CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL: Bill Ashton (Chair), Roger Bledsoe, Tom Casey, Larry Nelson, Ruben Rodriguez, John Shaw, Claire Wilson, Budd Wormley, Angela Zubko, and One Vacancy (Big Grove Township)

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Approval of Minutes from February 22, 2017 Meeting
Approval of Minutes from February 25, 2017 Annual Meeting

PETITIONS

1. 16-22 – JA Schleining LLC d/b/a Jets Towing and Services (Laid Over From February 2017 Meeting)

   Request: Rezoning from A-1 (Agricultural) to M-1 (Limited Manufacturing)
   Location: 790 Eldamain Road (1/3 Mile South of Galena Road) PIN 02-06-300-009 and -010 Bristol Township
   Purpose: Request to Rezone to Allow Petitioner to Operate a Towing and Truck Storage Business.

OLD BUSINESS

1. Approval of Letter to Kendall County Forest Preserve District RE: Forest Preserve Compliance with the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance

NEW BUSINESS

1. Update from Ad-Hoc Committee Meeting
2. Intern Special Use Identification and Tracking Project

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD

None

OTHER BUSINESS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. 16-14 Robert Delaney’s Request for an Outdoor Shooting Range – This petition will be heard by the Special Use Hearing Office on April 3, 2017.

2. 16-26 John and Sharon Pagel Living Trust – The petitioner requested the ZBA Hearing for the proposed rezoning from R-3 to R-1 be delayed until May.

3. The Plan Commission of the City of Plano held a public hearing on their proposed Comprehensive Plan Update on March 6, 2017.

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD/PUBLIC COMMENT

ADJOURNMENT  Next regularly scheduled meeting on Wednesday, April 26, 2017
Chairman Bill Ashton called the meeting to order at 7:05 p.m.

ROLL CALL
Members Present: Bill Ashton, Tom Casey, Larry Nelson, John Shaw, Claire Wilson, and Budd Wormley
Staff Present: Matthew H. Asselmeier, Senior Planner
Members Absent: Roger Bledsoe, Ruben Rodriguez, and Angela Zubko
In the Audience: Kelly Helland (Representing JA Schleining LLC d/b/a Jets Towing and Services)

APPROVAL OF AGENDA
Mr. Wilson made a motion, seconded by Mr. Wormley, to approve the agenda. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Mr. Nelson made a motion, seconded by Mr. Shaw, to approve the January 25, 2017, minutes. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

PETITIONS
16-22 JA Schleining LLC d/b/a Jets Towing and Services
Mr. Asselmeier briefly reviewed the case, summarizing the staff memorandum. In addition to the request for a map amendment, the petitioner is asking for variances related to paving material, parking in the side yard setback, and using evergreens instead of fencing for screening. Ms. Helland then presented information on behalf of the petitioner.

Mr. Nelson questioned the application in relation to Yorkville’s new Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Asselmeier stated that Yorkville amended their Future Land Use Map in the area to residential. Yorkville’s previous Future Land Use Map indicated the area to be industrial. Yorkville amended their Comprehensive Plan after the petitioner submitted the application.

Ms. Helland stated that the petitioner originally wanted to add this use to the list of special uses within the A-1 District. However, after discussions with Staff and PBZ Committee, the decision was made to apply for a map amendment. The petitioner has one (1) wrecker and (3) trucks located on the property. The petitioner does not tow to the property or store towed vehicle on the property. The petitioner is attempting to get a rebuilders license, but needs the proper zoning. The petitioner had no objections to planting evergreens as requested.

Mr. Nelson asked about the long-term plans for the business. Ms. Helland stated that the petitioner, his father, and two (2) independent contractors are the only people associated with the business at this site. The business does not have the clientele to use all of the trailers located on the property. The business hopes to have ten (10)-twelve (12) employees in the future.

Discussion occurred regarding the property turning into an eyesore. Mr. Wormley asked if PBZ received any complaints on this property. Mr. Asselmeier reported that PBZ received no comments on this proposal.
Discussion occurred regarding the possibility of gas, oil, hydraulic fuel, and other chemical leaking. Mr. Wormley noted that the health department raised no concerns on this topic.

Discussion occurred about setting a maximum number of trailers allowed on the property in exchange for the gravel parking variance.

Mr. Wormley noted that a similar situation existed on Light Road involving the placement of fifteen (15)-eighteen (18) construction trailers. Several Commissioners voiced concerns about the petitioner scrapping the trailers or using them for storage.

Ms. Wilson asked about the storage of trailers. Mr. Asselmeier stated that all work on the trailers had to occur inside a building and inoperable trucks must be stored in a building.

Ms. Helland noted that the EcoCat report had not been terminated because of the potential existence of an endangered fish in the area.

Mr. Ashton asked if the petitioner wanted the rezoning if the variances were not approved. Ms. Helland stated failure to secure the variances could impact the petitioner financially and could hamper his ability to remain at that location.

Commissioners discussed planting three (3) to four (4) foot tall evergreens on the north and south sides of the property, limiting the number of trailers, and keeping inoperable vehicles offsite or indoors.

Ms. Helland indicated that no one was living in the house; the petitioner is using the house as an office.

Ms. Helland agreed to work with Staff to finalize the variance requests; the petition was tabled at the petitioner’s request.

**OLD BUSINESS**
Mr. Asselmeier presented a memorandum from Mike Hoffman regarding spot zoning.

Discussion occurred regarding reconsidering the Pagel Case. No motion was made to reconsider the matter.

Mr. Ashton reminded everyone that the Annual Meeting is Saturday, February 25th, at 9:00 a.m.

**NEW BUSINESS**
Mr. Nelson moved to open the floor for nominations for officers and nominated Bill Ashton for Chairman, Budd Wormley for Vice-Chairman, Larry Nelson for Secretary, and Matt Asselmeier for Recording Secretary. There were no additional nominees. The call for nominations was closed. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

**REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD**
None

**OTHER BUSINESS/ANNOUNCEMENTS**
Petition 16-26, John and Sharon Pagel Living Trust, the petitioner requested the ZBA hearing for the proposed rezoning from R-3 to R-1 be postponed until May so that they can obtain images of the property draining stormwater.
The Plan Commission of the City of Plano will hold a public hearing on their proposed Comprehensive Plan Update on March 6th at 7:00 p.m., at Plano City Hall.

**CITIZENS TO BE HEARD/ PUBLIC COMMENT**
None

**ADJOURNMENT**
Mr. Wormley made a motion, seconded by Mr. Shaw, to adjourn. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried. The Regional Plan Commission meeting adjourned at 7:56 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,
Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP
Senior Planner
Call to Order: Chairman Bill Ashton called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

KCRPC Roll Call
Members Present: Chairman Bill Ashton, Tom Casey, Larry Nelson, Ruben Rodriguez, John Shaw, Claire Wilson, Budd Wormley (Vice-Chair), Angela Zubko, and one vacancy (Big Grove Township)
Members Absent: Roger Bledsoe
Others present: Matt Asselmeier, Senior Planner
Members in the Audience: Jack Westphall, Paul Kovacevich, Doug Westphal, Paul Medgyesi, Jason Enberg, Jeff Wilkins, Mike Hoffman, Krysti Noble, Dave Matlock, Jake Melrose, Jonathan Proulx, Robert Davidson, and Andrew Bogada

Welcoming Remarks
Bill Ashton welcomed thanked everyone for attending the annual meeting. There would be no business transacted at this meeting.

Approval of Agenda
Mr. Shaw made a motion to approve the agenda as written. Ms. Zubko seconded the motion. All were in favor and the agenda was approved.

REQUEST FOR PLAN AMENDMENTS
None

2016 PBZ Project Summary & 2017 Future Projects/Goals
Mr. Asselmeier provided a written report that included a summary of activities from 2016 within the Planning, Building, and Zoning Department including:
- Departure of senior planner in September 2016 after 11 months with Kendall County
- New senior planner started in January 2017
- 26 Petitions Filed in 2016 (13 Petitions in 2015)
Mr. Asselmeier explained some upcoming goals and objectives for 2017, including:
- Renew Intergovernmental Agreement between the County and the United City of Yorkville for reciprocal building inspection services
• Propose changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance to allow Historic Preservation Commission to obtain quorums at their meetings
• Explore adding variance information to GIS maps
• Explore adding historical zoning information to GIS maps
• Explore strategies for improving communication between PBZ and the townships and municipalities on zoning related matters
• Explore strategies for improving communication between PBZ, the public, and allied organizations on stormwater related issues per the requirements of Kendall County’s NPDES Permit
• Explore methods to better track conditions and compliance for special use permits and variances
• Continue working with the Northwest Water Planning Alliance
• Participate with planning of CMAP’s ‘Next Plan’ for the Chicago Region
• Review Future Land Use Plan for any areas that are best options for economic opportunities
• Continue reviewing and addressing potential changes in the Zoning Ordinance and application review processes for increased efficiency

Mr. Asselmeier also provided information on the zoning petitions from 2016 and the construction activity that took place during the previous year.

Chairman Ashton opened the floor to reports from attendees.

Krysti Noble, Community Development Director for the United City of Yorkville, discussed the update on the City’s Comprehensive Plan. She stated that 152 building permits were issued in Yorkville in 2016. She discussed a Built-To-Honor Permit, Yorkville waived the fees for home paid for by veteran that won a contest. She stated that Cedarhurst Living, a senior apartment complex for people 55 and older, will be under construction this spring.

Ms. Wilson asked why Yorkville reclassified Eldamain Road as Estate Residential in their updated Comprehensive Plan. Ms. Noble replied that Yorkville is focusing on development closer to and inside the present city limits. The Estate Residential classification is meant as a “holder” until development gets closer to that area. The new plan has a 10 year horizon.

Mr. Nelson expressed concerns about the potential conflicts between residential and commercial/industrial uses. He asked why the plan did not leave the area classified as agricultural. Ms. Noble said the plan could be amended in the future.

Mr. Shaw asked if Ms. Noble was aware that Eldamain Road was constructed for semis. Ms. Noble said that she was aware of this information.
Mr. Wormley stated that Meadowvale left Yorkville because of high costs and the company could not find space; perhaps they would have stayed if additional land was classified for industrial uses. Ms. Noble responded that this company looked at expanding to adjacent land but could not reach a financing agreement with the neighbor.

Ms. Wilson requested Yorkville to reexamine the Estate Residential classification on Eldamain Road. Ms. Noble responded that Yorkville spent 2 years working on this update and had civic engagement during that time, including with Kendall County representatives. The Lakota Group was Yorkville’s consultant on the plan. Mr. Nelson asked Administrator Jeff Wilkins about the meeting. Mr. Wilkins responded that the meeting was for general thoughts and no discussion on specifics occurred.

Mr. Nelson requested that the Commissioners’ email addresses be forwarded to Krysti in order to improve communication. Ms. Noble stated that the Yorkville Comprehensive Plan Information was online at www.yorkvilleplan.org.

