anticipate a retail outlet or anything.

Alan & Mary Maly came up to the podium to help aid with questions. Mrs. Mary Maly stated with regards to waste, it really depends on volume but definitely pick up on a regular basis. If they have a large quantity of chickens it could be on a daily basis. It would be in a covered trash cans stored inside the building. Blood, feathers and bones are separated and disposed of. Due to the location of the site they will not be using anything on their fields but it’s possible to compost everything and spread onto fields.

Darrel Poundstone with Renwick and Associates (consulting engineer out of Ottawa) stated with regards to the BOD’s (biochemical oxygen demand), the expected BOD’s to come through the waste water system is about 1/3 of what you’d see coming out of a small restaurant. The petitioners will be using a standard underground septic system that will be to the northeast of the property. The exact location will not be determined until the soil borings are competed.

Mr. Rybski asked if it was going to be a combined system with regards to bathrooms and the washout/clean up from the operation. The reason he asked is because EPA has rules and restrictions with regards to slaughtering operations. Domestic waste is permitted by the health department but not the other waste. The petitioner said ideally they would like to combine them but whatever the regulations are they will follow. There is a 6” well on site and they are already talking to a well contractor. On the concept plan the engineer is showing detention on the downstream sides of the property. The USDA does have standards for the building. State inspected facilities can sell only within state and the USDA permits interstate. USDA facilities have inspector at all times. There was talk about deliveries and the petitioner stated that a semi truck holds 30,000 chickens. They expect to have people with flatbeds/hay wagons or car haulers that hold about 1,000 chickens. In an average transport you can fit about 8-10 chickens per coop. The petitioners will bring in an example to ad-hoc to help educate. With regards to operations they might want to look at 5:30am due to heat, typically you slaughter from 6-10am. The petitioners stated that typically January to April are the slowest times of years. Typically pick up is from about 2-4pm. If they want the chickens frozen they would typically pick up the next day.

Planner Zubko stated it seems like conditions a, b, c, h, j and m need to be looked at further. Mr. Klaas suggested maybe making a weekly average so there is some give and take in the regulations.
Petition 13-16
With no further discussion Megan Andrews made a motion, seconded by Aaron Rybski to forward the petition with the suggestion changes and recommend approval to the Plan Commission. All were in favor.

Petition 13-17
With no further discussion Phil Smith made a motion, seconded by Amy Cesich to forward the petition and recommend approval to the Plan Commission. All were in favor.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD
13-08 ZPAC Definition- changes are shown in the packet, approved on June 18th
13-13 Steven & Lori Seeler- approved as is on June 18th

Going to Board on July 16th:
12-03 Land Cash Ordinance
13-11 Any text related to guns or target practice
13-15 Subdivision Control Ordinance

PUBLIC COMMENT: There were no audience members to comment.

OLD BUSINESS: None

NEW BUSINESS: None

AJOURNMENT - Next meeting on August 5, 2013
With no further business to discuss Fran Klaas made a motion, seconded by Aaron Rybski to adjourn the meeting at 9:53 a.m. The motion carried.

Submitted by,
Angela L. Zubko
Senior Planner
KENDALL COUNTY
REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Kendall County Office Building
Rooms 209 & 210
111 W. Fox Street, Yorkville, Illinois

Meeting Minutes of August 28, 2013
(Unofficial until Approved)

Chairman Bill Ashton called the meeting to order at 7:04 pm.

ROLL CALL
Members Present: Chair Bill Ashton, Tom Casey, Bill Lavine, Vern Poppen, Tim Sidles, Claire Wilson, Walter Werderich, Budd Wormley and 1 vacancy (Big Grove)
Others present: Senior Planner Angela Zubko
Members Absent: Larry Nelson
In the Audience: Mary & Alan Maly, Desiree Edwards, Jody Osmund, Alice marks, Tina Suomi, Mathew Marks, Darrell Poundstone, Attorney Kelly Helland & Randy Mohr.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA
Claire Wilson made a motion to approve the agenda as written. Budd Wormley seconded the motion. All were in favor and the agenda was approved

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Claire Wilson made a motion to approve the minutes from June 26, 2013, Bill Lavine seconded the motion. All were in favor and the minutes were approved.

SPECIAL RECOGNITION
Chairman Bill Ashton wanted to present Randy Mohr with a recognition award for 21 years on the Plan Commission and thanked him for his time. Randy is now on the Zoning Board of Appeals. The audience applauded.

PETITIONS
#13-16 Poultry processing plant and slaughtering
Planner Zubko stated this is the text amendment to allow a small poultry and small animal processing plant as a special use in the A-1 district. Planner Zubko went through the memo and stated we are looking to add a definition of a small poultry and small animal processing plant and rendering. Planner Zubko went through all 14 conditions proposed. She stated the process to process chickens is at the end of the memo. She also stated she visiting a poultry processing plant and thought it was quite efficient, they had about 25 employees and about 2,500 chickens a day.

To help aid in the discussion on the text Planner Zubko went through Petition 13-17 at this time and stated all the same conditions are placed on the special use.
#13-17 Maly Poultry Processing Plant
Planner Zubko stated the petitioners; Alan & Mary Maly are requesting approval of an A-1 special use to operate a small poultry and small animal processing plant. The property is located at 16895 Lisbon Center Road on the north side of Lisbon Center road about 0.3 miles east of Fennel Road. The petitioners own about 125 acres of land and are requesting 27 acres of it for the special use. The Big Grove Township board made a unanimous recommendation in favor of the petition. Planner Zubko has received a letter from the Village of Newark Trustees saying they reviewed the information and support the endeavor. The petitioners have stated that all processing will take place in enclosed buildings and projecting to process a maximum of 3,000 chickens a day. They will also employ about 30-35 employees. They do meet all the setback requirements. Staff has requested to start discussions with our consulting engineer with regards to their 2 proposed detention areas. There is an existing driveway the petitioner proposes to use. This road will be the jurisdiction of Big Grove Township Road Commissioner who I have spoken to and he did not feel this would be an issue. Most people will be bringing chickens in small trailers. For parking they would request tar and chip or pavers instead of asphalt. They do not anticipate a retail outlet or anything. In an average transport you can fit about 8-10 chickens per coop. The picture in the report can hold about 200 chickens. The petitioner proposes to be open from 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process Turkeys. The petitioners have stated that typically January to April are the slowest times of the year. Also typically the chickens will be dropped off in the morning, slaughtering typically takes place between 6-10am, then the customer picks up their processed chickens between 2-4pm. If the customer wants the chickens frozen they would typically pick them up the next day. The petitioner has stated they plan to have waste removed on a regular basis and have already been contacted by a rendering company in Joliet that will deal with the waste. Also it will be kept in sealed containers and picked up within 48 hours. For the well and septic there will be a combined effort. The IL EPA and the US Department of Agriculture are in charge of the water and waste from the animal by-products. Our Health department is in charge of the waste from the toilets and hand washing instead. The IDPH (IL Department of Public Health) will regulate the well used for the processing plant. No sign is proposed at this time, if a sign is installed at a later date it must comply with the sign regulations in Section 12 and requires a building permit. Parking lot lighting will be needed unless a variance is requested. The parking lot will mainly be used by employees. The Zoning Administrator may grant an exception to agricultural (A-1) zoned properties with low traffic volume to not asphalt the parking lot. The petitioners request the parking lot be gravel instead of asphalt and staff is in support. The building inspector has not provided comments on this petition yet. We are waiting to see if the Department of Agricultural
will be inspecting the buildings and do not know if the petitioner will be requesting inspections or going to apply as Agriculturally exempt. This property will be a USDA facility so a USDA employee will be on site when the operation is open. In the packet is an email from Jody and Beth Osmund in support of this proposed facility. There is another letter of support from the Illinois Stewardship Alliance. Also in the packet is a site plan and elevations of the proposed building. Staff is comfortable with this request and recommends approval with the 15 listed conditions.

Ms. Wilson asked why this use would be limited to not allow retail or wholesale to restaurants or middlemen. Planner Zubko stated that was something from ad-hoc and they wanted it to be more local and not allow retail on site.

Bill Lavine wanted to clarify the process and retail condition. The Commission might need to clarify this condition or take it out so we are protecting them to make sure they are not in violation.

Jody Osmund wanted to clarify the condition as well to state the petitions will not engage in retail sale as he’s a farmer and does distribute his chickens to sell and will be using this facility.

Desiree Edwards asked if the special use follows the land or owners. Mr. Ashton stated it would only be for this parcel.

Mr. Ashton asked if the number they process recorded by the USDA? Mr. Maly stated they have to keep something called total records in the books for the USDA. Every chicken that comes in has to be recorded into the books including daily tallies. There was discussion if year logs need to be given to Planner Zubko? Planner Zubko stated she thought if there was a question she could ask for the logs or tell them to prove how many chickens a week or year they are producing. The petitioners were fine with whatever condition was placed on the ordinance.

Tom Marks asked what facility Planner Zubko visited. Planner Zubko stated she visited Central Illinois Poultry Processing in Arthur which is also a USDA site. There was discussion that the Undesser’s is not a USDA site.

