CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL: Amy Cesich, Lynn Cullick, Scott Gryder (Chair), Judy Gilmour (Vice-Chair) and Jeff Wehrli

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Approval of minutes from the August 12, 2013 meeting.

EXPENDITURE REPORT: (handed out at meeting)

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

NEW BUSINESS
Plumbing Inspector replacement

OLD BUSINESS
Response to Insurance Service Office (ISO) survey and reclassification

PETITIONS
1. 13-16 Small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant
   Request       Text Amendment
   Purpose       Text Amendment to allow a poultry processing plant as a special use in the A-1 district, check the language of slaughtering in other sections and add some definitions

2. 13-17 Maly Poultry Processing Plant
   Request       A-1 Special Use
   Purpose       Special use for a Small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant
   Location      16895 Lisbon Center Road

OLD BUSINESS
Billboard discussion

PUBLIC COMMENT

UPDATE ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

PROJECT STATUS REPORT
PERMIT REPORT
REVENUE REPORT
CORRESPONDENCE
EXECUTIVE SESSION

ADJOURNMENT: Next meeting on October 7, 2013
KENDALL COUNTY
PLANNING, BUILDING & ZONING COMMITTEE
Kendall County Office Building
Rooms 209 & 210
111 W. Fox Street, Yorkville, Illinois
6:30 p.m.
Meeting Minutes of August 12, 2013

CALL TO ORDER
The meeting was called to order by Chairman Scott Gryder at 6:30 p.m.

ROLL CALL
Present: Chairman Scott Gryder, Lynn Cullick, Vice-Chair Judy Gilmour and Jeff Wehrli
Absent: Amy Cesich
Also present: Senior Planner Angela Zubko, Interim PBZ Director Jeff Wilkins and Code Official Brian Holdiman

APPROVAL OF AGENDA
Lynn Cullick made a motion to approve the agenda as written, Jeff Wehrli seconded the motion. All agreed and the motion was approved.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Judy Gilmour made a motion to approve the minutes from July 8, 2013. Lynn Cullick seconded the motion. All agreed and the minutes were approved.

EXPENDITURE REPORT (handed out at meeting)
Lynn Cullick made a motion to approve the expenditure report in the amount of $14,541.41 and forward it onto the Finance Committee, Jeff Wehrli seconded the motion. All agreed and the motion was approved.

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD
There were no citizens to be heard at this time

PETITIONS- None

OLD BUSINESS-- None

NEW BUSINESS
Response to Insurance Services Office (ISO) survey and reclassification- Mr. Holdiman stated the insurance organization group audits all building departments every 5 years, our last one was about 8 years ago. They grade us on the codes we have adopted, how we enforce and the training that they've had, how the office is set up and they designate a number between 1 and 10, 1 being the exemplary building department and 10 being you don’t have a department. No one in Illinois has a 1 and very few 2's. Most are 5 or 6's. Our department was at a 5 but we are now designated a 9 since we’re 2 building code cycles behind, have not adopted a residential sprinkler requirement and also have not adopted the Commercial 1 & 2 Family Wildland-Urban Interface Codes. Mr. Holdiman says it is to mitigate structure fires that would inhibit wildlife. This doesn’t really pertain to us but maybe if a large corn field caught on fire.
Mr. Holdiman plans to bring his recommendations and changes to the PBZ Committee soon. There was some discussion on the newer codes, how it works for homeowners insurance and what other communities are doing.

By August 22nd we need to sign the form and send it back. Mr. Wehrli stated he would assume if we adopt the newest code that would be what they’re looking for and not so much about the other two items. Mr. Holdiman will work on his suggestions and bring it back to the PBZ Committee.

Junk and other waste matter on private property to be a nuisance- 1968-Inoperable Motor Vehicles Ordinance #88-15-

Mr. Holdiman stated these are the two ordinances we currently enforce. These were brought up tonight to start the process of discussing changing these ordinances or leave them the same. Mr. Holdiman is looking for direction from the Committee. Mr. Wehrli asked if the Sheriff’s office is towing cars? Mr. Holdiman said that is not how its being done, everything goes through the States Attorney’s Office. Mr. Wehrli asked what Mr. Holdimans’ suggestions are to change these ordinances. Mr. Holdiman stated he would possibly like the authority to write tickets. He stated about 80% of the time he can get people to resolve some of the issues but not always. Mr. Gryder and Ms. Cullick would like to re-write these. There was discussion maybe having the SAO look at re-writing these with some clear direction. Also the question came up if we actively want to enforce this or be complaint driven. Mr. Wehrli asked how this would work for garbage. Mr. Holdiman stated for garbage the Health Department handles those issues. The Committee suggested to combine the garbage and junk so its not two separate paths. Mr. Wilkins will contact the SAO and give them some direction onto drafting updated ordinances.