Ms. Zubko stated the lack of staff at the County could have negatively impacted communication.

Ms. Wilson asked about a grocery store on the south side of Yorkville. Ms. Noble said that one store is interested and that they hope to have information available soon.

Mike Hoffman, of Teska Associates, provided an update on Plano’s Comprehensive Plan update. The website for the update is planplano.wordpress.com. The public hearing on the proposal is March 6, 2017, at 7:00 P.M., at Plano City Hall.

County Board Member Robert Davidson discussed his concerns about regulations for septic systems. The mass moving of dirt is no longer allowed. The new regulations for septic systems increased the costs of septic systems greatly. Member Davidson urged Commissioners to make sure ponds are large enough to accommodate water. He suggested that the Commission explore raising the minimum lot requirement to 1 acre in the unincorporated areas because there is not enough land on small lots to accommodate in-ground swimming pools and the area needed for septic fields.

Jonathan Proulx, Planning Director for the Village of Plainfield, provided an update on activity in Plainfield. Mr. Proulx reported that Plainfield issued 160 building permits, about 1/4 of these were in Kendall County. There was a lot of activity in the Grand Park Subdivision east of Ridge Road. The apartment component of Grand Park has moved forward and the age restriction for another development has been removed. Plainfield also approved a special use permit for Parkland Prep Academy, a school for special needs children. The developer for Vista Point, at Walker and Ridge (east of Ridge) is asking for residential development instead of a school site within the development.

Jack Westphall introduced himself as the new Plan Commission Chairman for Kendall Township.
Ms. Wilson expressed a desire for a noise ordinance within the agricultural areas of the County. She expressed concern about increased noise caused by banquet facilities and gun ranges in the unincorporated areas. She also expressed frustration that the Forest Preserve District allows a banquet center at Ellis and a daycare center at Hoover without the necessary special use permits. Member Davidson asked the Commission to send a letter to the Forest Preserve and Forest Preserve Board outlining their concerns. Mr. Asselmeier will draft the letter and place it on the next Planning Commission agenda for consideration. Member Davidson will bring up this concern at the next Forest Preserve meeting.

Mr. Nelson requested that the Ad-Hoc Committee meet in March to discuss the following: Plano’s Comprehensive Plan, Yorkville’s Comprehensive Plan, Gun Range Ordinance and Possible Moratorium on Special Uses for Gun Outdoor Gun Ranges, and Amending the Stormwater Ordinance to Address Plano’s Concerns for their Project at Foli Park.

Ms. Wilson suggested that the Commission review the plans of all of the municipalities.

**Old Business**
None

**New Business**
None

**Other Business**
There was no other business.

**Public Comment**
There was no public comment.

**Adjournment**
Mr. Wormley made a motion, seconded by Mr. Shaw, to adjourn the meeting. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried. At 10:15 a.m. the Regional Plan Commission adjourned.

Submitted by,
Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP
Senior Planner
Petition 16-22
JA Schleining LLC d/b/a Jets Towing and Services
Zoning Map Amendment – A-1 (Agricultural) to M-1 (Limited Manufacturing)

SITE INFORMATION
PETITIONER       JA Schleining LLC d/b/a Jets Towing and Services
ADDRESS           790 Eldamain Road
LOCATION          East side of Eldamain Road; 1/3 mile south of Galena Road

TOWNSHIP         Bristol
PARCEL #          02-06-300-009; -010
LOT SIZE          8.8 acres
EXITING LAND USE  Towing Service, Truck Storage, Residential
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ZONING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LRMP</th>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Mixed Use Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>Eldmain Road is a County Road classified as a Minor Collector Roadway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails</td>
<td>Proposed Local Yorkville Trail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain/Wetlands</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REQUESTED ACTION

Zoning Map Amendment to rezone from A-1 (Agricultural) to M-1 (Limited Manufacturing)

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

Section 13.07 – Zoning Map Amendments

SURROUNDING LAND USE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Adjacent Land Use</th>
<th>Adjacent Zoning</th>
<th>Land Resource Management Plan</th>
<th>Zoning within ½ Mile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Mixed Use Business</td>
<td>A-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Mixed Use Business</td>
<td>A-1; R-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Mixed Use Business</td>
<td>A-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Mixed Use Business</td>
<td>A-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PHYSICAL DATA

ENDANGERED SPECIES REPORT
Consultation was terminated.

NATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY
An Executive Summary was issued by the Kendall County Soil & Water Conservation District in September 2015. This report indicated a LESA score of 181 – a low level of protection with 100% of the soils being somewhat limited for small commercial buildings

ACTION SUMMARY

BRISTOL TOWNSHIP
Bristol Township met on this matter on March 1, 2017. They decided to table this matter until after the ZBA ruling.

UNITED CITY OF YORKVILLE
On August 23, 2016, The United City of Yorkville voted to oppose the proposed rezoning because the proposed new zoning district (M-1) was inconsistent with their proposed Comprehensive Plan. Staff would like to note that the United City of Yorkville did not adopt their proposed Comprehensive Plan until after the submittal of this application. This proposal was consistent with Yorkville’s previous Future Land Use Map.

ZPAC
ZPAC reviewed this proposal on August 2, 2016. Staff recommended denial of the variance for the parking surface requirement; they would like to see a hard surfaced parking area because gravel could be dragged onto Eldain Road which could damage the road. ZPAC voted 4-1 in favor of a positive recommendation of this proposal.

RPC
RPC reviewed the map amendment portion of this proposal on February 22, 2017. The petitioner agreed to work with Staff to finalize the variance requests. The Commission was concerned that the property could be turned into a junk yard for inoperable and unsightly trucks and trailers and appropriate restrictions should be placed on the variances. The matter was tabled at the petitioner’s request.

GENERAL
The subject property was placed in violation by the PBZ Department for operating a truck storage and towing service company in the A-1 Agricultural District. This type of use is not permitted in the A-1 Agricultural District with or without a special use. The property owners were notified that the only zoning district that would allow this type of use is the M-1 Manufacturing District. The property owners currently reside on the property.

The proposed rezoning would not necessarily be an example of spot zoning because the County’s Land Resource Management Plan calls for this area to be Mixed Use Business in future. While the current petitioners may be the first to request a rezoning, if the area develops as the Land Resource Management Plan indicates, they will not be the last petitioners to request rezoning. The Zoning Ordinance does not have a minimum acreage requirement for the M-1 Zoning District.

MAP AMENDMENT
The petitioner is requesting a zoning map amendment to rezone the 8.8 acre subject property from A-1 to M-1 so that the existing use may comply with the zoning designation. The County’s Land Use Plan indicates this area as Mixed Use Business. M-1 zoning is considered an appropriate zoning for this category in this. The United City of Yorkville’s previous Future Land Use Map indicated this area as Industrial.

BUILDING CODES
Structures that are used in conjunction with the truck storage and towing service operation will require a change of occupancy permit because the use of these buildings originally constructed for agricultural uses has changed. The buildings that are currently being used for the operation of the business should be noted for building code and fire code evaluations.
SCREENING
Section 10.01.A.2 of the Zoning ordinance states that all business, production, servicing and processing shall take place within completely enclosed buildings, unless otherwise specified. Within one hundred and fifty feet of a Residential District, all storage shall be in completely enclosed buildings or structures; and storage located elsewhere in this district may be open to the sky but shall be enclosed by solid walls or fences (including solid doors or gates thereto) at least eight feet high, but in no case lower in height than the enclosed storage; and suitably landscaped. However, open off-street loading facilities and open off-street parking of motor vehicles under one and one-half ton capacity may be un-enclosed throughout the district, except for such screening of parking and loading facilities as may be required under the provisions of Section 11.00. The petitioner is requesting a variance to plant evergreens in lieu of fencing to provide screening.

Although the outdoor storage taking place on the property is at least 150’ from residential districts, the petitioner will need to supply information addressing how storage of trucks will effectively be screened from adjacent properties with fencing and suitable landscaping.

Per the March 9th meeting with Staff, the petitioner agreed that the variance for fencing have the following restrictions: “The owner of the property shall install evergreen trees along the north, south, and east property lines. The trees shall be 5 feet in height at the time of planting and spaced in a manner that will provide a complete buffer within 5 years of planting. All uses allowed on the property, except grandfathered agricultural uses, shall be confined to the area buffered by the trees unless a separate variance is granted in the future. The owner of the property shall maintain the trees and replace them as quickly as possible in the event of damage or death of the tree. This restriction shall not prevent the owner of the property from leaving a gap in the tree line on the southeast corner of the buffer area in such a width that would allow a tractor to pass through to the field to the south.”

PARKING
Section 11.02.F.2 of the Zoning ordinance requires all parking and drive aisles constructed or reconstructed to be improved with “permanent, concrete, unit paver, asphalt surface or some other environmentally friendly surface or green design practices. Asphalt paving shall include a 9” compacted gravel base and 3” asphalt covering, or equivalent.” Currently, a gravel parking area and a gravel drive exists on the property.

The petitioner is seeking a variance for this requirement. Due to the intense use of trucks entering and exiting the site, staff does not recommend that the gravel drive and gravel parking area remain and recommends a hard surface be constructed for the parking and drive areas to prevent gravel being dragged out onto the roadway causing damage.

Per the March 9th meeting with Staff, the petitioner agreed that the variance for parking material have the following restrictions: “All vehicles and trailers shall be parked on a hard surface or a shaved asphalt surface. The maximum number of trailers allowed on the property shall be 20 and all trailers shall be plated with the Secretary of State. All trucks and trailers shall be parked on hard surfaces or a shaved asphalt surface within 120 days of the adoption of the rezoning and variance ordinances.”

In addition, the petitioner is requesting a variance to the parking of vehicles and trailers in the side yard setback.

Per the March 9th meeting with Staff, the petitioner agreed that the variance to parking in the setback have the following restrictions: “The owner of the property shall be allowed to park trucks and trailers in the setback as requested provided (1) all motorized vehicles parked in the setback shall be operable and maintained in operating condition and (2) all vehicles and trailers shall be parked in such a manner that will allow them to be moved quickly in the event of an emergency.”

LIGHTING
Information should be submitted in lighting is provided on the site for the operation. All lighting must comply with Section 11 of the Zoning Ordinance.

FINDINGS OF FACT-MAP AMENDMENT
§ 13.07.F of the Zoning Ordinance outlines findings that the Zoning Board of Appeals must make in order to
grant a map amendment. The findings of fact submitted with the application are included with exhibits. The petitioner & staff have answered as follows:

**Existing uses of property within the general area of the property in question.** The existing uses of property within the area of this property are a single family home and agricultural farm land which would be consistent with this request. The nearest M-1 zoned property is located approximately 2,750 feet to the northwest at 12127 Galena Road. If the map amendment is approved, the existing agricultural use would become lawfully non-conforming.