Mathew Marks owns property around this property. He stated he has talked to many neighbors and this is the first time he’s heard about this. He asked the legal way to notify the neighbors. Mr. Ashton stated it’s the adjacent land owners (from the special use), in the paper and a public hearing sign. Mr. Marks stated the public hearing sign is quite small to read. Mr. Marks passed out a map showing surrounding homes and wanted to know what this special use will mean for the area. Mr. Ashton stated anything in the town of Newark, Kendall County does not have any control over. Anything in Big Grove Township on the comprehensive plan shows the area will remain agricultural. This is a special use in the A-1 Agricultural district. Planner Zubko stated
this will look like an agricultural operation. Mr. Marks also had concerns about lighting on site. Mr. Marks asked what would happen if there were protests on site. He also asked about landscaping and the driveway. Mr. Ashton stated the Sheriff's office would have to deal with protestors if needed. Mr. Marks also stated a lot of the neighbor's have concrete driveways and would like to keep aesthetics of the neighborhood. He was also concerned about having commercial on the site or setting precedence. His last questions was about the environment and impacts. Mr. Lavine stated the soils were tested with the Natural resource inventory and the IL database was access to see if there were any endangered species (which there are none.)

Mr. Marks asked what the Plan Commission's obligations are here, Mr. Lavine stated it is to weigh both sides of the issue and take a vote to recommend approval or recommend denial to the County Board. Mr. Ashton stated he understands what he's saying and whether it's a poultry processing plant verse any other farm operation.

Mr. Randy Mohr stated if we want to put condition 'g' it should only be only on the special use and not the text. He also stated there's been a turkey processing plant for over 35 years and there has never been a protest.

Jody Osmund wanted to discuss the appropriateness of the scale and its community. It will help preserve farms on a less industrial scale. His farm has produced about 3,000 birds and travels to Arthur for processing. Their farm will be more viable with a close processing plant. He supports this small-scale type of production and their endeavors.

Alice Marks who owns the property right next door has a couple concerns and passed out a paper listing her concerns. Some concerns were how it would affect her property values? She feels maybe we're underestimating the traffic to this property due to employees and farmers dropping off their chickens. She asked if maybe the customers can use Fennel Road instead of Townhouse Road. She's concerned about the possibility of contamination to her well. She also is worried what is will look like as it would be right out her window. Lighting at night is a concern and signage. Mr. Ashton stated the lighting could not spill over onto the next property and the sign could only be a maximum of 32 square feet.

Matt Marks stated he has a 6-horse trailer that cannot make a turn from Fennel onto Lisbon Center Road. He just wanted to mention that could be an issue. Mr. Ashton stated that would be up to the road commissioner.

Desiree Edwards asked a question about annexation with regards to the sewers. Attorney Kramer stated there is no discussion about annexation and does not believe the sewers could even accommodate this site.

Ms. Kramer wanted to state with this being a special use another land owner could not use this petition as precedence if it is approved. It's very specific to this property. Also the 30 employees is in the future, 5-15 is ideal at this time. She also stated there is a lot
of traffic on Townhouse Road. She also feels because this is a USDA site there are a lot more regulations than typically on a barn or other type of facility.

Mr. Casey asked about waste, Ms. Kramer stated it would be kept inside until picked up.

Mr. Sidles asked if there was any discussion on the parking lot or screening. Ms. Kramer stated currently they do not have a fence or anything proposed but she can discuss it with her clients.

Mr. Sidles asked planner Zubko about noise. Planner Zubko stated the only noise would be chickens that are waiting to be slaughtered.

Ms. Wilson is encouraged about the possible employment and helping the surrounding farmers. She thinks this fits in and will be un-obtrusive to the neighbors.

Petition 13-16 recommendation
Ms. Wilson recommended approval of the text amendment with the deletion of condition 'g'. Mr. Werderich seconded the motion. With a roll call vote all were in favor of the text amendment as modified.

Mr. Ashton asked how many cars a day do the petitioners propose. Mr. Maly stated in 5 years they could be at maximum capacity with 30 employees. There could be 6-8 customers a day. Probably a maximum of 80 trips total a day including supplies, customers and employees. Mr. Ashton is asking due to possibly paving the apron of the driveway. Ms. Wilson does not see the need for pavement at the end of the driveway. She does recommend in the interest of being a good neighbor to possibly put something into the plans for asphalt between the parking lot and neighbors. Mr. Maly thought about berming around some of the property. Mr. Sidles would like something in writing for something to be done but keep it pretty open. There was talk about adding a condition about asphalting the end of the driveway within 5 years. Ms. Kramer stated her clients have not discussed it at length but it is not something her petitioners would like to do. Lisbon Center Road is a tar and chip roadway and the majority of the traffic is going to be cars except the rendering truck most likely. Ms. Osmond has been to the Arthur plant and has not seen it being an issue. Tom Marks stated if the petitioners will work with them they can be very good neighbors.

Petition 13-17 recommendation
Claire Wilson made a motion to approve petition 13-17 subject to the modified conditions with are the modification of number 7 to state in no event can poultry produced be sold for retail or wholesale by the processor on the processing site and add a condition that within 5 years, 50' of the driveway must be minimum tar and chipped. Tom Casey made a second. With a roll call vote all were in favor.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD
Chairman Ford swore in all members of the audience that wished to talk about the petition including the special use for a small poultry and small animal processing plant.

PETITIONS
#13-17 Maly Poultry Processing Plant
Planner Zubko stated the petitioners; Alan & Mary Maly are requesting approval of an A-1 special use to operate a small poultry and small animal processing plant. The property is located at 16895 Lisbon Center Road on the north side of Lisbon Center road about 0.3 miles east of Fennel Road. The petitioners own about 125 acres of land and are requesting 27 acres of it for the special use. The Big Grove Township board made a unanimous recommendation in favor of the petition. Planner Zubko has received a letter from the Village of Newark Trustees saying they reviewed the information and support the endeavor. The petitioners have stated that all processing will take place in enclosed building and projecting to process a maximum of 3,000 chickens a day. They will also employ about 30-35 employees probably in about 5 years. They do meet all the setback requirements. Staff has requested to start discussions with our consulting engineer with regards to their 2 proposed detention areas. There is an existing driveway the petitioner proposes to use. This road will be the jurisdiction of Big Grove Township Road Commissioner who I have spoken to and he did not feel this would be an issue. Most people will be bringing chickens in small trailers. For parking they would request tar and chip or pavers instead of asphalt. They do not anticipate a retail outlet or anything. In an average transport you can fit about 8-10 chickens per coop. The picture in the report can hold about 200 chickens. The petitioner proposes to be open from 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process Turkeys. The petitioners have stated that typically January to April are the slowest times of the year. Also typically the chickens will be dropped off in the morning, slaughtering typically takes place between 6-10am, then the customer picks up their processed chickens between 2-4pm. If the customer wants the chickens frozen they would typically pick them up the next day. The petitioner has stated they plan to have waste removed on a regular basis and have already been contacted by a rendering company in Joliet that will deal with the waste. Also it will be kept in sealed containers and picked up within 48 hours. For the well and septic there will be a combined effort. The IL EPA and the US Department of Agriculture are in charge of the water and waste from the animal by-products. Our Health department is in charge of the waste from the toilets and hand washing inside. The IDPH (IL Department of Public Health) will regulate the well used for the processing plant. No sign is proposed at this time, if a sign is installed at a later date it must comply with the sign regulations in Section 12 and requires a building permit. Parking lot lighting will be needed unless a variance is requested. The parking lot will mainly be used by employees. The Zoning Administrator may grant an exception to agricultural (A-1) zoned properties with low traffic volume to not asphalt the parking lot. The petitioners request the parking lot be gravel instead of asphalt and staff is in support. We are waiting to see if the Department of Agricultural will be inspecting the buildings and do not know if the petitioner will be requesting inspections or going to apply as Agriculturally exempt. This property will be a USDA facility so a USDA employee will be on site when the operation is open and must be built to USDA standards. In the packet is an email from Jody and Beth Osmund in support of this proposed facility. There is another letter of support from the Illinois Stewardship Alliance and I just received and passed out a letter from Richard Durbin from the United States Senate in support and urging to give this application serious consideration. Also in the packet is a site plan and elevations of the proposed building. Staff is comfortable with this request and recommends approval with the 16 listed conditions. Also near the back of the packet is a list of concerns from Alice Marks and a map showing some homes in the area. As mentioned earlier some concerns that were brought up at the Plan Commission meeting where: traffic, noise, the property values in the area, lighting, possible protests, landscaping, driveway construction, commercial retail on the site, possible water
contamination, appearance, signage and waste. At the Plan Commission condition 16 was added that states that within 5 years, 50’ of the driveway must be a minimum of tar and chipped. Staff agrees with this condition. There was also discussion from the Plan Commission of a fence on the east side of the property or some type of landscaping but they did not want to put it as a condition at this time.

Attorney Kelly Helland stated the USDA employee/inspector will be onsite whenever the operation is open and every bird will be logged that comes through the site so the staff could inspect the 21,000 average per week is being abided for. Also the petitioners would be amendable to putting up some landscaping and screening along the parking lot and other locations that might be visible to the neighbors. The elevation of the building being proposed is an agricultural façade and fits in with the surrounding area and agricultural area. They do not feel the plant will have detrimental effect to the neighbors as the operation will be completely enclosed. Just to reiterate the petitioners are not looking to seek any retail on site. They are requesting a positive recommendation.