Intergovernmental Agreement with the Village of Millbrook-
Intergovernmental Agreement with the Village of Plattville-

Planner Zubko stated the intergovernmental agreements will be on the County Board agenda next Tuesday but wanted to make sure everyone knows the process. The projects do not go to the County Board but their respective Village Board’s. Jeff Wehrli made a motion to approve the intergovernmental agreements and forward it onto the next County Board meeting, Lynn Cullick seconded the motion. All were in favor and the agreement will be place on the next County Board meeting agenda.

LTA Grant Discussion- Pay as we Grow application- approval of a resolution to be passed by the County Board- Mr. Wilkins stated in the packet was the grant application he made to CMAP. At the last mayors and mangers meeting they discussed this idea so Mr. Wilkins submitted an application. Basically this would look at the amount of growth we can sustain without referendums to tax. This project would be countywide and a collaborative effort. Mr. Wilkins said since it was submitted we’ve had an introductory interview about some questions on the application. Mr. Wilkins said the Oswego Library District is in favor of it and talked to the Oswego Fire Protection District. Before CMAP makes their decision he would like the County Board to pass a resolution in support and will ask others as well to pass a resolution. Mr. Wehrli asked if we should make everyone aware before we make it public and send out the resolution. Mr. Wilkins will start making contacts to the different taxing bodies and to please contact us with questions. Mr. Wehrli made a motion to approve and send it to the full county board, Lynn Cullick.

APA IL Conference- Planner Zubko just wanted to make sure it would be okay if she attended the IL-APA conference in Columbia, IL and share a room with Krysti from the City of Yorkville. Planner Zubko stated there is still money left in the budget. None of the committee members saw any issue with that.
Billboards- Planner Zubko stated in the ordinance it states: 12.21 AMORTIZATION (Amended 10/17/2000) All outdoor advertising structures which display a commercial message shall be removed no later than seven (7) years from the date of this amendatory ordinance. Outdoor advertising structures which display a non-commercial message may remain provided that the sign meets the size, setback, height and bulk standards of the district. Signs may also be reconstructed to meet this requirement provided that building permits are issued to complete such reconstruction. It was brought up a back in April 2011 to enforce the amortization process again but that ended up not going anywhere. There currently is a special use for the ultimate sports dome and in their special use it states the billboard must be removed by November 2005. The billboard still exists and they are possibly looking to amend their special use but would like to know if they need to remove the billboard or if it could stay erected. Planner Zubko asked the committee what they think of billboards. We currently have 7 in the County in which 5 had building permits we could locate. This discussion will be continued till next month. Mr. Holdiman stated it is only a matter of time before someone thinks they could be considered agricultural exempt.

Lynn Cullick just wanted to say she appreciates Planner Zubko contributing to the noise ordinance. It will go back to judicial legislative committee where they will decide where to take it from there.

PUBLIC COMMENT – None

UPDATE ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION- The fair was a success and working on having a guest speaker this year that will hopefully attract many people from surrounding areas. Mr. Gryder stated he talked to Ms. Todd during the fair and she stated some of the changes she would like to see to the ordinance for grants.

PROJECT STATUS REPORT– Reviewed
PERMIT REPORT– Reviewed
REVENUE REPORT– Reviewed
CORRESPONDENCE – None

EXECUTIVE SESSION: 5 illCS 120/2(c)(1): The appointment, employment, compensation, discipline, performance, or dismissal of specific employees of the public body or legal counsel for the public body, including hearing testimony on a complaint lodged against an employee of the public body or against legal counsel for the public body to determine its validity.

Jeff Wehrli made a motion to go into executive session at 7:30. Judy Gilmour seconded the motion. The Committee went into executive session.

ADJOURNMENT- Next meeting will be on September 9, 2013
Lynn Cullick made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Jeff Wehrli seconded the motion. All agreed. Chairman Gryder adjourned the meeting at 8:27 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,
Angela L. Zubko
Senior Planner
To: PBZ  
From: Angela L. Zubko, Senior Planner  
Date: September 4, 2013  
Re: Text Amendment – Poultry Processing Plant and slaughter houses (Petition 13-16)

Petition 13-17 directly relates to this text amendment.

Section 3.02 Definitions:  
Slaughter House. An establishment where animals are butchered for food.