The **Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question.** The zoning classifications within the general area are currently A-1 and R-1 with farms and farmhouses.

The **suitability of the property in question for the uses permitted under the existing zoning classification.** The petitioner would like to rezone part of their property to M-1 in order to lawfully run his truck storage and towing business at the property. The property must be rezoned in order to operate this type of business at the subject property.

The **trend of development, if any, in the general area of the property in question, including changes, if any, which may have taken place since the day the property in question was in its present zoning classification.** The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not recommend the adoption of a proposed amendment unless it finds that the adoption of such an amendment is in the public interest and is not solely for the interest of the applicant. The Zoning Board of Appeals may recommend the adoption of an amendment changing the zoning classification of the property in question to any higher classification than that requested by the applicant. For the purpose of this paragraph the R-1 District shall be considered the highest classification and the M-2 District shall be considered the lowest classification. Several industrial users, including a Menard's distribution facility, are located south of the subject property along Eldamain Road inside the boundaries of the City of Plano. The adoption of the map amendment is in the public interest of implementing the recommendations of the Land Resource Management Plan.

Consistency with the **purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies.** The subject property and adjoining properties are identified as Mixed Use Business on the Future Land Use & Transportation Map of the Land Resource Management Plan. In addition, recommendation 3 (b) on page 6-34 of the Land Resource Management Plan states: “Ensure Lisbon/Eldamain Road is maintained as a commercial-industrial corridor.” The proposed map amendment would assist in implementing this recommendation. However, the proposal is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of the United City of Yorkville, adopted in 2016. Yorkville identified the area as Estate Residential in their new Comprehensive Plan. Yorkville previously identified the area as industrial in their previous Comprehensive Plan.

**OTHER INFORMATION**

*Per the March 9th meeting with Staff, the petitioner agreed to layover the map amendment portion of the request between the PBZ Committee meeting and the County Board meeting if 15 days would not have passed between the ZBA hearing and the County Board meeting. This layover will allow Bristol Township the opportunity to submit objections to the variances as allowed by State law.*

**CONCLUSION**

The rezoning of the subject property from A-1 (Agricultural) to M-1 (Limited Manufacturing) is consistent with the County’s Land Use Plan as well as the United City of Yorkville’s former Future Land Use Map. If rezoned to an M-1 zoning district, the property is required to be up to the standards of the M-1 district including required parking areas be constructed with a hard surface and proper fencing and screening of outdoor storage from adjacent properties.

**RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends approval of the rezoning portion of the request, subject to submission of the EcoCAT report.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Plat of Survey
2. Finding of Fact (Petitioner Submitted)
3. ZPAC Minutes 8.2.16
4. United City of Yorkville’s Opposition Report
5. Natural Resource Information
6. Jet Towing Aerial
7. Driveway Looking West
8. Driveway Looking East
9. East Parking Area
10. Northeast Corner
11. Existing House
12. Looking South
13. Looking East (South of the House)
14. Looking North
15. Parking Material
16. RPC Minutes 2.22.17
17. EcoCat Report
LEGAL DESCRIPTION

That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows:
Commencing at the Southeast Corner of said Southwest Quarter, thence Westerly, along the South Line of said Southwest Quarter, 2255.11 feet for the point of beginning, thence Northeasterly, parallel with the centerline of Eldaman Road, which forms an angle of 70'14'38" with the last described course (measured counterclockwise therefrom), 1149.27 feet, thence Westerly, parallel with said South Line, 443.0 feet to said centerline, thence Southwesterly, along said centerline, 1149.27 feet to said South Line, thence Easterly, along said South Line which forms an angle of 70'14'38" with the last described course (measured clockwise therefrom), 443.0 feet to the point of beginning.
EXCEPTING THEREFROM That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows:
Commencing at the Southeast Corner of said Southwest Quarter, thence Westerly, along the South Line of said Southwest Quarter, 2365.71 feet for the point of beginning, thence Northeasterly, parallel with the centerline of Eldaman Road, which forms an angle of 70'14'38" with the last described course (measured counterclockwise therefrom), 132.44 feet, thence Northwesterly, perpendicular to the last described course, 313.40 feet to said centerline, thence Southwesterly, along said centerline, 245.0 feet to said South Line, thence Easterly, along said South Line which forms an angle of 70'14'38" with the last described course (measured clockwise therefrom), 333.0 feet to the point of beginning.
AND ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian conveyed to Kendall County, Illinois by Document 201000023222 recorded December 12, 2010 and by Document 201400006872 recorded May 27, 2014, all in Bristol Township, Kendall County, Illinois.
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows: Commencing at the Southeast Corner of said Southwest Quarter; thence Westerly, along the South Line of said Southwest Quarter, 2255.11 feet for the point of beginning; thence Northeasterly, parallel with the centerline of Eldomain Road, which forms an angle of 70°14′38″ with the last described course (measured counterclockwise therefrom), 1149.27 feet; thence Westerly, parallel with said South Line, 443.0 feet to said centerline; thence Southwesterly, along said centerline, 1149.27 feet to said South Line; thence Easterly, along said South Line which forms an angle of 70°14′38″ with the last described course (measured clockwise therefrom), 443.0 feet to the point of beginning.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows: Commencing at the Southeast Corner of said Southwest Quarter, thence Westerly, along the South Line of said Southwest Quarter, 2365.11 feet for the point of beginning; thence Northeasterly, parallel with the centerline of Eldomain Road, which forms an angle of 70°14′38″ with the last described course (measured counterclockwise therefrom), 132.44 feet; thence Northwesterly, perpendicular to the last described course, 313.40 feet to said centerline, thence Southwesterly, along said centerline, 245.0 feet to said South Line; thence Easterly, along said South Line which forms an angle of 70°14′38″ with the last described course (measured clockwise therefrom), 333.0 feet to the point of beginning.

AND ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian conveyed to Kendall County, Illinois by Document 201000023222 recorded December 12, 2010 and by Document 201400006872 recorded May 27, 2014; all in Bristol Township, Kendall County, Illinois.
Attachment 2-Findings of Fact (Petitioner Submitted)

Petitioners are a young family which operate a truck hauling and off-site towing business. The general trend in development along Eldamain Road is industrial. There is the presence of high detention wires, a waste transfer station, the Menards Distribution Center, and other heavy industrial uses. Furthermore, the subject property is surrounded by vacant farmland and adjoins the major collector road for Eldamian Road. Given the size of the property and the trend of development the property owner would incur a tremendous cost with no benefit to the community if they were required to pave the property with a hard surface and fence in the entire property.

Given the size of the property and location of the buildings and existing home and agricultural buildings on the property the Petitioner would be unable to utilize any trailer parking if they were prohibited from parking trailers in the side yard setbacks. The requested variations form the Code would not be applicable generally to other manufacturing uses. There are several other Manufacturing Businesses located along Eldamain Rd. that are not completely fenced in, not paved with hard surface and have parking lots located in the front yard setbacks. The Variances are unique to this parcel.

The size of the parcel, location of the existing home, and agricultural buildings and the cost involved in fencing the property and paving the property are not hardships created by the Petitioner. The property is surrounded by vacant land that is currently being farmed and there is no benefit to the community to require the property to be fencing in or paved. As stated above most of the industrial uses along Eldamain Road are not fenced in, have parking within the front yard or side yard setbacks and several businesses do not have paved parking/loading areas.

The granting of the variation will not materially be detrimental to the public welfare and there will be virtually no impact on the public whatsoever as the property is surrounded by vacant farmland and heavy industrial uses. Additionally, Eldamain Road is a heavily travelled collector road with ComEd high tension wires running in the area. The likelihood of the Eldamain Corridor being with anything but Manufacturing is highly unlikely.
The Eldamain Corridor is an industrial corridor with heavy industrial uses and there will be no damage to any surrounding landowner or their safety.
Senior Planner John Sterrett called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

Present:
Scott Gryder – PBZ Committee Chair (Arrived)  
Fran Klaas – Highway Department  
Jason Langston – Sheriff’s Office  
Aaron Rybski – Health Department  
Megan Andrews – Soil & Water Conservation District  
John Sterrett – PBZ Department

Absent:
David Guritz - Forest Preserve  
Brian Holdiman - PBZ Department  
Greg Chismark – WBK Engineering, LLC

Audience: Attorney Dan Kramer; Attorney Kelly Helland

AGENDA
Mr. Klaas made a motion, seconded by Ms. Andrews, to amend the agenda to move public comment before approval of the minutes. With a voice vote of all ayes the motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENT
Dariusz Kozinski, VP of Service Employees International Union Local 1 appeared with employees of Cleaner Leading Services, the cleaning service for Kendall County buildings as well as others. Mr. Kozinski stated that employees with this company make low wages with no benefits and he would like to see the County look into using a responsible cleaning company.

MINUTES
Mr. Gryder made a motion, seconded by Mr. Klaas, to approve the July 12, 2016 meeting minutes with a correction to wording regarding class v injection requirements. With a voice vote of all ayes the motion carried.

PETITIONS
16-18 LRMP Amendment
The Kendall County Planning, Building, and Zoning Department was approached by a property owner located at the northwest corner of State Route 31 and Light Road regarding a proposed expansion of an existing indoor self-service storage facility. The expansion would consist of the construction of a 8,400sf self-service storage building as well as a proposed outdoor storage area. The existing facility is zoned as B-2 (General Business) with a special use to operate the indoor self-service storage facility. The special use was granted for the indoor self-service storage facility in 1976. The parcel immediately to the south of the existing facility, where the expansion is proposed, is zoned as B-1 (Local Shopping). The B-1 district does not permit indoor self-service storage facilities nor does it allow outdoor storage either by right or by special use. The B-2 district allows for an indoor self-service storage facility as a conditional use and allows for outdoor storage as a special use. The property owner therefore will need to seek a rezoning of the current B-1 zoned property to B-2 for this expansion.

When reviewing proposed zoning map amendments, the County's Land Use Plan is taken into consideration to determine the proper zoning and uses for a specific area. The County’s Land Use Plan currently identifies the subject area at the northwest corner of State Route 31 and Light Road as suburban residential (max density 1.00 du/acre). The existing zoning in the subject area consists of a mix of commercial zoning: B-1 (Local Shopping), B-2 (General Business), B-3 (Highway Commercial) with the existing uses of a gas station, a commercial strip mall, the self-service storage facility, a decommissioned water treatment facility, and stormwater detention facilities to serve these commercial uses. The area totals 10.5 acres.
Staff is of the opinion that given the existing zoning classifications and existing commercial uses in this area that the County’s Land Use plan be amended to reflect commercial development for consistency with existing zoning and uses. Attached is a draft amendment to this portion of the Land Use Plan identifying the area as commercial. Per State Statute, a public hearing must take place as part of amending the County’s Land Use Plan. This public hearing will occur at the next Regional Plan Commission meeting in August.