Chairman Ford asked what the function of the USDA function is. Attorney Helland stated he inspects the cleanliness and oversee any bacterial infections. Mary Maly stated they inspect every bird to make sure they are not diseased and also look at the trailers they are brought in to makes sure they’re hygienic and makes sure the site is clean. Mr. Ford asked about the process and how the waste products will be contained. Ms. Maly stated the birds are unloaded and kept in the unloading area until processing, in a shaded area to be less stressful on the birds. Once they are removed from the transport coup they are shackled, stunned, and conveyed over a trough to bleed out (which is collected and disposed of properly). The birds are then put into a scaldor to loosen their feathers, then into a tumbler to remove the feathers. The birds are then hung on a separate conveyor system where the organs are removed and various stages and the bird is washed out. Then the birds are put in a chilled tank for a few hours. Once that is completed then they are packaged and put into refrigerator storage or freezer space. The organs are either saved to be packaged or in another barrel to be removed for waste products. Mr. Ford asked what will be different with this facility verse the Arthur facility. Allen Maly stated the Arthur plant was built over 15 years ago and they are Amish so they do a lot of things that need to conform to their religion. So this plant will be more up to date, will have lighting in their facility, use less water and have a little more modern machinery in this facility. Mr. Ford asked about the drain system. Mr. Maly stated there will be a main drain system and a separate drain for the wash down that contains harsh chemicals. Darren Poundstone stated the IL EPA will be regulating the process and permitted it. The Illinois Department of Agricultural is deciding if they also want to have a say but the minimum will be the IL EPA. There is a permit for construction and a permit for operation with the EPA. Mr. Ford asked about the water creek on site and Mr. Poundstone stated there is a swale on the property that is dry most of the time on the property. Mr. Ford asked about the well and the petitioner stated there is an existing well on site they plan to use. The EPA also requires sampling, quarterly he believed. Mr. Ford asked if there are any problems with smell. Attorney Helland stated she did not think this would be a problem since everything is done indoors. Planner Zubko stated the only area that smelled in Arthur was the unloading area which is why there is a condition it must be 400’ from a principle residence. Mr. Ford asked about the rendering company and asked if they pull into the building or how that works. Ms. Maly stated in Arthur they pick it up outside, at this facility they are not sure if it will be done inside or outside but it will only be in sealed containers.

At this time Mr. Ford opened up the public hearing with the following testimony heard:
Bill Regan is a resident of Newark and feels all residents of Newark should have been notified and is concerned about traffic. Ms. Helland said the majority of the traffic will be employee traffic and the chickens typically come from small farmers at about 200-1000 at a time. The Maly’s stated it would most likely be scattered like 1,000 chickens from 1 farmer, 500 from another and 200 from a couple more. All the chickens are scheduled; you cannot just show up and expect your chickens to be processed. They are also looking into organic processing which that would take a different day or time of the day vs. regular chickens vs. rabbits. Ms. Maly stated they are expecting around 40 trips a day, more employee traffic than farmers.

Peter Renz is also a Newark resident and has a couple questions. He asked about the odor and asked about the vented ridge roof, the well on site and concerns about the septic and retention, truck traffic and if they are going to sit there during the process, traffic overall, customers and how many, what if there is no USDA approval, disease prevention, fire prevention and is the building going to be sprinkled, when did rabbits come in? The parking lot and regards to spills and what they are going to do. He also stated why not expand the Undessors’ site as that’s poultry processing? Mr. Ford stated that is a totally separate location and up to the petitioners.

Attorney Helland stated with regards to the fire department they have not decided if it will be sprinkled yet or not. The onsite well might need to be dug deeper but that will be looked at. There will be no discharge into a sewer system. As far as the roadway goes the road is tar and chipped and does not foresee any more traffic than the current grain trucks. Some farmers may sit on site and some may wait. Planner Zubko wanted to clarify the text amendment was for the small poultry and small animal processing plant and the Maly’s are going above and beyond to have a USDA facility so they can still run without being a USDA facility.

Carol Henderson stated the road was graveled when she moved there and tar and chipped it recently, she is concerned about truck traffic. She is against this special use, she’s about a ¼ mile from this property on Fennel. She’s also concerned about the smell and worried about rats.

Matthew Marks stated he owns numerous properties around this facility. His concerns are traffic, noise, odors and this being more commercial then other types of farm. One question is are any overnight stays permitted? On the open venting have they done circulation numbers yet? He stated semi trucks will not be able to make the turn from Fennel to Lisbon Center Road. Fennel Road is done 2-3 times per season so this will affect the road conditions. He had some questions about the lighted cupola, is it decorate? With regards to outdoor lighting are shields going to be used so it is shining downwards. He brought up landscaping and asked if there is a landscaping plan? He asked about the existing trees along the drive and if they will stay? He stated all the adjacent properties have decorative landscaping and nice entrance way. Mr. Marks asked if an entrance light will be put it so people do not drive by and miss the site. He thought garbage should be removed daily and understood that would increase the traffic. Mr. Ford stated he understood a USDA employee will be on site at all time and the process will be regulated on a day to day process. Attorney Helland stated no overnight guests will be permitted. No calculations have been done yet with regards to the open venting. With regards to the existing trees they will stay and no formal landscape plan has been drawn yet. The lighting in the cupola will be decorative and will have shields on their lights and there is no proposed parking lot lighting. With regards to waste the petitioners plan to remove it every day, the text amendment states within 48 hours for a day buffer in case it is not picked up or if there is a holiday. The petitioners do not have plans to light any signs at the driveway at this time.
Don Hartman stated a lot of the existing neighbors did not know this is going on and feels more notification needs to take place. Another concern is the traffic.

Tom Marks lives next to this property and would like to see a landscape plan approved. He is of the opinion the paved drive needs to be sooner than five years.

Planner Zubko asked Attorney Kramer if they went to any Village of Newark meetings or if the talks were internally with staff. Attorney Kramer said no formal meetings were held, they only talked to staff, no hearing was held at the Village of Newark.

Matthew Marks started hearing rumors about this plant about a year ago and did not receive information from the Village of Newark. Planner Zubko stated for the record the County received this application May 28, 2013 so we were not aware of this for a year.

Alice Marks’ letter was put on the record.

Attorney Kelly Helland wanted to submit in evidence the mechanical systems. She also stated she feels this will have very little impact compared to some other agricultural business permitted by right and not going to store ammonia on site. This will also add about 30 jobs in the next 5-10 years and also provide a service to local farmers and businesses.

With no further testimony Bill Ford closed the public hearing.

Special Use Hearing Officer Ford reviewed the Findings of Fact for a special use as follows:

§ 13.08.J of the Zoning Ordinance outlines findings that the Hearing Officer must make in order to grant a special use. Staff has answered as follows:

That the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare. If the conditions are adhered to the establishment, maintenance, and operation of the special use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare. The testimony has shown that the process as outlined by the petitioners will be a state of the art operation, there will be a USDA employee on site during the operations and ensure the public health and safety and monitoring of the employees and premises.

That the special use will not be substantially injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood. The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question shall be considered in determining consistency with this standard. The proposed use shall make adequate provisions for appropriate buffers, landscaping, fencing, lighting, building materials, open space and other improvements necessary to insure that the proposed use does not adversely impact adjacent uses and is compatible with the surrounding area and/or the County as a whole. The entire operation will take place within a building and the unloading area must be at least 400’ from any principle structure on an adjoining lot. The zoning in the general area is agricultural which this process fits in with that classification.
That adequate utilities, access roads and points of ingress and egress, drainage, and/or other necessary facilities have been or are being provided. The special use will be utilizing the existing driveway and drainage will be closely evaluated when the engineering drawings are assessed.

That the special use shall in all other respects conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may in each instance be modified by the County Board pursuant to the recommendation of the Hearing Officer. The EPA, Illinois Department of Health, Kendall County Health Department and the Kendall County Building Department all have jurisdiction over different aspects of the special use and the regulations must be followed and adhered to.

That the special use is consistent with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies. The review and action to be taken on the special use petition will coincide with a proposed text amendment (Petition 13-16) that will permit a small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant as a special use in the A-1 District. The special use proposal will comply with all proposed conditions attached to the proposed text amendment.

Bill Ford made a favorable recommendation with this petition, with the above modified findings of fact and the 16 conditions specified on the special use. Mr. Ford wanted to state he is of the opinion 5 years is too long of a time.

ADJOURNMENT
Chairman Bill Ford adjourned the Special Use Hearing Officer meeting at 10:00 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,
Angela L. Zubko
Senior Planner & Recording Secretary
Jody and Beth Osmund

Cedar Valley Sustainable Farm CSA

1985 N. 3609th Road

Ottawa, IL 61350

July 31, 2013

Angela Zubko
Senior Planner
Kendall County Regional Planning Commission
111 West Fox Street
Room 203
Yorkville, IL 60560-1098

Dear Ms Zubko:

We write to you today in support of the poultry processing plant special use permit application of Al and Mary Maly of Ferndell Farm in Newark, IL.

Cedar Valley Sustainable Farm is a community supported agriculture enterprise that delivers locally raised beef, chicken, pork, and eggs to approximately 200 families a month. Currently, all of our poultry (approximately 2000 birds) are processed by Central Illinois Processing in Arthur Illinois (a 3 ½ hour one-way drive from our Ottawa, IL farm) as it is the only USDA inspected facility in the state that accepts birds from independent producers.