Add definitions to Section 3.02:  
Small Poultry and Small Animal Processing Plant. Operations in which the carcasses of slaughtered poultry are defeathered, eviscerated, cut-up, skinned, boned, canned, salted, stuffed, rendered, or otherwise manufactured or processed. Poultry and small animals are defined as chickens, turkeys, ducks, geese, guineas, squab (pigeons up to one month old), small game birds such as quail, pheasant, and partridge and rabbits.

Rendering. A process that collects, cooks and processes bodies or parts of bodies of dead animals, poultry or fish, or used cooking grease and oils, for the purpose of salvaging hides, wool, skins or feathers, and for the production of animal, poultry, or fish protein, blood meal, bone meal, grease or tallow and converts it into stable, value-added materials. Rendering can refer to any processing of animal products into more useful materials, or more narrowly to the rendering of whole animal fatty tissue into purified fats like lard or tallow.

Section 10.01.B.19 M-1 LIMITED MANUFACTURING DISTRICT- Permitted Uses & Section 10.02.B.M-2 HEAVY INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT- Permitted Uses  
Production, publishing, processing, cleaning, testing, or repair, limited to the following uses and products:  
Poultry and rabbits - slaughtering.

Section 10.02.C.8 M-2 HEAVY INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT- Special Uses  
Slaughter House

Proposed language for Section 7.01.D. A-1 Agricultural District- Special Uses  
Small Poultry & Small Animal Processing Plant subject to the following conditions:
  a. A maximum of 21,000 units a week.
  b. Facilities (the unloading area) must be located at least 400’ from any principle structure.
  c. No rendering may take place on the site.
  d. Live animals may be held on the site for no more than twenty-four (24) hours.
  e. All slaughtering/processing permitted only in an enclosed building.
  f. The number of hours and days of operation as specified in Special Use Permit to be determined by the County Board.
g. In no event can poultry produced be sold for retail or wholesale to restaurants, grocery stores, or middlemen, such as distributors, wholesalers, or jobbers. ZBA wanted to include this back in and state: Poultry processed to be sold for retail or wholesale sale shall be specified in the special use as a condition.

h. Parking shall be in accordance with Section 11 of the Zoning Ordinance including lighting.

i. All Applicable Federal, State and County rules and regulations shall apply.

j. Other such conditions as approved by the County Board.

k. Waste, by-products or any decomposable residue which results from the slaughtering of animals must be kept in a sealed container and picked up within 48 hours.

l. All signage shall comply with the provisions of Section 12 of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance (Sign Regulations)

m. Shall satisfy all requirements of the Kendall County Health Department and Building Department prior to the issuance of occupancy permits.

n. Performance Standards. All activities shall conform to the performance standards set forth in section 10.01.G.

Just for Info:
The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) and World Organization for Animal Health regulates most slaughterhouses in the United States. Meats raised for human consumption that are regulated by the USDA are cattle, buffalo, sheep, goats, deer, horses, pigs, raptors (flightless birds) and poultry. Additionally, the USDA regulates personnel employed by slaughterhouses, as well as pre-slaughter methods used in the production of meat for human consumption.

Good description of the process:
**Receiving areas**
The inlet to the plant is normally designed in such a way that fluctuations in bird deliveries can be dealt with adequately. This is necessary since the processing capacity has a fixed maximum. At regular intervals birds are unloaded onto the holding areas and attached by their feet to a conveyor belt, transported to the slaughter area.

**Slaughtering and packing**
The birds are suspended from the conveyor after which the following actions take place:
- Stunning;
- Killing and bleeding by cutting the jugular veins;
- Collection of blood. The conveyor travels through a blood collection tunnel at a preselected travelling speed;
- Scalding. To loosen the feathers, the birds are held in water of temperatures ranging from 50 C to 60 C;
- Defeathering. Feathers are mechanically abraded from the scalded birds, usually by rotating rubber fingers. Removed feathers drop in underlying troughs;
- Washing. The defeathered carcasses receive a spray wash prior to evisceration;
- Opening of the carcass by cutting manually;
- Inspection of the viscera;
- Evisceration, removal of head, feet and viscera;
- Sorting of the viscera to recover heart, liver and gizzard;
- Final washing to remove blood and to loosen tissues;
- Chilling of the carcasses in a waterbath;
- Draining;
- Grading, weighing and packing; and
- Chilling and freezing.
Planner Angela Zubko called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m.