Mr. Klaas made a motion, seconded by Mr. Gryder, to forward the petition onto the Plan Commission with a favorable recommendation. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

16-20 – Stor-Mor, Inc. – Zoning Map Amendment – B-1 to B-2
Mr. Sterrett outlined the request for a zoning map amendment for the property at 1317 Route 31 in Oswego Township. Stor Mor Inc is requesting a zoning map amendment to rezone the 3.2 acre property from B-1 to B-2 to allow for an expansion of the enclosed self service storage facility and to allow outdoor storage. The county’s Land Use Plan identifies this area as Suburban Residential. A rezoning of this property will require an amendment to the County’s Land Use Plan to allow for commercial development. The County’s Regional Plan Commission discussed this potential change at their June and July meetings and will hold a public hearing on the amendment in August. The existing zoning classifications and land uses in the area are consistent with a commercial category and would benefit from a change in the land use plan to accommodate any future commercial redevelopment at the intersection.

Mr. Klaas made a motion, seconded by Mr. Gryder, to forward the petition onto the Plan Commission with a favorable recommendation. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

16-20 – Stor-Mor, Inc. – B-2 Special Use – Outdoor Storage and Enclosed Self-Service Storage
Mr. Sterrett outlined the request for a special use for an outdoor storage as well as enclosed self-service storage for the property at 1317 Route 31 in Oswego Township. Stor Mor Inc intends to construct a 8,400sf enclosed self-storage facility just south of the three existing buildings. In addition to this enclosed structure, twenty-nine (29) angled parking stalls are proposed for RV storage outdoors. Staff notes that the drive aisle leading to twelve (12) of these parking stalls is a dead end and the angled parking stalls will require any vehicle stored to be backed out completely down the access aisle. Prior to construction, approval from the Oswego Fire Protection District should be received that this dead end access drive will not require a turnaround for emergency vehicles.

To address the screening of the outdoor storage area from adjacent properties, the petitioner is proposing to install emerald green arborvitae along the south and west property line. Evergreen trees along a portion of the east property line will be installed to screen from State Route 31. The storage area will be completely fenced in. The petitioner has indicated that no vehicle will be accepted to store on site that is not in working condition. The hours of operation would remain the same as they are currently for the existing self-service storage facility which has office hours of 8:00am to 5:00pm and gate hours of 7:00am to 7:00pm.

If the Land Use plan is amended to commercial for the subject parcel and immediately surrounding properties and approval is granted for the zoning map amendment request from B-1 to B-2, staff recommends the following conditions be placed on the controlling ordinance for the especial use:

- The property will be developed in accordance with the site plan
- A building permit shall be secured prior to construction of the proposed storage building
- A stormwater management permit shall be secured prior to the development of the property
- The outdoor storage and expansion of the enclosed self-service storage facility shall be effectively screened from adjacent properties.
- Office hours of operation shall be limited to 8:00am to 5:00pm and gate hours of operation shall be limited to 7:00am to 7:00pm
- No more than twenty-nine (29) vehicles may be stored on site at a time
- All vehicles stored on site shall be located within a designated stall
- All lighting shall comply with Section 11 of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance

Mr. Gryder made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rybski, to forward the petition onto the Plan Commission with a favorable recommendation. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

16-21 – High Grove Subdivision – Zoning Map Amendment – RPD-2 to R-2

ZPAC Meeting Minutes 8.2.16
Mr. Sterrett outlined the request for a zoning map amendment for the property on the west side of Grove Road, approximately 1 mile north of Route 52 in Seward Township. The petitioners, Tom and Suzanne Casey, are requesting a zoning map amendment to rezone the 9.9 acres from RPD-2 to R-2. The County Land Use Plan identifies this area as Rural Residential with a maximum density of 0.65 dwelling units per acre. With a proposed four (4) lot subdivision, the property will have a density of 0.40 dwelling units per acre. This is less than the proposed density of the original 48 lot development on the 109 acre tract of land. The Village of Plattville’s Comprehensive Plan identifies this area as low density residential with a maximum density equivalent to the County of 0.65 dwelling units per acre. The City of Joliet identifies this area as residential with a maximum density of 2.5 dwelling units per acre. The property was originally approved in 2006 as a 48 lot single family home subdivision with lots of open space on an overall 109 acre tract of land. The final plat of subdivision was not recorded thus voiding the approval. Since that time the Joliet Park District has acquired 97 of the 109 acres from the petitioners. Of the remaining 12 acres from the original development, the petitioner is requesting to rezone 9.9 acres to R-2 Single-family Residential.

Ms. Andrews made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rybski, to forward the petition onto the Plan Commission with a favorable recommendation. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

16-21 – High Grove Subdivision – Preliminary and Final Plat of Subdivision
Mr. Sterrett explained that the petitioner’s have contemporaneously submitted a Preliminary and Final Plat for a four (4) lot subdivision. Additional information that should be included on the Preliminary Plat. Four residential lots are proposed on the Preliminary and Final Plat. Each of the four lots has a lot size of 97,379 square feet. These lot sizes meet the minimum lot size requirement of 90,000 square feet of the R-2 district. Grove Road currently has a seventy (70) foot right-of-way. As a major collector roadway, 120’ of right-of-way is required. An additional twenty-five (25) feet of ROW should be dedicated on the west side of Grove Road for future widening. This additional right-of-way dedication should be identified on the Preliminary and Final Plat. This dedication will alter the proposed lot sizes for lots 1 and 2.

The four (4) lots are proposed to be served by a private road within proposed lot 5 having a width of 33’. Private drives serving three or more residential lots must demonstrate that the site could not otherwise be preserved if a public road was provided such as significant trees, topography, water features, historic sites, rural character, etc. It is staff’s understanding that the private road has an easement for ingress and egress granted to the Joliet Park District for access to a future park site. A maximum distance for a shared private drive serving three or more residential lots from a public road ROW is 500’. Staff recommends the Preliminary and Final Plat be revised to indicate lot 5 containing the private road be extended no more than 50’ from the edge of the future dedicate road right-of-way to serve the four (4) residential lots. A proposed ten (10) foot public utility and drainage easement is located along the front of the proposed lots. Section 9.04 of the Subdivision Control Ordinance requires utility and drainage easements be provided at the rear of all residential lots and along the side lot lines where required. Such utility easement shall be a minimum five (5) feet wide, ten (10) feet on the rear of each lot or a minimum to maintain the utility or drainage function of the property in accordance with the size and depth of utility or drainage route. The Preliminary and Final Plat should be revised to reflect these required easement locations and sizes.

The Preliminary and Final Plat must include the location and orientation of septic system envelopes on each individual residential lot as well as the location of well envelopes on each individual residential lot. Soil classifications were included on a submitted Zoning Plat. This information should also be included on the Preliminary and Final Plat. A soil analysis is required as part of the preliminary plat. Topography for the site has been included on the submitted Zoning Plat. This information should also be included on the Preliminary and Final Plat. Information should also be included to show the intent of surface drainage. Mr. Klaas recommended that an additional 15’ of ROW be dedicated on the west side of Grove Road with Additional dedication of 15’ along Grove Road including the 2 acres to the north outside of the proposed development to total a 50’ right-of-way.

Recording of a no access easement along Grove Road on lots 1 and 2 and the 2 acres to the north outside of the proposed development. Mr. Klaas also recommended that the private road lot be revised with a width of forty (40) feet rather than thirty-three (33) feet.

Mr. Rybski stated that a soil analysis is needed and should be submitted to the Health Department for review. Septic envelopes and soils classifications should also be included on the Preliminary Plat.
Mr. Klaas made a motion, seconded by Mr. Gryder, to forward the petition onto the Plan Commission with a favorable recommendation. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

16-22 – JA Schleining LLC d/b/a Jet’s Towing Service

Mr. Sterrett stated that the subject property was placed in violation by the PBZ Department for operating a truck storage and towing service company in the A-1 Agricultural District. This type of use is not permitted in the A-1 Agricultural district with or without a special use. The property owners were notified that the only zoning district that would allow this type of use is the M-1 Manufacturing District. The property owners currently reside on the property.

The petitioner is requesting a zoning map amendment to rezone the 8.8 acre subject property from A-1 to M-1 so that the existing use may comply with the zoning designation. The County’s Land Use Plan indicates this area as Mixed Use Business. M-1 zoning is considered an appropriate zoning for this category in this. The United City of Yorkville indicates this area as Industrial.

Structures that are used in conjunction with the truck storage and towing service operation will require a change of occupancy permit because the use of these buildings originally constructed for agricultural uses has changed. The buildings that are currently being used for the operation of the business should be noted for building code and fire code evaluations.

Section 10.01.A.2 of the Zoning ordinance states that all business, production, servicing and processing shall take place within completely enclosed buildings, unless otherwise specified. Within one hundred and fifty feet of a Residential District, all storage shall be in completely enclosed buildings or structures; and storage located elsewhere in this district may be open to the sky but shall be enclosed by solid walls or fences (including solid doors or gates thereto) at least eight feet high, but in no case lower in height than the enclosed storage; and suitably landscaped. However, open off-street loading facilities and open off-street parking of motor vehicles under one and one-half ton capacity may be un-enclosed throughout the district, except for such screening of parking and loading facilities as may be required under the provisions of Section 11.00.

Although the outdoor storage taking place on the property is at least 150’ from residential districts, the petitioner will need to supply information addressing how storage of trucks will effectively be screened from adjacent properties with fencing and suitable landscaping. Section 11.02.F.2 of the Zoning ordinance requires all parking and drive aisles constructed or reconstructed to be improved with “permanent, concrete, unit paver, asphalt surface or some other environmentally friendly surface or green design practices. Asphalt paving shall include a 9” compacted gravel base and 3” asphalt covering, or equivalent.” Currently, a gravel parking area and a gravel drive exists on the property.

It is staff’s understanding that a variance may be sought from the petitioner for this requirement. Due to the intense use of trucks entering and exiting the site, staff does not recommend that the gravel drive and gravel parking area remain and recommends a hard surface be constructed for the parking and drive areas to prevent gravel being dragged out onto the roadway causing damage. Information should be submitted in lighting is provided on the site for the operation. All lighting must comply with Section 11 of the Zoning Ordinance.

Prior to a recommendation, staff requests that the petitioner provide staff with the variance requests that will be sought from the requirements of the M-1 zoning districts standards. A completed EcoCAT report must also be submitted. Staff is of the opinion that comments from the United City of Yorkville and Bristol Township should be received prior to the County’s Regional Plan Commission meeting.

Mr. Gryder made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rybski, to forward the petition onto the Plan Commission with a favorable recommendation pending resolution of the items noted by Staff. Mr. Sterrett called the roll. Gryder – Aye, Rybski- Aye, Langston – Aye, Klaas – No.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD

None

OLD BUSINESS

None

NEW BUSINESS

None

ADJOURNMENT
Mr. Rybski made a motion, seconded by Mr. Langston, to adjourn. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried. The ZPAC, at 10:07am, adjourned.
Agenda Item Summary Memo

Title: Kendall County Case #16-22 Jet’s Towing – Rezoning Request (1.5 Mile Review)

Meeting and Date: City Council – August 23, 2016

Synopsis: Rezoning request from A-1 to M-1 in Kendall County for a truck towing and truck storage operation.