The extreme distance to the processor and limits to the Arthur facility’s capacity makes expansion of poultry operations in northern Illinois very challenging despite ever increasing demand for locally grown poultry. We know of farms near the Wisconsin border that make a five hour one-way trip to Arthur for processing.

The Maly’s plant would be a good first step in addressing the poultry processing bottleneck in Illinois. With the Newark plant, Cedar Valley Sustainable Farm’s distance to processing would be one tenth of what it is now. Given the close proximity to processing, we WILL expand production numbers and processing frequency, and we are confident that existing poultry operations in this part of the state will do the same. Also, it is likely that other producers will emerge as the time/distance challenge is removed.

Obviously, a new poultry processing plant will benefit local producers. It will be a boon to Newark and the Kendall county as well – 20+ new jobs and a number of ancillary economic multipliers. On average, five to twelve poultry producers will travel to the plant each day. While their birds are processed, they will refuel trucks at local stations, eat at local restaurants, and purchase goods at local businesses. I know that Cedar Valley Sustainable farm spends $100 plus in Arthur with each processing trip. Surely, $2500 - $6000 per week in spending would help the local economy.

Lastly, we would like to point out the appropriateness of the scale the Maly’s project (3,000 birds per day). Rather than a mega-plant processing 200,000 birds a day and straining water, power, road, and community resources, this plant will be humane to employees, animals, the community, and the environment. It will, also, make small poultry operations like ours more sustainable and foster rural businesses in northern Illinois.
Sincerely,

Jody & Beth Osmund

Farmers

Jody & Beth Osmund
Cedar Valley Sustainable Farm CSA
1985 N. 3609th Road
Ottawa, IL 61350
815-431-9544
815-993-3387 (cell)
cdvalleyfarm@gmail.com
www.cedarvalleysustainable.com
Dear Ms Zubko:

I am writing you today in support of the poultry processing plant special use permit application of Al and Mary Maly of Ferndell Farm in Newark, IL. The Malys proposed processing plant would mean significant economic development for the community and region, both directly and indirectly.

Illinois Stewardship Alliance (ISA) is a state-wide non-profit organization that promotes the growth of local food systems and sustainable agriculture. As an organization interested in the development of community-based food systems, we strongly support the creation and expansion of the infrastructure, such as a poultry processing plant, that is necessary for local food systems to grow. Demand for locally produced food, including poultry, is growing.

Currently, Central Illinois Processing in Arthur, Illinois is the only United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) inspected facility in the state that processes poultry from independent producers. The lack of poultry processing facilities in the state creates a bottleneck and significant barrier to meeting the demand for local food. Farms all across northern Illinois are currently driving six to ten hours round-trip to Arthur to have their birds processed, cutting into already slim profit margins and consuming limited time and resources that could otherwise be reinvested in expanding production to meet the ever increasing demand. In addition to the direct impact on those poultry producers traveling to Arthur, a poultry processing plant in Newark would create new jobs associated with its construction and operation. Additionally, the poultry producers that travel to Newark to take advantage of the plant with likely be spending additional money at local businesses.

Large processing plants that are processing an average of 200,000 birds a day have significant impacts on local infrastructure, straining water, power, sewer, waste disposal and road systems in the community. At an average of 3,000 birds per day, the Maly’s proposed poultry processing plant is of a scale that is appropriate and consistent with the values of local community-based food systems and will not have the kind of significant negative impacts on local resources and infrastructure associated with large facilities.

For the reasons enumerated above I urge the Kendall County Planning Commission to support the poultry processing plant special use permit application of Al and Mary Maly of Ferndell Farm in Newark, IL.

Sincerely,

Wes King
Interim Executive Director

WWW.ILSTEWARDS.ORG
230 BROADWAY STREET, SUITE 200, SPRINGFIELD, IL 62701 · PHONE: (217) 528-1563 · FAX: (217) 528-4274
Ms. Angela Zubko  
Kendall County Regional Planning Commission  
111 West Fox Street  
Room 203  
Yorkville, Illinois 60560

Dear Ms. Zubko:

I am writing in support of the poultry processing plant special use permit application of Al and Mary Maly of Ferndell Farm in Newark, Illinois.

Currently, Central Illinois Processing in Arthur, Illinois is the only poultry processing plant in the state approved by the United States Department of Agriculture that accepts birds from independent producers. Even though the demand for locally grown poultry is increasing, farmers in northern Illinois experience cuts in their resources and profit margins because they must drive up to ten hours to have their birds processed. A poultry processing plant in northern Illinois would allow operations in the region to expand production and processing frequency by reducing travel time and cost.

A processing plant in Newark would bring other economic benefits to the community and the region. The plant would create new construction and operations jobs in Newark. Local businesses would see an increase in customers due to the five to twelve poultry producers expected to visit each day. Compared to larger plants that processes 20,000 birds a day, the Malys’ intent to process 3,000 birds a day is of a scale that is appropriate for the region and will not strain community resources.

I urge you to give Al and Mary Maly’s application the most serious consideration. Please contact my Springfield office at 217-492-4062 if you have any questions. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Richard J. Durbin  
United States Senator
Concerns regarding the proposed chicken processing facility on Lisbon Center Rd, Newark, IL

I am the property owner directly to the east of the proposed chicken plant. I have several concerns listed in order of importance to me.

1---How will this affect my future property value? I am concerned that rezoning will set a precedent for future rezoning and eventually the surrounding property will lose its country flavor.

2---What is the possibility of contamination to my well?

3---Increased traffic on a lightly traveled road. Right now during the day there may be 3-5 cars per hour and most of it is local farmers. I read there will be 30 employees and about 5-6 farmers visiting the site daily to drop off and pick up chickens. If entrance to the facility is 1 car and exit is counted as a second car trip that would be at least 50 more cars driving on Lisbon Center Rd. per day. I am assuming not every employee will be working each day and that they will not be leaving the property to find lunch or to run an errand to Walgreens, etc. I understand the farmers will come early in the morning and return in the afternoon. That would be 4 car passes per farmer or 20/day. Total extra cars driving on Lisbon Center Rd. would be 70 extra cars per day on that small road. I also understand that there will be daily dumpster pick up. Perhaps employees and farmers could be encouraged to approach the property from Fennel Rd instead of Townhouse Rd in order to avoid as many residential areas as possible.

4---What will I be looking at from my kitchen window and back yard deck? The picture I saw on line of the proposed structure would be similar to a large barn. I am fine with that but could not determine where the parking area will be. I would hope it would be on the west side of the building so it is not facing my home. Will the parking area be screened with evergreens? If my large tree line remains healthy I probably would not see the facility during the summer months but in the winter when the trees are barren of leaves I would have full view from the back of my house.

5---What will the parking lot lighting be like? How bright?

6---Will signage to the property be low profile?

Thank you for considering my concerns with this proposed project. I hope to have a good working relationship with the owners of the property to resolve any problems that may present themselves in the future with regard to this property and project.

Alice Marks

16675 Lisbon Center Road, Newark, IL

Cell 1-630-738-2370 Land line 1-630-323-2370
WORLD CLASS PROCESSING EQUIPMENT

Turnkey Equipment and Supplies for Processing Poultry in Small and Medium Sized Plants

Telephone: 319-469-4141
Fax: 319-469-4402
E-mail: broweriowa@aol.com
Web site: www.browerequip.com

Highway 16 West * P.O. Box 2000
Houghton, Iowa 52631 * USA
To Our Customers:

Brower specializes in serving the small- and medium-sized poultry producer and has successfully served this market for nearly eight decades. We are proud to have added this quality line of processing equipment. When you buy Brower, you get:

1. State-of-the-art designs for the markets we serve.
2. A commitment to service from our family business to your family business.
3. The resources of a Top Gun manufacturing and engineering group, a modern 200,000 square foot facility and the latest CNC fabricating equipment.
4. The best workmanship at competitive prices.

Be sure to write and ask for our production catalog — top quality small flock equipment as well as equipment for livestock, pets and wildlife.

Please let us know how we can serve you.

Sincerely,

Thomas W. Wenstrand
President

A DIVISION OF HAWKEYE STEEL PRODUCTS INC.

PROCESSING PLANS

We have Processing Plans which include complete lists of equipment, floor plans, manning suggestions, and operating tips for three sizes of processing plants — up to 400 broilers per hour (BPH), up to 600 broilers per hour and up to 1000/1200 broilers per hour. These plans are free by writing or calling us. The basic units in these plans are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KILL</th>
<th>MODEL NO.</th>
<th>400 BPH</th>
<th>600 BPH</th>
<th>1000 TO 1200 BPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BT86SS WITH CONES</td>
<td>KILL 16</td>
<td>KILL 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCALD</td>
<td>MODEL NO.</td>
<td>BM60</td>
<td>SS36SS</td>
<td>SS48SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICK</td>
<td>MODEL NO.</td>
<td>BP30SS</td>
<td>SP30SS</td>
<td>SP38SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVISCERATE</td>
<td>MODEL NO.</td>
<td>SS40ET OR SE10</td>
<td>EV16 OR SE10</td>
<td>EV22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These units can be interchanged to fit your needs.