Present:
Megan Andrews – Soil & Water Conservation District
Aaron Rybski – Health Department
Fran Klaas- County Highway Department
Phil Smith – Sheriff’s Office
Amy Cesich – PBZ Member
Angela Zubko – PBZ Senior Planner

Absent:
Greg Chismark – Wills Burke Kelsey
Jason Petit- Forest Preserve

Also present:
Attorney Daniel Kramer
Alan & Mary Maly (Petitioners)
Darrell Poundstone (Renwick and Associates, in Ottawa)

AGENDA

A motion was made by Amy Cesich to approve the agenda, Fran Klaas seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion carried.

MINUTES

Aaron Rybski made a motion, seconded by Fran Klaas, to approve the June 3, 2013 meeting minutes. All were in favor and the motion carried.

PETITIONS

#13-16 Poultry processing plant and slaughtering
Planner Zubko stated this is the text amendment to allow a poultry processing plant as a special use in the A-1 district and check the language of slaughtering in other sections. This text amendment directly relates to Petition 13-17. Planner Zubko stated she thought about changing the language of slaughter houses as that is not commonly used anymore but decided to keep it as that’s the language the state still uses. The text will be talked about more at Ad-hoc at the end of the month but they wanted it to go to ZPAC to get their comments and also hear from the Health Department. Planner Zubko read through the conditions and the following suggestions were made:
Condition h might be too limiting especially for small shops like in Lisbon
Condition m might also be too hard for some people to adhere to.

To help aid in the discussion on the text Planner Zubko went through Petition 13-17 at this time:

#13-17 Maly Poultry Processing Plant
Planner Zubko stated the petitioners; Alan & Mary Maly are requesting approval of an A-1 special use to operate a poultry and small animal processing plant. The property is located at 16895 Lisbon Center Road on the north side of Lisbon Center road about 0.3 miles east of Fennel Road. The petitioners own about 125 acres of land and are requesting 27 acres of it for the special use. The petitioners have stated that all processing will take place in enclosed buildings and projecting to process a maximum of 3,000 chickens a day. They will also employ about 30-35 employees. Once again staff has many questions the petitioners will be answering at ad-hoc or before ad-hoc to help aid in a decision to recommend
Mr. Kramer briefly discussed about the Village of Newark concern about annexing the property and having to take ownership of the roadways. They will be going to the Village of Newark’s meeting on July 10th but does not think there will be any issues. They have also notified the township and talked to the road commission and no issues have been brought up to date.

Most people will be bringing chickens in small trailers. For parking they would request tar and chip or pavers instead of asphalt. They do not anticipate a retail outlet or anything.

A’an & Mary Maly came up to the podium to help aid with questions. Mrs. Mary Maly stated with regards to waste, it really depends on volume but definitely pick up on a regular basis. If they have a large quantity of chickens it could be on a daily basis. It would be in a covered trash cans stored inside the building. Blood, feathers and bones are separated and disposed of. Due to the location of the site they will not be using anything on their fields but it’s possible to compost everything and spread onto fields.

Darrell Poundstone with Renwick and Associates (consulting engineer out of Ottawa) stated with regards to the BOD’s (biochemical oxygen demand), the expected BOD’s to come through the waste water system is about 1/3 of what you’d see coming out of a small restaurant. The petitioners will be using a standard underground septic system that will be to the northeast of the property. The exact location will not be determined until the soil borings are competed.

Mr. Rybski asked if it was going to be a combined system with regards to bathrooms and the washout/clean up from the operation. The reason he asked is because EPA has rules and restrictions with regards to slaughtering operations. Domestic waste is permitted by the health department but not the other waste. The petitioner said ideally they would like to combine them but whatever the regulations they will follow. There is a 6” well on site and they are already talking to a well contractor. On the concept plan the engineer is showing detention on the downstream sides of the property. The USDA does have standards for the building. State inspected facilities can sell only within state and the USDA permits interstate. USDA facilities have inspector at all times. There was talk about deliveries and the petitioner stated that a semi truck holds 30,000 chickens. They expect to have people with flatbeds/hay wagons or car haulers that hold about 1,000 chickens. In an average transport you can fit about 8-10 chickens per coop. The petitioners will bring in an example to ad-hoc to help educate. With regards to operations they might want to look at 5:30am due to heat, typically you slaughter from 6-10am. The petitioners stated that typically January to April are the slowest times of years. Typically pick up is from about 2-4pm. If they want the chickens frozen they would typically pick up the next day.

Planner Zubko stated it seems like conditions a, b, c, h, j and m need to be looked at further. Mr. Klaas suggested maybe making a weekly average so there is some give and take in the regulations.
Petition 13-16
With no further discussion Megan Andrews made a motion, seconded by Aaron Rybski to forward the petition with the suggestion changes and recommend approval to the Plan Commission. All were in favor.