Council Action Previously Taken:

Date of Action: Action Taken:

Item Number: 

Type of Vote Required: Majority

Council Action Requested: Formal Objection

Submitted by: Krysti J. Barksdale-Noble, AICP Community Development

Name Department

Agenda Item Notes:

See attached memo and report.
Memorandum

To: City Council
From: Krysti Barksdale-Noble, Community Development Director
CC: Bart Olson, City Administrator
Date: August 15, 2016
Subject: Kendall County Case #16-22 – Jet’s Towing (Rezoning Request)
1.5 Mile Review

Staff Recommendation Summary:

Staff has reviewed the request from Kendall County Planning & Zoning Department along with the subsequent documents attached. This subject property is located within one and a half miles of the planning boundary for Yorkville allowing us the opportunity to review and provide comments to Kendall County. The petitioner, J.A. Schleining, LLC, d/b/a Jets Towing and Services, is requesting a Zoning Map Amendment (re zoning) from the A-1 Agricultural District to the M-1 Limited Manufacturing District to operate a towing and truck storage area on an approximately 8.8-acre parcel located about one (1) mile north of Corneils Road and just south of Galena Road in Bristol Township. The location of the proposed towing operation will be at 9790 Eldamain Road which currently has five (5) existing barn-type buildings and structures.

This item was delivered to the City on July 26, 2016. This item was heard at the August 2, 2016 Kendall County Zoning, Plating & Advisory Committee (ZPAC) meeting at the County Office Building and was not unanimously recommended but moved forward to the County’s Plan Commission. The petition is tentatively scheduled to be heard at the September 28th Kendall County Plan Commission meeting. It is then scheduled for a public hearing at the County’s Zoning Board of Appeals meeting on October 3, 2016 and then to the full County Board on October 18, 2016.

Plan Commission Recommendation:

Upon the review of the Planning and Zoning Commission with regards to the proposed future comprehensive plan designation of this property, they expressed some objections to the petitioners’ request since it is a currently operating land use in violation of the existing Kendall County A-1 zoning district. Furthermore, the Planning and Zoning Commission felt that the proposed M-1 Limited Manufacturing District is inappropriate for the surrounding land area and may require further variances from the County’s standards with regards to fencing (landscaping) and parking surface.

While the proposed manufacturing use is consistent with the City’s current future land use plan, it is noted that the proposed Comprehensive Plan Update has designated this area for Estate/Conservation Residential – inconsistent with the proposed use requested. Finally, the Commission recommended having all semi-truck towing and repair operations happen in a completely enclosed building/structure and not allow any storage of vehicles on gravel surfaces, should the County permit the proposed rezoning.

It was the recommendation of the Planning and Zoning Commission that the City Council file a formal objection to Kendall County regarding the petition with the following vote:

Action Item:
Harker-aye; Vinyard-aye; Olson-aye; Horaz-aye; Goins – aye; Gockman-aye; Marcum-
aye
7 ayes; 0 no
Attachments:

1. Staff memorandum to the Planning and Zoning Commission dated August 2, 2016.
2. Kendall County Planning and Zoning Memo with Attachments.
Resolution No. 2016-____

A RESOLUTION OF THE UNITED CITY OF YORKVILLE, KENDALL COUNTY, ILLINOIS, RECOMMENDING DENIAL AND FILING OF A WRITTEN PROTEST TO THE PROPOSED REZONING REQUEST IN PETITION NUMBER 16-22 FOR THE PROPERTY AT 790 ELDAMAIN ROAD IN BRISTOL TOWNSHIP

WHEREAS, the United City of Yorkville (the “City”) is a duly organized and validly existing non home-rule municipality created in accordance with the Constitution of the State of Illinois of 1970 and the laws of the State; and,

WHEREAS, J. A. Schleining LLC (the “Applicant”) has filed an application with the County of Kendall for the rezoning of its property at 790 Eldamain Road from A-1 Agricultural to M-1 Limited Manufacturing for a truck towing and truck storage business that is within 1.5 miles of the corporate limits of the City; and,

WHEREAS, the City has adopted a Comprehensive Plan that includes the Applicant’s property which update designated this property for estate/conservation residential uses; and,

WHEREAS, the City’s Planning and Zoning Commission considered the rezoning petition at its public meeting on August 15, 2016 and recommended by a 7 aye and 0 no vote to the City Council that such request be denied and a formal objection by written protest be filed by the City in that the proposed manufacturing use is currently being operated in violation of the existing Kendall County zoning regulations, that it was inappropriate when compared to the surrounding agricultural zoned uses and that it is inconsistent with the City’s Comprehensive Plan Update; and,

WHEREAS, the Mayor and City Council (the “Corporate Authorities”) have considered the recommendation of the Planning and Zoning Commission and agree that the rezoning does not meet the factors established by the Illinois Supreme Court in the LaSalle National Bank and Sinclair Pipe Line cases in that:

1. The existing uses and zoning of the nearby property are agricultural and the rezoning would create spot zoning of incompatible uses,
2. The property values of the adjacent property would be diminished by the rezoning allowing such dissimilar uses to be adjacent,
3. The reduction of adjacent property values would not promote the health, safety, morals and general welfare of the pubic,
4. There is no relative gain for such a business that is lawfully permitted in many other locations in the County compared to the potential hardships of adjoining property owners,
5. The suitability of the property for this use cannot be assumed because such a use is currently in violation of the county’s zoning regulations and rezoning will not change the reason that it is currently in violation,
6. The property has not been vacant considering the land use in the area,
7. There is no community need for such a use as such a use is permitted in many other locations in the County, and
8. The City has undertaken much review and care in the adoption of its Zoning Ordinance and Comprehensive Plan Update that makes the rezoning uses inconsistent with those regulations; and,

WHEREAS, the Corporate Authorities concur with the recommendation of the Planning and Zoning Commission for the denial of this rezoning and the filing of this written protest requiring the favorable vote of ⅔ of all members of the County Board if such application was considered for approval pursuant to Section 5-12014 of the Counties Code (55 ILCS 5/5-12014).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Mayor and City Council of the United City of Yorkville, Kendall County, Illinois, as follows:

Section 1: The above recitals are incorporated herein and made a part of this Resolution.

Section 2: That the Corporate Authorities hereby request that the Kendall County Board deny the rezoning application, Petition Number 16-22, for 790 Eldamin Road or in the alternative not approve without a ⅔ vote of the County Board and require all business operations to be within enclosed buildings and not allow any storage on gravel surfaces.

Section 3: That the City Clerk shall send a certified copy of this Resolution to the Kendall County Department of Planning, Building & Zoning and to the Kendall County Clerk. The City Clerk shall also send a copy by certified mail to the applicant and applicant’s attorney.

Section 4: This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Passed by the City Council of the United City of Yorkville, Kendall County, Illinois this _______ day of __________________, 2016.

__________________________________
CITY CLERK

CARLO COLOSIMO KEN KOCH
JACKIE MILSCHEWSKI LARRY KOT
CHRIS FUNKHOUSER JOEL FRIEDERS
DIANE TEELING SEAVER TARULIS

Approved by me, as Mayor of the United City of Yorkville, Kendall County, Illinois, this _______ day of __________________, 2016.

__________________________________
MAYOR
Memorandum

To: Planning and Zoning Commission
From: Krysti Barkdale-Noble, Community Development Director
CC: Bart Olson, City Administrator
     Jason Engberg, Senior Planner
Date: August 2, 2016
Subject: Kendall County Case #16-22 – Jet’s Towing (Rezoning Request)
        1.5 Mile Review

Proposal Summary

Staff has reviewed the request from Kendall County Planning & Zoning Department along with the subsequent documents attached. This subject property is located within one and a half miles of the planning boundary for Yorkville allowing us the opportunity to review and provide comments to Kendall County. The petitioner, J.A. Schleining, LLC, d/b/a Jets Towing and Services, is requesting a Zoning Map Amendment (rezoning) from the A-1 Agricultural District to the M-1 Limited Manufacturing District to operate a towing and truck storage area on an approximately 8.8-acre parcel located about one (1) mile north of Corneils Road and just south of Galena Road in Bristol Township. The location of the proposed towing operation will be at 9790 Eldamain Road which currently has five (5) existing barn-type buildings and structures.

According to information obtained from the County and the petitioner’s attorney, the proposed towing and truck storage area use is currently operating on the site and the request for rezoning stemmed from a compliance violation. The owners propose to still maintain the existing home on the property as their primary residence and conduct their semi-truck towing and minor repair business in one or more of the out buildings on site. In addition, temporary outdoor storage of the semi-trucks is also proposed.

There will be no outside employees as part of the proposed towing operation, other than the current owners of the property. The property owners will seek a fence variance and may seek a variance to allow the vehicles to be stored on the existing gravel driveway surface rather than a hard surface (i.e., concrete or asphalt) as required by County code.

Kendall County’s M-1 Limited Manufacturing District does allow for residences, termed “watchman quarters”, within this zoning classification. However, the county’s Future Land Use designation for this area is Mixed-Use Business which provides for land uses that create employment opportunities for County residents while providing a balanced tax base. Further, this land use category overlaps with the County’s Office and Research Park District as well as
the County’s three (3) manufacturing district. According to Kendall County’s Land Resource Management Plan, buildings and land in the Mixed Use Business land use category should be properly landscaped and developed in an orderly manner that conveys a well-maintained environment.

**Yorkville Comprehensive Plan**

Yorkville’s current 2008 Comprehensive Plan designation for this property is Industrial which is intended to “allow limited and general manufacturing, assembly, wholesale and warehouse uses in distinct areas that can be adequately served by transportation and other infrastructure.

In addition to the location need determined by infrastructure, these areas should also locate where truck and/or rail traffic and hours of operation would not serve as a nuisance to their surrounding neighbors.” A specific location identified in the 2008 Comprehensive Plan for Industrial Land Uses were the Eldomair Corridor between the BNSF railroad and Galena Road.

However, according to the proposed Comprehensive Plan Update which is up for consideration at tonight’s Planning and Zoning Commission, the recommended future land use designation for this property is Estate/Conservation Residential. This land use designation is primarily intended low-density detached single family housing with sensitive environmental or scenic features with preserving, but allows for flexibility in residential design. Manufacturing or any business uses are not included in this future land use designation description.
**Staff Recommendation & Comments**

Staff has reviewed the comprehensive plan designation and has some objections to the petitioners’ request since it is a currently operating land use in violation of the existing Kendall County A-1 zoning district. Furthermore, it is staff’s opinion that the proposed M-1 Limited Manufacturing District is inappropriate for the surrounding land area and may require further variances from the County’s standards with regards to fencing (landscaping) and parking surface. While the proposed manufacturing use is consistent with the City’s current future land use plan, it is noted that the proposed Comprehensive Plan Update has designated this area for Estate/Conservation Residential – inconsistent with the proposed use requested. Should the County permit the proposed rezoning, staff would recommend having all semi-truck towing and repair operations happen in a completely enclosed building/structure and not allow any storage of vehicles on gravel surfaces.