KILLING EQUIPMENT
Page 3-4
SCALDING EQUIPMENT
Pages 4-5
PICKING EQUIPMENT
Pages 6-7
EVISCERATING EQUIPMENT,
SHACKLES, BIRD WASHER,
GIZZARD PEELER, GIBLET PANS
Pages 8-9
AUTOMATIC ACCESSORIES, HOCK & NECK
KNIVES, VENT CUTTER, LUNG REMOVER,
VAC-SYSTEM™
Pages 10-11
CHILLING EQUIPMENT
COOLING RACK, COMMERCIAL ICE SYSTEMS,
CHILLING TANKS & PUMPS
Page 11
AUTOMATIC BAGGING & CLOSING
EQUIPMENT, BAGS & TRAYS
Page 12
CARTS, SHELVING, TABLES, KNIVES, SCALES,
APRONS & OTHER ACCESSORIES
Pages 12-14
HOME PICKERS, COOPS
Page 15

CATALOG NO. PROC21

WARNING! Gas and electrical hookups required to connect utilities to all killing lines, scalders, pickers, eviscerating lines, gizzard peelers, bagging machines, any other accessories and any other products sold by Brower must be made by qualified gas and electrical technicians. All line sizes and other specifications must meet or exceed local and national codes. There must be proper electrical grounding at all times.

All dimensions and capacities are approximate. Dimensions shown are those when unit is set up, not shipping dimensions.

CAPACITIES ARE NOT GUARANTEED. The ability to achieve capacities indicated depends on many factors including, but not limited to, labor force experience, plant layout and availability of constant supply of birds.
**Killing Line Conveyors**

Conveyors are built for USDA approval. Units can be used with killing tunnels. Reversible. Vari-speed drive. Corrosion-resistant aluminum gearbox, drive and take-up. Stainless steel track and trolleys. Our bolt together design allows quick assembly and also permits easy expansion. 3/4 horsepower motor, 115V, 60HZ. Order shackles separately. See page 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KILL 16</td>
<td>16 foot (4.9 meters) long conveyor, 26&quot; wide (66 cm), 33 shackles spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KILL 22</td>
<td>22 foot (6.7 meters) long conveyor, 26&quot; wide (66cm), 44 shackles spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVEXT</td>
<td>Extends overall conveyor length 5 feet (1.5 meters)– adds 10 linear feet (3.0 meters) and 10 shackles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stainless Steel Killing Tunnel**

Two models are available to work with either KILL16 or KILL22 killing line conveyors. Birds are killed as they enter the tunnel on shackles. The tunnel base is tapered with a drain hole. Both sides have wash nozzles with trigger valves and hose to keep tunnel washed down at intervals. On KLDT1, KLDT1W, KLDT2 and/or KLDT2W, you can add a 48" (121.9 cm) extension. Order KLDTEXT for standard 30" (76.2 cm) width; order KLDTWEXT for 48" (121.9 cm) wide tunnels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KLDT1</td>
<td>Stainless Steel Killing Tunnel, 30&quot; wide x 94&quot; long x 79&quot; high, (76 cm wide x 239 cm long x 201 cm high). Use with KILL16 or KILL22. Model KLDT1W has the same dimensions as KLDT1 but is 48&quot; wide (121.9 cm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLDT2</td>
<td>Stainless Steel Killing Tunnel, 30&quot; wide x 142&quot; long x 78&quot; high, (76 cm wide x 361 cm long x 201 cm high). Recommended for use with KILL22. Model KLDT2W has the same dimensions as KLDT2 but is 48&quot; wide (121.9 cm).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stunning Knife**

Stunned birds are easier to handle and they bleed more quickly and thoroughly. Stunned birds have fewer bruises and broken wings. You speed up your processing operation. You can control voltage to various ages and sizes of birds or for changing environmental conditions. Virtually the only way to kill turkey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SK200</td>
<td>Electronic Stunning Knife, 110V, 50 Hz, or 60 Hz, single phase. Variable power setting. Includes power cabinet, safety knife and rubber glove. Unit features easy reset overload and ground fault interrupter for operator safety. Available with 220V, 50Hz - 60Hz. Order Model SK200220.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Adjustable Bleed Rack For Killing Cones**

Designed to hold these cones (see page 4): 6-54K; 8-85K (recommended for pastured poultry); 8 to 10-52K; 8-61K. Order cones separately and you must order a QRBT1A Backet for each cone. Rack features four leveling feet, easy rack height adjustment up to 60" (152 cm) high. 304 stainless steel construction. Can be disassembled and stored flat. Designed to set over a 2’W x 4’L x 1’H stock tank (order separately) for blood collection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BR48</td>
<td>Adjustable Bleed Rack, 24&quot; wide x 48&quot; long x 60&quot; high (61 cm wide x 122 cm long x 152 cm high)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stainless Steel Bleeding Trough**

Keep Your Processing Area Clean and Sanitary. When a fully automatic system is not needed, use our killing cones and stainless bleeding trough. Blood flows down back panel and into sloped trough. Position any receptacle under the drain hole on one end.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT86</td>
<td>Stainless Steel Bleeding Trough and Back Panel 86&quot; long x 40&quot; high (218 cm long x 102 cm high), Trough is 5&quot; (13cm) wide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT134</td>
<td>Stainless Steel Bleeding Trough and Back Panel 134&quot; long x 40&quot; high (340 cm long x 102 cm high), Trough is 5&quot; (13 cm) wide.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other sizes manufactured upon request.
KILLING CONES
Four sizes are available, all constructed of 20 gauge stainless steel. Hemmed edges and locktorned seams assure no snags.

For slot mounting, order model QRBT1A bracket (shown right).

For wall mounting or for use with BT86 and BT134 bleeding troughs (see page 3), order Q781 Cone Rail (shown right). Q781 is 5" wide x 56" long x 1" deep. Holds up to 4-54k cones.

SCALDING EQUIPMENT

SCALDERS
OUR SUPERSCALD ROTARY SCALDERS WILL SCALD MORE BIRDS PER HOUR THAN DUNK SCALDERS AND NO AIR COMPRESSOR IS REQUIRED.

Scalding requires a broiler to be immersed in 145°F (63°C) water for about 60 seconds — regardless of the type of scaler used. Our Superscald Rotary Scalers have at least three times the capacity of competitive dunk-type scalers. Also, you do not have to attach the birds to shackles.

SUPERSCALD
* All Stainless Steel
* Maximum Production in Limited Space
* Minimum Labor

We offer two models of Superscald. Both feature, as standard, an accurate 0-5 minute timer with second graduations, sensitive thermostat, digital thermometer (registers in °F and °C), and work table. Birds tumble freely, assuring a thorough scal. You'll get more production with less labor than any other competing scalers. Setup for LP gas is standard. Natural gas orifices included for easy conversion.

Both units are 220/110V, 60HZ. Contact us about your specific electrical requirements.

WARNING!
Scalding requires 145°F (63°C) water which can severely burn operator. Exercise caution at all times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Approximate Per Hour Capacities</th>
<th>Tank Dimensions</th>
<th>Overall Dimensions</th>
<th>Motor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SS36SS</strong></td>
<td>500-750 Broilers 500-750 Pheasants 100-120 Turkey Hens 80 Turkey Toms 500-700 Ducks 1000 Quail</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30&quot; (76 cm)</td>
<td>36&quot; (91 cm)</td>
<td>27&quot; (69 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(76 cm)</td>
<td>(91 cm)</td>
<td>(69 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SS48SS</strong></td>
<td>1000-1200 Broilers 1000-1200 Pheasants 250-350 Turkey Hens 125 Turkey Toms 1000-1200 Ducks 1800 Quail</td>
<td>30&quot; (76 cm)</td>
<td>53&quot; (135 cm)</td>
<td>28&quot; (71 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(76 cm)</td>
<td>(135 cm)</td>
<td>(71 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Why Superscald Gives a Fast, Thorough Scald**

- **Loaded**—ready to start scald cycle. Consider the ease in loading—no basket to open or shackles to load. Just push the start button to begin operation. Timer automatically resets to desired time.

- **Position after platform has made about 1/4 of a cycle. Birds are dumped to bottom of tank. The water level backs up ahead of platform. Water sprays through holes in platform onto birds, giving a spray-action scald.**

- **Position after platform has made 1/2 of a cycle. Birds are completely submerged—not confined in any way but free to tumble in all positions thus assuring a thorough scald.**

- **Birds are tumbled through the water by the revolving platform and then out of the water to the stop position. The platform rotates at 10 RPM and will rotate several times depending on length of scald.**

- **Out of the water and ready for picking. The water will drain away, yet birds will not dry out if not picked immediately. No lids or shackles to hamper unloading.**

**Economy Gas Scalders**

Our manual gas scalders can be used with either LP or Natural Gas. Tanks are hot dipped galvanized after fabrication. Easily accessible pilot light. Requires vent tube. **Standard drain table adds 26" (66 cm) of length.** Automatic water level and heat controls. 115V, 50/60 HZ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BM38</td>
<td>Holds about 38 gallons. Ideal scald for pastured poultry operations. 23&quot; wide x 28&quot; long x 36&quot; high (58 cm x 71 cm x 91 cm). Tank dimensions. 20&quot; wide x 20&quot; long x 23&quot; deep (50.8 cm x 50.8 cm x 58.4 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM60</td>
<td>Holds about 60 gallons. 28&quot; wide x 42&quot; long x 37&quot; high (71 cm x 107 cm x 94 cm). Tank dimensions 27&quot; wide x 32&quot; long x 23&quot; deep (69 cm x 81 cm x 58 cm high).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BM38/BM60
Our commercial pickers have one superior design advantage—a conical-shaped centrifuge. The cone shape permits birds to freely rotate for a clean pick. By contrast, flat centrifuges result in birds piling up in the center. The result is a poor pick, having to run the picker longer (at risk to skin breakage) or having to hand pick birds. All centrifuges are made of heavy duty aluminum. Choose between 2 models of SUPERPIK, our BATCHPIK, our new Utility Picker or our Junior BATCHPIK. Regardless of which model you choose—EVEN WHEN THEY'RE EMPTY, THEY'RE LOADED.