Staff will be available to answer any questions the Planning and Zoning Commission may have regarding the County Petition. This item was delivered to the City on July 26, 2016 with feedback requested prior to Kendall County Board consideration. This item was heard at the August 2, 2016 Zoning and Platting Advisory Committee conducted by Kendall County.

**Attachments:**

1. Kendall County Planning and Zoning Memo with Attachments.
To: WBK Engineering, LLC – Greg Chismark  
Highway Department- Fran Klaas  
Kendall County Forest Preserve- David Guritz  
Kendall County Health Department- Aaron Rybski  
Bristol-Kendall Fire Protection District- Chief Mike Torrence  
GIS Mapping Department- Chris Balog  
Building Department- Brian Holdiman  
United City of Yorkville – Krysti Barksdale-Noble  
Soil & Water Conservation District- Megan Andrews  
Sheriff’s Office- Commander Mike Peters  
Bristol Township- Robert Walker, Supervisor  
Bristol Township – Julie Bennett, Clerk  
Bristol Township Road District- Jeff Comels, Commissioner  
PBZ Committee- Scott Gryder, Chair  
File

From: Kendall County Planning, Building & Zoning Department

Date: July 26, 2016

Re: Petition #16-22 – Jet’s Towing – 790 Eldamain Road, Bristol Township (PIN 02-06-300-009; -010)
Attached is information received for a request for a Zoning Map Amendment from A-1 Agricultural to M-1 Limited Manufacturing. The property is currently being use for a towing and truck storage area.

Please send questions or comments to:  
Kendall County  
Planning, Building & Zoning Department  
Attn: John Sterrett  
111 West Fox Street, Room 203  
Yorkville, IL 60560  
jsterrett@co.kendall.il.us

A Zoning and Platting Advisory Committee meeting will be held on Tuesday, August 2, 2016 at 9:00am at the Kendall County Office Building.
**DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, BUILDING & ZONING**

111 West Fox Street • Yorkville, IL • 60560
(630) 553-4141 • Fax (630) 553-4179

**APPLICATION**

**PROJECT NAME**

**FILE #**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF APPLICANT</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J.A. Schleining LLC d/b/a Jets Towing and Services</td>
<td>PROJECT NAME</td>
<td>FILE #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CURRENT LANDOWNER/NAME(s)</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joshua Schleining and Tammi Schleining</td>
<td>PROJECT NAME</td>
<td>FILE #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE INFORMATION</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACRES 8.8387</td>
<td>790 Eldaman Road, Plano, Illinois 60545</td>
<td>02-06-300-009/02-06-300-010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXISTING LAND USE</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REQUESTED ACTION (Check All That Apply):</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>SPECIAL USE</em></td>
<td><em>MAP AMENDMENT (Rezone to) M-1</em></td>
<td><em>VARIANCE</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A-1 CONDITIONAL USE for:</td>
<td>SITE PLAN REVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPD (Concept; Preliminary; Final)</td>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FINAL PLAT</td>
<td>OTHER PLAT (Vacation, Dedication,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMENDMENT TO A SPECIAL USE (Major; Minor)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIMARY CONTACT</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kelly A. Kramer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1107A South Bridge St., Yorkville, IL 60560</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kkramer@dankramerlaw.com">kkramer@dankramerlaw.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIMARY CONTACT PHONE #</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>630-553-9500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIMARY CONTACT FAX #</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>630-553-5764</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIMARY CONTACT OTHER #(Cell, etc.)</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGINEER CONTACT</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGINEER PHONE #</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGINEER FAX #</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGINEER OTHER #(Cell, etc.)</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FILE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I UNDERSTAND THAT BY SIGNING THIS FORM, THAT THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION MAY BE VISITED BY COUNTY STAFF & BOARD/COMMISSION MEMBERS THROUGHOUT THE PETITION PROCESS AND THAT THE PRIMARY CONTACT LISTED ABOVE WILL BE SUBJECT TO ALL CORRESPONDENCE ISSUED BY THE COUNTY.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION AND EXHIBITS SUBMITTED ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND THAT I AM TO FILE THIS APPLICATION AND ACT ON BEHALF OF THE ABOVE SIGNATURES.

**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT**

**DATE**

---

1Primary Contact will receive all correspondence from County

2Engineering Contact will receive all correspondence from the County's Engineering Consultants

Last Revised 9.28.12
Special Use

Date Stamp Here If Checklist Is Complete
LEGAL DESCRIPTION

That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows:

Commencing at the Southeast Corner of said Southwest Quarter, thence Westerly, along the South Line of said Southwest Quarter, 2255.11 feet for the point of beginning, thence Northeasterly, parallel with the centerline of Eldoman Road, which forms an angle of 70°14'38" with the last described course (measured counterclockwise therefrom), 1149.27 feet, thence Westerly, parallel with said South Line, 443.0 feet to said centerline, thence Southwesterly, along said centerline, 1149.27 feet to said South Line, thence Easterly, along said South Line which forms an angle of 70°14'38" with the last described course (measured clockwise therefrom), 443.0 feet to the point of beginning.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows:

Commencing at the Southeast Corner of said Southwest Quarter, thence Westerly, along the South Line of said Southwest Quarter, 2365.74 feet for the point of beginning, thence Northeasterly, parallel with the centerline of Eldoman Road, which forms an angle of 70°14'38" with the last described course (measured counterclockwise therefrom), 132.44 feet, thence Northerly, perpendicular to the last described course, 313.40 feet to said centerline, thence Southwesterly, along said centerline, 245.0 feet to said South Line, thence Easterly, along said South Line which forms an angle of 70°14'38" with the last described course (measured clockwise therefrom), 333.0 feet to the point of beginning.

AND ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian conveyed to Kendall County, Illinois by Document 201000023222 recorded December 12, 2010 and by Document 2014000065872 recorded May 27, 2014, all in Bristol Township, Kendall County, Illinois.
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows: Commencing at the Southeast Corner of said Southwest Quarter; thence Westerly, along the South Line of said Southwest Quarter, 2255.11 feet for the point of beginning; thence Northeasterly, parallel with the centerline of Eldomain Road, which forms an angle of 70°14'38" with the last described course (measured counterclockwise therefrom), 1149.27 feet; thence Westerly, parallel with said South Line, 443.0 feet to said centerline; thence Southwesterly, along said centerline, 1149.27 feet to said South Line; thence Easterly, along said South Line which forms an angle of 70°14'38" with the last described course (measured clockwise therefrom), 443.0 feet to the point of beginning;

EXCEPTING THEREFROM That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows: Commencing at the Southeast Corner of said Southwest Quarter; thence Westerly, along the South Line of said Southwest Quarter, 2365.11 feet for the point of beginning; thence Northeasterly, parallel with the centerline of Eldomain Road, which forms an angle of 70°14'38" with the last described course (measured counterclockwise therefrom), 132.44 feet; thence Northwesterly, perpendicular to the last described course, 313.40 feet to said centerline; thence Southwesterly, along said centerline, 245.0 feet to said South Line; thence Easterly, along said South Line which forms an angle of 70°14'38" with the last described course (measured clockwise therefrom), 333.0 feet to the point of beginning;

AND ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM That Part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 37 North, Range 7 East of the Third Principal Meridian conveyed to Kendall County, Illinois by Document 201000023222 recorded December 12, 2010 and by Document 201400006872 recorded May 27, 2014, all in Bristol Township, Kendall County, Illinois.
NATURAL RESOURCE INFORMATION (NRI) EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT: 1503

September 2015

Petitioner: Joshua Schleining / Tammi Schleining
Contact: Attorney Kelly Helland

Prepared by:

Kendall County Soil & Water Conservation District
7775A Route 47 • Yorkville, Illinois 60560
Phone: (630)553-5821 x3 • Fax: (630)553-7442
www.kendallswcd.org
**Petitioner:** Joshua Schleining / Tammi Schleining  
**Contact Person:** Attorney Kelly Helland  
**County or Municipality the petition is filled with:** Kendall County  
**Location of Parcel:** SW¼ SW¼ Section 6, T.37N.-R.7E. (Bristol Township) of the 3rd Principal Meridian in Kendall County, IL  
**Project or Subdivision Name:** Jets Towing and Services  
**Existing Zoning & Land Use:** A-1 (Agricultural); Row Crop Production, Farmstead  
**Proposed Zoning & Land Use:** A-1 Special Use, Trucking and Towing Service  
**Proposed Water Source:** Well  
**Proposed Type of Sewage Disposal System:** Septic  
**Proposed Type of Storm Water Management:** Not required  
**Size of Site:** 8.8  
**Land Evaluation Site Assessment Score:** 181

### Natural Resource Concerns

**Soil Map:**

![Soil Map Image]

**SOIL INFORMATION:**

Based on information from the United States Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS) 2007 Kendall County Soil Survey, this parcel contains the following soil types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Unit</th>
<th>Soil Name</th>
<th>Hydrologic Group</th>
<th>Hydric Designation</th>
<th>Farmland Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60B2</td>
<td>La Rose silt loam, 2-5% slopes, eroded</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non-hydric</td>
<td>Prime Farmland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60C2</td>
<td>La Rose silt loam, 5-10% slopes, eroded</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non-hydric</td>
<td>Statewide Importance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512B</td>
<td>Danabrook silt loam, 2-5% slopes</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Non-hydric</td>
<td>Prime Farmland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>679A</td>
<td>Blackberry silt loam, 0-2% slopes</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Non-hydric</td>
<td>Prime Farmland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Hydrologic Soil Groups**: Soils have been classified into four (A, B, C, D) hydrologic groups based on runoff characteristics due to rainfall. If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second letter is for undrained areas.

- **Hydrologic group A**: Soils have a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.
- **Hydrologic group B**: Soils have a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet, consist chiefly of moderately deep to deep, moderately well drained to well drained soils that have a moderately fine to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.
- **Hydrologic group C**: Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.
- **Hydrologic group D**: Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

**Hydric Soils**: A soil that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part of the soil profile. Of the soils found onsite, none are classified as a hydric soil. Additionally, none of the soils onsite are likely to have hydric inclusions.

**Prime Farmland**: Prime farmland is land that has the best combination of physical and chemical characteristics for agricultural production. Prime farmland soils are an important resource to Kendall County and some of the most productive soils in the United States occur locally. Three of the soils found onsite are designated as prime farmland and one is designated as farmland of statewide importance.