**SUPERPIK**
Stainless Steel, Automatic Pickers

Features (all standard)

- Automatic unloading door is self closing; latch is operated by a start button which simultaneously starts the timer and opens the automatic water valve. Set 0-5 minute timer for 30 second pick or as required.

- Feather exhaust.

- Shipped fully assembled and ready to use.

### Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Capacity Birds per Hour</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Loading Height</th>
<th>Cylinder Diameter</th>
<th>Motor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SP30SS</strong></td>
<td>400-700 Broilers</td>
<td>35&quot;</td>
<td>76&quot;</td>
<td>47.5&quot;</td>
<td>43&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400-700 Pheasants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100-120 Turkey Hens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60-80 Turkey Toms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400-700 Ducks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000 Quail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SP38SS</strong></td>
<td>800-1000 Broilers</td>
<td>54&quot;</td>
<td>90&quot;</td>
<td>63&quot;</td>
<td>43&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800-1000 Phaasants</td>
<td>120 cm</td>
<td>229 cm</td>
<td>160 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250-300 Turkey Hens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125 Turkey Toms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800-1000 Ducks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1800 Quail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Length includes bird catch table which is 34" (86 cm) long.
**BATCH Pik**
*All Stainless Steel*
Features (all standard)
* Built-in feather exhaust
* 0-5 minute timer with second graduations
* Shipped fully assembled and ready to use

This unit is perfect for small poultry retail stores where customers want live birds processed on the spot. It also works great for hunting preserves where game birds are brought in from fields to be picked in batches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Capacity Birds per Hour</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Loading Height</th>
<th>Cylinder Diameter</th>
<th>Motor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W   L   H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP30SS</td>
<td>300-400 Broilers</td>
<td>35&quot; 43&quot; 43&quot;</td>
<td>30&quot;</td>
<td>2HP, 220V</td>
<td>60 HZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300-400 Pheasants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75 Turkey Hens</td>
<td>89 cm 109 cm 109 cm</td>
<td>76 cm</td>
<td>60 HZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 Turkey Toms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350 Ducks</td>
<td>350 cm 109 cm 109 cm</td>
<td>76 cm</td>
<td>60 HZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800 Quail</td>
<td>350 cm 109 cm 109 cm</td>
<td>76 cm</td>
<td>60 HZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UTILITY PICKER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Capacity Birds per Hour</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Loading Height</th>
<th>Cylinder Diameter</th>
<th>Motor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W   L   H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP25SS</td>
<td>3-6 Birds in 25-45 seconds</td>
<td>22&quot; 24&quot; 37&quot;</td>
<td>37&quot;</td>
<td>25&quot; 64 cm</td>
<td>1 1/2HP, 115/220V, 60 HZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**JUNIOR BATCH Pik**
Especially Designed for Quail
Features (all standard)
* Feather exhaust
* Work shelf
* 0-5 minute manual timer
* Aluminum centrifuge, galvanized steel frame
* Shipped fully assembled and ready to use

This picker is designed for quail but is also a nifty economy unit for any requirement - broilers, pigeons, or pheasant. Compared to our other pickers, the fingers are more densely placed on the centrifuge and cylinder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Capacity Birds per Hour</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Loading Height</th>
<th>Cylinder Diameter</th>
<th>Motor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W   L   H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QBP23</td>
<td>700 Quail</td>
<td>26 1/2&quot; 42&quot; 43&quot; 43&quot;</td>
<td>43&quot;</td>
<td>1.5HP, 220V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>103 Broilers</td>
<td>67 cm 107 cm 109 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>60 HZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 Pheasants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 Ducks</td>
<td>67 cm 107 cm 109 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>60 HZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WHISPER FINGERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Used in all picker centrifuges and feather exhausts. Total length — 3 1/2 inches (8.9 cm); fits a 3/4 inch (1.9 cm) hole.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Virtually any other type of finger available upon request.

**SPARE PARTS KIT FOR SUPER Pik**
Recommended for all export shipments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KIT30</td>
<td>Spare Parts Kit for SP30SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIT38</td>
<td>Spare Parts Kit for SP38SS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eviscerating Line Conveyor System
The conveyor is the same equipment used for our Killing Line Conveyor shown on page 3. We add components shown below to make an eviscerating system. Hardware for ceiling suspension is not included. Vari-speed drive allows you to adjust line speed. Reversible. Components included with each system are:

- Water Flushed Trough — Stainless steel, 31" width standard (79 cm width), 48" available upon request. EV16 has 10' (3 meters) trough 12'' (30 cm) deep; EV22 has 15' (4.6 meters) trough 12'' (30 cm) deep.
- Inside wash nozzle with trigger valve and hose.
- 2 gooseneck wash nozzles on 10' (3m) trough for EV16; 4 nozzles on 15' (4.6m) trough for EV22.
- Splash shield mounts where birds are washed.
- Stainless steel giblet station, 20'' wide, 33'' long, 37 1/2'' tall (51 cm wide x 84 cm long x 95 cm high).
- Shackles — EV16 has 33 shackle spaces; EV22 has 44 shackle spaces; spaced at about 1'' intervals. Order shackles separately.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EV16</td>
<td>Eviscerating Line Conveyor System, 26 1/2'' wide (67 cm), 18' long (4.9 meters) complete with all above listed accessories. Recommended for 600 birds per hour operations. 115 volt, 60HZ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV22</td>
<td>Eviscerating Line Conveyor System, 26 1/2'' wide (67 cm), 22' long (6.7 meters) complete with all above listed accessories. Recommended for 1200 birds per hour operations. 115 volt, 60HZ.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As your operation grows, you can expand EV16 and EV22 by adding trough and conveyor sections. Order CONVEKT to extend conveyor (see page 3). Order EVEXT or EVWEXT to extend the trough. Extension adds about 48'' (122 cm) of Length.

Shackles for Kill and Evisceration Conveyors
Britt finish, 5/16'' (.79 cm) round bar material, 3/8'' (.95 cm) suspension rod. Order 33 shackles for 16' (4.9 m) conveyor; 44 for 22' (6.7 m) conveyor; 10 for CONVEKT Stainless steel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KSSSR</th>
<th>TCOMBK</th>
<th>ESSSR</th>
<th>TCOMBE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 1/2'' X 1 1/2'' (13.3 cm) Rigid Standard Poultry Kit Shackle with Rigid Hook</td>
<td>1 1/2'' X 1 1/2'' (19.7 cm) Rigid Standard Turkey/Poultry Kit Shackle</td>
<td>1 1/2'' X 1 1/2'' (15.0 cm) Rigid Standard Evisceration Shackle with Swivel Tip and Three Point Bird Suspension</td>
<td>1 1/2'' X 1 1/2'' (21.0 cm) Turkey/Poultry Combination Evisceration Shackle with Swivel Tip and Three Point Bird Suspension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inline Bird Washer
Designed to be installed with our EV22 eviscerating line. All stainless steel construction. A top performing commercial unit at a reasonable cost. 18 spray jets. Washes birds on outside only. Recommended for our 1000-1200 bird per hour system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OBW4</td>
<td>3 Foot Bird Washer 25 1/2'' wide x 36'' long x 56'' high (65 cm wide x 91 cm long x 142 cm high).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Offal Cart
This offal cart is a handy accessory for use with eviscerating lines, tables or stationary eviscerating units. Use it to catch inedible offal. The perforated insert collects solids while liquids remain at the bottom. Completely portable with four (4) casters and handle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OFFAL</td>
<td>Offal Cart with Insert and 4 Casters. 23'' wide x 34 1/2'' long x 32'' high (58 cm wide x 88 cm long x 81 cm high).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28BG</td>
<td>Perforated Insert. Having an extra insert for each cart is highly recommended. You will not have to slow down your eviscerating operation because you can quickly change inserts when one is full.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eviscerating Tables—Two Options

All stainless-steel model SS40ET (shown right) is designed for cleanliness, long wear and economy. The top is one piece and seamless, has 1 1/2” (3.8 cm) lip. Unit comes complete with two (2) four inch eviscerating tubes and a center drain, two (2) gooseneck wash valves, and two (2) trigger valves with hose. The table accommodates up to 4 operators. Use for fish and small game as well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SS40ET</td>
<td>Stainless Steel Four Operator Eviscerating Table 43” wide x 55” long x 36” high (overall height is 58 1/2”). (109 cm wide x 140 cm long x 91 cm high).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETSB</td>
<td>Optional adjustable shackle bar for SS40ET to use for shackle evisceration. Shown attached to model ET below left (ETSB fits both SS40ET and ET). Total height of SS40ET with shackle bar is 78” (198 cm). Order shackles separately. See page 8.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eviscerating Table

An economical table for pastured poultry processing. One piece top with 1” (2.54 cm) lip; 1.75” (4.4 cm) center drain. Unit comes with two (2) gooseneck wash valves and two (2) trigger valves with hose. Stainless Steel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>Eviscerating table 29” wide x 54” long x 37” tall. Overall height is 55”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETSB</td>
<td>Optional, Adjustable Shackle Bar to use for shackle evisceration. Total height of ET with shackle bar is 79” (201 cm). Shown attached to ET in photo to the left. ETSB is an option and the shackles also must be ordered separately. See page 8.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ten Foot Stationary Eviscerating Unit

The 10 foot (3 meter) stationary eviscerating unit fills the need between a processor who needs more capacity than our eviscerating table, but who does not require an automatic line. The stainless steel trough [30 inches wide, 12 inches deep (76 cm wide, 30 cm deep)] is the same as the trough section on our EV16 line. There are four gooseneck wash spigots and 4 trigger valves and hose for four operators. Place our offal cart at the end of the trough as you would with our automatic lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SE10</td>
<td>10 Foot (3 meter) Stationary Eviscerating Unit 30 1/2” wide x 10’ long x 86” high (77 cm wide x 3 meters long x 2.2 meters high). Includes 10 ESSS Eviscerating Shackles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stainless Steel Gizzard Peeler

The hardened, spiral cut rolls are direct driven. The drive cannot slip as with a belt driven machine. A 1/3 horsepower motor with gear reducer turns the peeler rolls at 288 RPM. A double pole switch allows you to reverse the rolls in the event anything becomes entrapped in the rolls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSK4</td>
<td>Gizzard Peeler complete with floor stand and motor 110V, 60HZ, single phase (as pictured) 19” wide x 33” long x 40 1/2” high (48 cm wide x 84 cm long x 103 cm high).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSK450</td>
<td>Gizzard Peeler complete with floor stand and motor 220V 50HZ, 3 phase 19” wide x 33” long x 40 1/2” high (48 cm wide x 84 cm long x 103 cm high).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAK4</td>
<td>Gizzard Peeler Head.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAK4</td>
<td>Peeler Rolls only.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Giblet Pans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12504</td>
<td>Perforated Stainless Giblet Pan 20 3/4” x 12 3/4” x 4&quot; (53 cm x 32 cm x 10 cm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12524</td>
<td>Perforated Stainless Giblet Pan 12 3/4” x 10 1/2” x 4” (32 cm x 27 cm x 10 cm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12003</td>
<td>Solid Stainless Giblet Pan 20 3/4” x 12 3/4” x 2 1/2” (53 cm x 32 cm x 6 cm).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMERCIAL ICE SYSTEMS--WALK IN COOLERS, FREEZERS AND ICE STORAGE BINS

We will work with you on your specific requirements. All purpose ice machines available. Single phase and three phases. 208/230V, 50HZ and 60HZ. We also have sourced walk-in coolers and freezers for large installations. Let us know your needs.

CHILL TANK AGITATOR PUMP

(Shown attached to PP430 Chilling Tank in photo to right)
Agitator pump maintains constant water temperature assuring a uniform chill. The pump can be moved so you need only one pump for several tanks. The standard unit fits over the PP430 or PP412 chilling tanks. However, the standard unit can be easily modified to fit other tanks. Advise us of your tank dimensions and the type of material (metal, fiberglass, etc.) and we will quote.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTAP50</td>
<td>Chill Tank Agitator Pump, 220V, 50H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAP60</td>
<td>Chill Tank Agitator Pump, 115V, 60H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LARGE CAPACITY CHILLING TANKS

These popular chilling tanks are replacing all other types in the field. Each unit comes standard with a heavy duty metal frame, heavy duty casters and a drain. Choose from three (3) sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP430</td>
<td>Jumbo Polyethylene Chilling Tank 32&quot; wide x 44 1/2&quot; long x 37 1/4&quot; high (81 cm wide x 113 cm long x 95 cm high). Holds 160 gallons (600 liters).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP412</td>
<td>Large Polyethylene Chilling Tank 18&quot; wide x 29&quot; long x 27&quot; high (46 cm wide x 74 cm long x 69 cm high). Holds 48 gallons (180 liters).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP433</td>
<td>Small Polyethylene Chilling Tank 23&quot; wide x 24 1/2&quot; long x 19&quot; high (58 cm wide x 62 cm long x 48 cm high). Holds 20 gallons (76 liters).     (This tank is popular for giblets in larger plants.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12 **Bagging Equipment**

**Automatic Bagging and Closing Equipment**

Bagger blows open bags. Vacuum removes air from bag before sealing. Includes twist tie sealer — vinyl coated wire is pulled off of a reel, is cut to size and then twisted around neck of bag. A very fast and efficient system. Great value for small and medium sized operator. Capacity of up to 10 packages per minute.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BVS40</td>
<td>Complete bagging unit includes vacuum and electric tie. 26&quot; wide x 48&quot; long x 60&quot; high, 115V, 50/60HZ (66 cm wide x 122 cm long x 152 cm high)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAG35</td>
<td>Special Wicketed Plastic Bags for use with BVS40. 8&quot; x 2&quot; x 14&quot; (20.3 cm x 5.1 cm x 35.6 cm). The length is 15 1/2&quot; (39.4 cm) including a 1-1/2&quot; (3.8 cm) wicket lip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>2000 ft. spool of vinyl-coated wire. Yields 6400 ties.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Poultry Bags**

Smartly display your dressed fowl with our polyethylene, gusseted bags. There is no center seam and these bags are made of the clearest material on the market. Designed for easy loading. All bags are .0015 material except 12 x 8 x 30 which is .002. All bags packed either 100 or 1000 quantities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 x 3 x 15</td>
<td>6&quot; x 3&quot; x 15&quot; (15 cm x 7.6 cm x 38 cm)</td>
<td>Broilers, Fryers, Small Roasters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 x 3 x 18</td>
<td>9&quot; x 3&quot; x 18&quot; (23 cm x 7.6 cm x 46 cm)</td>
<td>Large Rosters, Capons, Small Hens, Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 x 6 x 24</td>
<td>12&quot; x 6&quot; x 24&quot; (30 cm x 15 cm x 61 cm)</td>
<td>Turkeys, Large Ducks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 x 8 x 30</td>
<td>12&quot; x 8&quot; x 30&quot; (30 cm x 20 cm x 76 cm)</td>
<td>Large Turkeys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dressed Poultry Tray**

Ideal for storing and/or chilling dressed poultry. Size is an easy one for one person to handle. Tray will stack when full or nest when empty. Constructed to withstand freezer conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28BG</td>
<td>Plastic Tray for Dressed Poultry 16&quot; wide x 23 3/4&quot; long x 7 3/8&quot; high (41 cm wide x 60.3 cm long x 18.7 cm high)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Giblets Carts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>LS311</th>
<th>LS2000</th>
<th>LS459</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shelf Size</td>
<td>15 1/2&quot; x 24&quot; (39.4 cm x 61 cm)</td>
<td>15 1/2&quot; x 24&quot; (39.4 cm x 61 cm)</td>
<td>20 1/2&quot; x 47&quot; (52.1 cm x 119.4 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Size</td>
<td>16 1/4&quot; x 27 1/2&quot; x 32&quot; (41.3 cm x 69.9 cm x 81.3 cm)</td>
<td>17 1/8&quot; x 32 1/2&quot; x 34 7/8&quot; (43.5 cm x 82.6 cm x 88.6 cm)</td>
<td>22 3/4&quot; x 54 1/8&quot; x 37 1/4&quot; (57.8 cm x 137.5 cm x 94.6 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Between Shelves</td>
<td>11 3/4&quot; (29.8 cm)</td>
<td>12 1/8&quot; (30.8 cm)</td>
<td>13 1/8&quot; (33.3 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of Casters</td>
<td>3 1/2&quot; (8.9 cm) Swivel</td>
<td>4&quot; (10.2 cm) Swivel</td>
<td>2-5&quot; (12.7 cm) Swivel, 2-5&quot; Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>200 lbs. (90.9 kg)</td>
<td>200 lbs. (90.9 kg)</td>
<td>400 lbs. (181.8 kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
<td>Legs - ABS Plastic Shelves Polypropylene</td>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cart Prices Subject to Change**
ACCESSORIES

ACCESSORIES FOR KILLING AND EVISCERATING
Select from our complete line of knives for killing, boning and pinning; lung removers; and trimming shears.

APRONS

Heavy Duty Plastic Aprons
* .015 gauge vinyl
* semi-transparent
* withstands abrasion, acids, and alkalis
* 29" x 35" (74 cm x 89 cm) or 29" x 45" (74 cm x 14 cm).

Low Cost Disposable Aprons
* light and comfortable
* use and discard
* withstands abrasion, acids, and alkalis
* 28" x 46" (71 cm x 117 cm)

POULTRY SCALE
Obtain fast, accurate weights on individual birds with our dial scale. Included are top and bottom hooks and easy to read dials. Twenty pound capacity with one ounce graduations. One year warranty. Cone not included. Order cones shown on page 4.