**Table 2:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Unit</th>
<th>Surface Runoff</th>
<th>Water Table</th>
<th>Ponding</th>
<th>Flooding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 60B2     | Medium         | February – April  
Upper Limit: 2.0’-3.5’  
Lower Limit: 2.2’-4.0’ | February – April: None | February – April: None |
| 60C2     | High           | February – April  
Upper Limit: 2.0’-3.5’  
Lower Limit: 2.2’-4.4’ | February – April: None | February – April: None |
| 512B     | Low            | February – April  
Upper Limit: 2.0’-3.5’  
Lower Limit: 3.0’-5.0’ | February – April: None | February – April: None |
| 679A     | Low            | February – April  
Upper Limit: 2.0’-3.5’  
Lower Limit: >6.0’ | February – April: None | February – April: None |

**Surface Runoff**: Refers to the loss of water from an area by flow over the land surface. Surface runoff classes are based upon slope, climate and vegetative cover. Indicates relative runoff for very specific conditions (it is assumed that the surface of the soil is bare and that the retention of surface water resulting from irregularities in the ground surface is minimal).

**Ponding**: Ponding is standing water in a closed depression. Unless a drainage system is installed, the water is removed only by percolation, transpiration or evaporation. Duration is expressed as very brief (less than 2 days), brief (2 to 7 days), long (7 to 30 days), very long (more than 30 days). Frequency is expressed as none (ponding is not probable), rare (unlikely but possible under unusual weather conditions), occasional (occurs, on average, once or less in 2 years) and frequent (occurs, on average, more than once in 2 years).

**Flooding**: Temporary inundation of an area caused by overflowing streams, by runoff from adjacent slopes, or by tides. Water standing for short periods after rainfall or snowmelt is not considered flooding, and water standing in swamps and marshes is considered ponding rather than flooding. Duration expressed as brief is 2 to 7 days and a frequent frequency means that it is likely to occur often under normal weather conditions.
SOIL LIMITATIONS: Limitations for small commercial building, dwellings with basements, dwellings without basements and conventional sewage disposal systems. Please note this information is based on information compiled as part of the USDA-NRCS 2007 Soil Survey of Kendall County, IL and does not replace site specific soil testing.

Table 2a:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Small Commercial Building</th>
<th>Dwellings with Basements</th>
<th>Dwellings without Basements</th>
<th>Conventional Sewage Disposal System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60B2</td>
<td>Somewhat Limited: Depth to saturated zone</td>
<td>Very Limited: Depth to saturated zone</td>
<td>Somewhat limited: Depth to saturated zone</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60C2</td>
<td>Somewhat Limited: Slope Depth to saturated zone</td>
<td>Very Limited: Depth to saturated zone</td>
<td>Somewhat limited: Depth to saturated zone</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512B</td>
<td>Somewhat limited: Shrink-swell</td>
<td>Somewhat Limited: Shrink-swell Depth to saturated zone</td>
<td>Somewhat limited: Shrink-swell</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>679A</td>
<td>Somewhat limited: Shrink-swell</td>
<td>Somewhat Limited: Shrink-swell Depth to saturated zone</td>
<td>Somewhat limited: Shrink-swell</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Septic Systems: The factors considered for determining suitability are the characteristics and qualities of the soil that affect the limitations for absorbing waste from domestic sewage disposal systems. The major features considered are soil permeability, percolation rate, groundwater level, depth to bedrock, flooding hazards, and slope. Soils are deemed unsuitable per the Kendall County Subdivision Control Ordinance. Installation of an on-site sewage disposal system in soils designated as unsuitable may necessitate the installation of a non-conventional onsite sewage disposal system. For more information please contact the Kendall County Health Department (811 W. John Street, Yorkville, IL; (630)553-9100 ext. 8026).

Kendall County Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA): Decision-makers in Kendall County use the Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA) system to determine the suitability of a land use change and/or a zoning request as it relates to agricultural land. The LESA system was developed by the United States Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS) and takes into consideration local conditions such as physical characteristics of the land, compatibility of surrounding land-uses, and urban growth factors. The LESA system is a two-step procedure that includes:
LAND EVALUATION (LE) – The soils of a given area are rated and placed in groups ranging from the best to worst suited for a stated agriculture use, cropland or forestland. The best group is assigned a value of 100 and all other groups are assigned lower values. The Land Evaluation is based on data from the Kendall County Soil Survey. The Kendall County Soil and Water Conservation District is responsible for this portion of the LESA system.

SITE ASSESSMENT (SA) – The site is numerically evaluated according to important factors that contribute to the quality of the site. Each factor selected is assigned values in accordance with the local needs and objectives. The Kendall County LESA Committee is responsible for this portion of the LESA system.

Table 4a: Land Evaluation Computation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Value Group</th>
<th>Relative Value</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Product (Relative Value x Acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60B2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>165.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60C2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>155.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>169.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>679A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>300.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>790.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LE= 790.9/8.8 LE=90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Land Evaluation value for this site is 90, indicating that this site is well suited for agricultural uses.

Table 4b: Site Assessment Computation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Agricultural Land Uses</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Percentage of area in agricultural uses within 1.5 miles of site. (20-10-5-0)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Current land use adjacent to site. (30-20-15-10-0)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Percentage of site in agricultural production in any of the last 5 years. (20-15-10-5-0)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Size of site. (30-15-10-0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Compatibility / Impact on Uses</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Distance from city or village limits. (20-10-0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Consistency of proposed use with County Land Resource Management Concept Plan and/or municipal comprehensive land use plan. (20-10-0)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Compatibility of agricultural and non-agricultural uses. (15-7-0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. Existence of Infrastructure</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Availability of public sewage system. (10-8-6-0)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Availability of public water system. (10-8-6-0)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Transportation systems. (15-7-0)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Distance from fire protection service. (10-8-6-2-0)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site Assessment Score: 91

Land Evaluation Value: 90 + Site Assessment Value: 91 = LESA Score: 181

The LESA Score for this site is 181 which indicates a Low level of protection for the proposed project site. Note: Selecting the project site with the lowest total points will generally protect the best farmland located in the most viable areas and maintain and promote the agricultural industry in Kendall County.

Wetlands: The U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service’s National Wetland Inventory map does not indicate the presence of a wetland. Additionally, the USDA-NRCS 1984 Aerial Wetland Map does not indicate the presence of a wetland. If a wetland is present, a wetland delineation specialist, who is recognized by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, should determine the exact boundaries and value of the wetlands.
Floodplain: The parcel is not located within the floodplain.

Sediment and Erosion Control: Development on this site should include an erosion and sediment control plan in accordance with local, state and federal regulations. Soil erosion on construction sites is a resource concern because suspended sediment from areas undergoing development is a primary nonpoint source of water pollution. Please consult the Illinois Urban Manual (http://aiswcd.org/IUM/) for appropriate best management practices.

LAND USE OPINION:

The Kendall County Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) Board has reviewed the proposed development plans for Petitioner Joshua Schleining / Tammi Schleining for the proposed A-1 Special Use. This parcel is located in the SW¼ SW¼ of Section 6 in Bristol Township (T.37N.-R.7E. of the 3rd Principal Meridian) in Kendall County. Based on the information provided by the petitioner and a review of natural resource related data available to the Kendall County SWCD, the SWCD Board has the following opinions and recommendations.

The Kendall County SWCD has always had the opinion that Prime Farmland should be preserved whenever feasible. A land evaluation, which is a part of the Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA) was conducted on this parcel. The soils on this parcel scored a 90 out of a possible 100 points indicating the soils are well suited for agricultural uses. Additionally, three of the soils found onsite are classified as prime farmland and the remaining soil is classified as farmland of statewide importance.

In addition, soils can have potential limitations for development. This report indicates that for soils located on the parcel, 100% are somewhat limited for dwellings without basements and small commercial building; 53% are very limited for dwellings with basements. This information is based on the soil in an undisturbed state. Some soil reclamation, special design, or maintenance may be required to obtain suitable soil conditions to support these types of development with significant limitations. Additionally, since the scope of the project includes the use of onsite septic systems, please consult with the Kendall County Health Department.

This site is located within the Fox River Watershed and Rob Roy Creek subwatershed. This development should include a soil erosion sediment control plan to be implemented during construction. Sediment may become a primary non-point source of pollution. Eroded soils during the construction phase can create unsafe conditions on roadways, degrade water quality and destroy aquatic ecosystems lower in the watershed.

For intense use it is recommended that the drainage tile survey completed on the parcel to locate the subsurface drainage tile be taken into consideration during the land use planning process. Drainage tile expedites drainage and facilitates farming. It is imperative that these drainage tiles remain undisturbed. Impaired tile may affect a few acres or hundreds of acres of drainage.

The information that is included in this Natural Resources Information Report is to assure the Land Developers take into full consideration the limitations of that land that they wish to develop. Guidelines and recommendations are also a part of this report and should be considered in the planning process. The Natural Resource Information Report is required by the Illinois Soil and Water Conservation District Act (Ill. Complied Statutes, Ch. 70, Par 405/22.02a).

SWCD Chairman

Date
Zoning Map Amendment – A-1 Agricultural to M-1 Limited Manufacturing

Jet’s Towing Services – 790 Eldamain Road – Bristol Township
Chairman Bill Ashton called the meeting to order at 7:05 p.m.

**ROLL CALL**

**Members Present:** Bill Ashton, Tom Casey, Larry Nelson, John Shaw, Claire Wilson, and Budd Wormley  
**Staff Present:** Matthew H. Asselmeier, Senior Planner  
**Members Absent:** Roger Bledsoe, Ruben Rodriguez, and Angela Zubko  
**In the Audience:** Kelly Helland (Representing JA Schleining LLC d/b/a Jets Towing and Services)

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Mr. Wilson made a motion, seconded by Mr. Wormley, to approve the agenda. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Mr. Nelson made a motion, seconded by Mr. Shaw, to approve the January 25, 2017, minutes. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

**PETITIONS**

**16-22 JA Schleining LLC d/b/a Jets Towing and Services**

Mr. Asselmeier briefly reviewed the case, summarizing the staff memorandum. In addition to the request for a map amendment, the petitioner is asking for variances related to paving material, parking in the side yard setback, and using evergreens instead of fencing for screening. Ms. Helland then presented information on behalf of the petitioner.

Mr. Nelson questioned the application in relation to Yorkville’s new Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Asselmeier stated that Yorkville amended their Future Land Use Map in the area to residential. Yorkville’s previous Future Land Use Map indicated the area to be industrial. Yorkville amended their Comprehensive Plan after the petitioner submitted the application.

Ms. Helland stated that the petitioner originally wanted to add this use to the list of special uses within the A-1 District. However, after discussions with Staff and PBZ Committee, the decision was made to apply for a map amendment. The petitioner has one (1) wrecker and (3) trucks located on the property. The petitioner does not tow to the property or store towed vehicle on the property. The petitioner is attempting to get a rebuilders license, but needs the proper zoning. The petitioner had no objections to planting evergreens as requested.

Mr. Nelson asked about the long-term plans for the business. Ms. Helland stated that the petitioner, his father, and two (2) independent contractors are the only people associated with the business at this site. The business does not have the clientele to use all of the trailers located on the property. The business hopes to have ten (10)-twelve (12) employees in the future.
Discussion occurred regarding the property turning into an eyesore. Mr. Wormley asked if PBZ received any complaints on this property. Mr. Asselmeier reported that PBZ received no comments on this proposal. Discussion occurred regarding the possibility of gas, oil, hydraulic fuel, and other chemical leaking. Mr. Wormley noted that the health department raised no concerns on this topic.

Discussion occurred about setting a maximum number of trailers allowed on the property in exchange for the gravel parking variance.

Mr. Wormley noted that a similar situation existed on Light Road involving the placement of fifteen (15)-eighteen (18) construction trailers. Several Commissioners voiced concerns about the petitioner scrapping the trailers or using them for storage.

Ms. Wilson asked about the storage of trailers. Mr. Asselmeier stated that all work on the trailers had to occur inside a building and inoperable trucks must be stored in a building.

Ms. Helland noted that the EcoCat report had not been terminated because of the potential existence of an endangered fish in the area.

Mr. Ashton asked if the petitioner wanted the rezoning if the variances were not approved. Ms. Helland stated failure to secure the variances could impact the petitioner financially and could hamper his ability to remain at that location.

Commissioners discussed planting three (3) to four (4) foot tall evergreens on the north and south sides of the property, limiting the number of trailers, and keeping inoperable vehicles offsite or indoors.

Ms. Helland indicated that no one was living in the house; the petitioner is using the house as an office.

Ms. Helland agreed to work with Staff to finalize the variance requests; the petition was tabled at the petitioner’s request.

OLD BUSINESS
Mr. Asselmeier presented a memorandum from Mike Hoffman regarding spot zoning.

Discussion occurred regarding reconsidering the Pagel Case. No motion was made to reconsider the matter.

Mr. Ashton reminded everyone that the Annual Meeting is Saturday, February 25th, at 9:00 a.m.

NEW BUSINESS
Mr. Nelson moved to open the floor for nominations for officers and nominated Bill Ashton for Chairman, Budd Wormley for Vice-Chairman, Larry Nelson for Secretary, and Matt Asselmeier for Recording Secretary. There were no additional nominees. The call for nominations was closed. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD
None

OTHER BUSINESS/ANNOUNCEMENTS
Petition 16-26, John and Sharon Pagel Living Trust, the petitioner requested the ZBA hearing for the proposed rezoning from R-3 to R-1 be postponed until May so that they can obtain images of the property draining stormwater.
The Plan Commission of the City of Plano will hold a public hearing on their proposed Comprehensive Plan Update on March 6th at 7:00 p.m., at Plano City Hall.

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD/ PUBLIC COMMENT
None

ADJOURNMENT
Mr. Wormley made a motion, seconded by Mr. Shaw, to adjourn. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried. The Regional Plan Commission meeting adjourned at 7:56 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,
Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP
Senior Planner
February 28, 2017

Daniel J. Kramer
Attorney Kelly A. Helland
1107A South Bridge Street
Yorkville, IL 60560

RE: Jets Towings
   Project Number(s): 1706394
   County: Kendall

Dear Applicant:

This letter is in reference to the project you recently submitted for consultation. The natural resource review provided by EcoCAT identified protected resources that may be in the vicinity of the proposed action. The Department has evaluated this information and concluded that adverse effects are unlikely. Therefore, consultation under 17 Ill. Adm. Code Part 1075 is terminated.

This consultation is valid for two years unless new information becomes available that was not previously considered; the proposed action is modified; or additional species, essential habitat, or Natural Areas are identified in the vicinity. If the project has not been implemented within two years of the date of this letter, or any of the above listed conditions develop, a new consultation is necessary.

The natural resource review reflects the information existing in the Illinois Natural Heritage Database at the time of the project submittal, and should not be regarded as a final statement on the site being considered, nor should it be a substitute for detailed site surveys or field surveys required for environmental assessments. If additional protected resources are encountered during the project’s implementation, you must comply with the applicable statutes and regulations. Also, note that termination does not imply IDNR’s authorization or endorsement of the proposed action.

Please contact me if you have questions regarding this review.

Keith Shank
Division of Ecosystems and Environment
217-785-5500
March 22, 2017

David Guritz and Members of the Kendall County Forest Preserve District
Historic Kendall County Courthouse
110 West Madison Street
Yorkville, IL  60560

RE:  Forest Preserve Compliance with the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance

Dear David Guritz and Members of the Kendall County Forest Preserve District:

We, the undersigned members of the Kendall County Regional Planning Commission, would like to express our desire to bring the facilities of the Kendall County Forest Preserve District into Compliance with the Zoning Ordinance of Kendall County.

The Ellis House and Equestrian Center, located within the Baker Woods Forest Preserve, currently rents space for weddings, concerts, birthday parties, retirement parties, showers, and other social events. These types of events are typically held at banquet halls. Per the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance and Map, the Baker Woods Forest Preserve is zoned A-1 Agricultural and banquet halls are a special use within the A-1 Agricultural Zoning District. In addition, banquet halls must meet the following criteria:

a. The facility shall have direct access to a road designated as an arterial roadway or major collector road as identified in the Land Resource Management Plan.

b. The subject parcel must be a minimum of 5 acres.

c. The use of this property shall be in compliance with all applicable ordinances. The banquet facility shall conform to the regulations of the Kendall County Health Department and the Kendall County Liquor Control Ordinance.

d. Off-street parking, lighting and landscaping shall be provided in accordance with the provisions of Section 11 of the Zoning Ordinance.

e. All signage shall comply with the provisions of Section 12 of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance.

f. Retail sales are permitted as long as the retail sales will be ancillary to the main operation.

g. Noise During Day Hours: No person shall cause or allow the emission of sound during daytime hours (7:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M.) from any noise source to any receiving residential land which exceeds sixty five (65) dBA when measured at any point within such receiving residential land, provided; however, that point of measurement shall be on the property line of the complainant.

h. Noise During Night Hours: No person shall cause or allow the emission of sound during nighttime hours (10:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M.) from any noise source to any receiving residential land which exceeds fifty five (55) dBA when measured at any point within such receiving residential land provided; however, that point of measurement shall be on the property line of the complainant.
i. Noise Exemption: Powered Equipment: Powered equipment, such as lawn mowers, small lawn and garden tools, riding tractors, and snow removal equipment which is necessary for the maintenance of property is exempted from the noise regulations between the hours of seven o'clock (7:00) A.M. and ten o'clock (10:00) P.M.

In addition to the concerns at the Baker Woods Forest Preserve, a preschool is currently operating at the Hoover Forest Preserve. The Hoover Forest Preserve is zoned A-1 Agricultural, but it also has a special use permit for a boy scout camp. Preschools are a special use within the A-1 Agricultural Zoning District.

The Kendall County Regional Planning Commission frequently interacts with residents and businesses that desire to follow the rules and some of these entities alter their plans for their property and/or business in order to follow the rules. When a unit of government operates outside of the established rules, this creates the impression, rightly or wrongly, of favoritism. We desire to work with you to ensure that everyone operates under the same rules. Accordingly, we request that you submit applications for special use permits for the operation of a banquet center at the Baker Woods Forest Preserve and for the operation of a preschool at the Hoover Forest Preserve.

Sincerely,

Bill Ashton, Planning Commission Chairman

Budd Wormley, Planning Commission Vice-Chairman

Larry Nelson, Planning Commission Treasurer

Tom Casey, Planning Commission Member

Ruben Rodriguez, Planning Commission Member

Roger Bledsoe, Planning Commission Member

Claire Wilson, Planning Commission Member

John A. Shaw, Planning Commission Member

Angela Zubko, Planning Commission Member

Date

Date

Date

Date

Date

Date

Date
MEMORANDUM

To: Kendall County Regional Planning Commission
From: Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP, Senior Planner
Date: March 15, 2017
Re: Intern Special Use Identification and Tracking Project Memo

In an effort to better regulate special uses, PBZ Intern Michael Goers started researching the number, location, and restrictions on each special use permit. Special use permits were not issued under the original 1940 Zoning Ordinance; they were established as part of the 1959 (adopted in 1960) Zoning Update. There are approximately 280 special use permit related ordinances on file in the County.

Once all of the special use permits have been identified, Staff will examine which special use permits are still active and which ones have expired. If any special use permit has expired or if the property owner no longer desires the special use permit, Staff will take the necessary steps to correct the zoning map and parcel information. Staff will also create a method to track compliance with the restrictions placed on individual Special Use Permits.

After completion of this project, Staff will conduct similar research on variances and RPDs.

MHA
The Planning Commission for the City of Plano held a public hearing on a proposed Comprehensive Plan Update on March 6, 2017. As currently proposed, the Plano Comprehensive Plan differs from the Land Resource Management Plan in the following ways:

1. The Land Resource Management Plan calls for Whitfield Road to be extended from Millhurst Road to Griswold Spring at Little Rock Road. A residential development currently exists at the southern terminus of Little Rock Road which will prevent a direct connection at that location. Plano’s proposal calls for the exploration of a “north extension to Route 34 with Kendall County”, no specific alignment is provided.

2. At the intersection of Little Rock Road and Galena Road, the Land Resource Management Plan calls for this area to be Rural Estate Residential (maximum density 0.45 DU acres) and Agricultural. Plano’s proposal calls for the area to be General Business.

3. At the intersection of Vilmin Road and Galena Road on the Kendall County side of the road, the Land Resource Management Plan calls for this area to be Countryside Residential (maximum density 0.33 DU acres). Plano’s proposal calls for the area to be General Business.

4. At the intersection of Rock Creek Road and Galena Road on the south side of the road, the Land Resource Management Plan calls for this area to be Countryside Residential (maximum density 0.33 DU acres). Plano’s proposal calls for the area to be General Business.

5. At the southwest corner of intersection of Eldmain Road and Galena Road, the Land Resource Management Plan calls for this area to be Mixed Use Business. Plano’s proposal calls for the area to be General Business.

6. The land bounded by Big Rock Creek to the west, Galena Road to the north, Eldmain Road to the east, and the Miller/Corneils Road extension to the south, the Land Resource Management Plan calls for this area to be Countryside Residential (maximum density 0.33 DU acres). With the exception of the southwest corner of Eldmain Road and Galena Road as noted in comment 5 above, Plano’s proposal calls for Industrial/Office/Research in this area with Open Space/Conservation along Big Rock.

Mike Hoffman sent me the attached image showing the proposed changes.

The proposed Comprehensive Plan can be accessed at: https://planplano.wordpress.com/documents/.
Per State law, the Plano City Council has 90 days from the date of the Plan Commission recommendation to take action on the proposal.

MHA

ENC: Plano Future Land Use Map Changes