PLASTIC COATED SCALDING BASKETS
These baskets are a big asset in speeding up your manual scalding operation. Heavy wire is coated with tough vinyl plastic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-S128</td>
<td>Poultry Killing Knife, Stainless Steel Blade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-S130</td>
<td>Pinning Knife, Stainless Steel Blade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-726</td>
<td>Boning Knife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-475</td>
<td>Economy Shears — Straight Trimmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-1328KBR</td>
<td>Heart and Liver Shears - Blade is curved right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-4258BP</td>
<td>Gizzard and Venting Shears - ball point prevents damage to viscera.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-1010</td>
<td>10&quot; (25 cm) Sharpening Steel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-CLUNG</td>
<td>Chicken Lung Remover, 11&quot; long (28 cm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-TLUNG</td>
<td>Turkey Lung Remover, 16&quot; long (41 cm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J-41820</td>
<td>3&quot; Victorinox Slant point venting knife (great for killing, venting and neck work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-41822</td>
<td>4&quot; Victorinox Boning knife (great for heads, necks and legs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-WCFLUNG</td>
<td>Water Flushed Lung Remover. 6&quot; (1.8 meter) hose with brass swivel both ends. Standard garden hose hookup. Simple hand trigger water valve. 12&quot; (30 cm) indexable lung scraper wand.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WFCLUNG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7842</td>
<td>Dial Scale with Capacities Marked in Ounces and Pounds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Plastic Coated Scalding Basket, 14-1/2&quot; diameter, (36.8 cm) top, 10&quot; (25.4 cm) bottom, 9&quot; (22.9 cm) high, Packed 1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Home Picker Table Top**
Model HPTT has 28 fingers on a 10 inch drum. Galvanized steel construction. 1/4HP. 110V, 60 HZ. 15" wide x 22" long x 12" high.

**Leg Mounted Home Picker**
Model HPJR has 54 fingers on a 12 inch drum. Galvanized steel construction. Can be washed without disassembly. 1/3 HP. 110V, 60 HZ. 17" wide x 28" long x 50" high.

**Transport Coop**

**COOP 9**

**COOPS STACKED**

**COOPS WITH EXTENSION**

**Transport Coops**
Designed for optimum air flow. Interlock for transport and stacking. Easily washed and sanitized. High density polyethylene with stainless steel hinges and fasteners. 12 1/2" x 16 1/2" (31.8 cm x 41.9 cm) door. Optional 2" (5 cm) extension for use with medium sized turkeys, show birds, and the like. Assembly required (takes about 10 minutes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COOP 9</td>
<td>Poultry Transport Coop; 10 broiler capacity (approx.) 24 1/2&quot; wide x 36 1/2&quot; long x 8&quot; high (62.2 cm wide x 92.7 cm long x 20.3 cm high).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COOP9EXT</td>
<td>2&quot; (5 cm) bolt in extension spacer with fasteners; 6 turkey capacity (approx.) Comes in 4 poly strips that bolt together. Shown above on the right.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IOWA GOVERNOR'S AWARD
FOR EXCELLENCE IN EXPORTING

PRIDE IN QUALITY

IOWA
USA
ORDINANCE NUMBER 2013 - 

GRANT A SPECIAL USE AT 16895 LISBON CENTER ROAD
FOR A SMALL POULTRY & SMALL ANIMAL PROCESSING PLANT THAT IS
USDA APPROVED

WHEREAS, Alan and Mary Maly have filed a petition for a Special Use within the A-1
Agricultural Zoning District for a 27 acre property located at 16895 Lisbon Center Road, (PIN
#07-08-100-011), in Big Grove Township, and;

WHEREAS, said petition is to allow the operation of a small poultry and small animal processing
plant; and

WHEREAS, petition #13-16 was approved the same day as a text amendment to allow such a use
as a special use in the A-1 Agricultural district; and

WHEREAS, said petitioners stated this will be a USDA facility and conform to the rules and
regulations to operate and USDA facility; and

WHEREAS, said property is legally described as:

THAT PART OF SUB LOT 4 IN SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 35 NORTH, RANGE 6, EAST OF THE
THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, WITH BEARINGS AND GRID DISTANCES REFERENCED TO
THE ILLINOIS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, EAST ZONE NAD 83 (2011), MORE
PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT A RECOVERED SURVEY
NAIL LOCATED AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 8; THENCE SOUTH 01
DEGREES 03 MINUTES 59 SECONDS EAST 2,131.17 FEET ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID
SECTION 8 TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE CONTINUING SOUTH 01 DEGREES 03
MINUTES 59 SECONDS EAST 974.00 FEET ON SAID WEST LINE TO THE SOUTHWEST
CORNER OF SAID SUB LOT 4; THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES 15 MINUTES 00 SECONDS EAST
1,207.47 FEET ON THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SUB LOT 4 TO THE WEST LINE OF THE EAST
350.00 FEET OF SAID SUB LOT 4; THENCE NORTH 01 DEGREES 03 MINUTES 30 SECONDS
WEST 974.00 FEET ON SAID WEST LINE; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 15 MINUTES 00
SECONDS WEST 1,207.60 FEET PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SUB LOT 4 TO
THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONTAINING 27.00 ACRES, MORE OR LESS; SITUATED IN THE
COUNTY OF KENDALL AND STATE OF ILLINOIS.

WHEREAS, all procedures required by the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance were followed
including notice for public hearing, preparation of the findings of fact in accordance with Section
13.08.J of the Zoning Ordinance, and recommendation for approval by the Special Use Hearing
Officer on September 3, 2013; and

WHEREAS, the findings of fact were approved as follows:

That the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the special use will not be detrimental
to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare. If the conditions are adhered to the establishment, maintenance, and operation of the special use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare. The testimony has shown that the process as outlined by the petitioners will be a state of the art operation, there will be a USDA employee on site during the operations and ensure the public health and safety and monitoring of the employees and premises.

That the special use will not be substantially injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood. The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question shall be considered in determining consistency with this standard. The proposed use shall make adequate provisions for appropriate buffers, landscaping, fencing, lighting, building materials, open space and other improvements necessary to insure that the proposed use does not adversely impact adjacent uses and is compatible with the surrounding area and/or the County as a whole. The entire operation will take place within a building and the unloading area must be at least 400 from any principle structure on an adjoining lot. The zoning in the general area is agricultural which this process fits in with that classification.

That adequate utilities, access roads and points of ingress and egress, drainage, and/or other necessary facilities have been or are being provided. The special use will be utilizing the existing driveway and drainage will be closely evaluated when the engineering drawings are assessed.

That the special use shall in all other respects conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may in each instance be modified by the County Board pursuant to the recommendation of the Hearing Officer. The EPA, Illinois Department of Health, Kendall County Health Department and the Kendall County Building Department all have jurisdiction over different aspects of the special use and the regulations must be followed and adhered to.

That the special use is consistent with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies. The review and action to be taken on the special use petition will coincide with a proposed text amendment (Petition 13-16) that will permit a small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant as a special use in the A-1 District. The special use proposal will comply with all proposed conditions attached to the proposed text amendment.

WHEREAS, the Kendall County Board has considered the findings and recommendation of the Special Use Hearing Officer and finds that said petition is in conformance with the provisions and intent of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, this special use shall be treated as a covenant running with the land and is binding on the successors, heirs, and assigns as to the same special use conducted on the property; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDERED, that the Kendall County Board hereby grants approval of an A-1 Agricultural special use to operate a small poultry and small animal processing plant as indicated on the submitted Site Plan included as “Exhibit A” attached hereto and incorporated herein subject to the following conditions:
1. A maximum of 21,000 units a week.
2. Facilities (the unloading area) must be located at least 400’ from any principle structure.
3. No rendering may take place on the site.
4. Live animals may be held on the site for no more than twenty-four (24) hours.
5. All slaughtering/processing permitted only in an enclosed building.
6. The hours of operation are to be 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process turkeys.
7. In no event can poultry produced be sold for retail or wholesale by the processor on the processing site.
8. Parking shall be in accordance with Section 11 of the Zoning Ordinance including lighting.
9. All Applicable Federal, State and County rules and regulations shall apply.
10. Other such conditions as approved by the County Board.
11. Waste, by-products or any decomposable residue which results from the slaughtering of animals must be kept in a sealed container and picked up within 48 hours.
12. All signage shall comply with the provisions of Section 12 of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance (Sign Regulations)
13. Shall satisfy all requirements of the Kendall County Health Department and Building Department prior to the issuance of occupancy permits.
14. Performance Standards. All activities shall conform to the performance standards set forth in section 10.01.G.
15. Engineering drawings including stormwater must be approved before a building permit can be released.
16. Within 5 years, 50’ of the driveway apron must be a minimum of tar and chipped.

Failure to comply with the terms of this ordinance may be cited as a basis for amending or revoking this special use permit.

IN WITNESS OF, this Ordinance has been enacted by the Kendall County Board this 17th day of September, 2013.

Attest:

Kendall County Clerk
Debbie Gillette

Kendall County Board Chairman
John Shaw
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