Petition 13-17
With no further discussion Phil Smith made a motion, seconded by Amy Cesich to forward the petition and recommend approval to the Plan Commission. All were in favor.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD
13-08 ZPAC Definition- changes are shown in the packet, approved on June 18th
13-13 Steven & Lori Seeler - approved as is on June 18th

Going to Board on July 16th:
12-03 Land Cash Ordinance
13-11 Any text related to guns or target practice
13-15 Subdivision Control Ordinance

PUBLIC COMMENT: There were no audience members to comment.

OLD BUSINESS: None

NEW BUSINESS: None

AJOURNMENT: Next meeting on August 5, 2013
With no further business to discuss Fran Klaas made a motion, seconded by Aaron Rybski to adjourn the meeting at 9:53 a.m. The motion carried.

Submitted by,
Angela L. Zubko
Senior Planner
KENDALL COUNTY AD HOC ZONING
ORDINANCE COMMITTEE

Kendall County Office Building
Rooms 209 & 210
111 W. Fox Street, Yorkville, Illinois
5:00 p.m.
Meeting Minutes of July 24, 2013

Present: Larry Nelson (Chairman), Bill Ashton, Scott Gryder, Jeff Wehrli and Dan Koukal (on Mr. Shaw’s behalf)
Members absent: Elizabeth Flowers and John Shaw
Others present: Mike Hoffman from Teska Associates, Senior Planner Angela Zubko, Attorney Kelly Kramer, Alan and Mary Maly and Darrel Poundstone with Renwick and Associates.

Chairman Larry Nelson called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.

1. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**
   Jeff Wehrli made a motion to approve the minutes from June 26, 2013. Bill Ashton seconded the motion. All agreed and the meeting minutes were approved.

2. **Review of Poultry Processing text and slaughtering in the Zoning Ordinance**
   Planner Zubko stated in the packet is the proposed text amendment. Planner Zubko wanted to take a field trip outside as the Maly’s brought a trailer with coups to hold about 200 chickens. Each coup can hold about 10-12 chickens in each coup. Seeing the trailer was quite helpful. There was talk about doing a weekly average for chickens instead of 3,000 chickens a day. Mr. Maly stated Arthur used to do about 4,000 chickens a day and has just reduced it down to about 2,500 a day due to a death in the family and some other issues with the operation.

   Mr. Gryder went through some of the text and questions from ZPAC. There was brief discussion about the size of the property and maybe just the distance from a principle residence will cover it. Also with regards to h and being sold wholesale should this stay in the text. Mr. Koukal asked about their waste plan. The Maly’s said the rendering company will pick up the trash daily and the garbage will stored in covered trash can. Mr. Ashton stated his only concerns are the waste, odors and about the roadway. The township roadway did not have concerns over trailers using the roadways. The group went one by one through the conditions and have come up with the following:
Small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant subject to the following conditions:
   a. A maximum of 21,000 units a week. Kelly will provide chart
   b. Facilities (the unloading area) must be located at least 400’ from any principle structure.
   c. No rendering may take place on the site.
   d. Live animals may be held on the site for no more than twenty-four (24) hours.
   e. All slaughtering/processing permitted only in an enclosed building.
   f. The number of hours and days of operation as specified in Special Use Permit to be determined by the County Board.
   g. In no event can poultry produced be sold for retail or wholesale to restaurants, grocery stores, or middlemen, such as distributors, wholesalers or jobbers.
   h. Parking shall be in accordance with Section 11 of the Zoning Ordinance including lighting.
   i. All Applicable Federal, State and County rules and regulations shall apply.
   j. Other such conditions as approved by the County Board.
   k. Waste, by-products or any decomposable residue which results from the slaughtering of animals must be kept in a sealed container and picked up within 48 hours.
   l. All signage shall comply with the provisions of Section 12 of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance (Sign Regulations)
   m. Shall satisfy all requirements of the Kendall County Health Department and Building Department prior to the issuance of occupancy permits.
   n. Performance Standards. All activities shall conform to the performance standards set forth in section 10.01.G.

Add a definition for small poultry and small animal processing plant.
Also add a definition for rendering.

Mr. Ashton wanted to discuss the shooting range and the township is stating they did not receive notice. The suggestion is to send to the townships by certified mailing from now on.

Adjournment:
The next meeting will be August 28, 2013. Jeff Wehrli made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Bill Ashton seconded the motion. All were in favor and the meeting was adjourned at 6:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Angela L. Zubko
Senior Planner
KENDALL COUNTY
REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Kendall County Office Building
Rooms 209 & 210
111 W. Fox Street, Yorkville, Illinois

Meeting Minutes of August 28, 2013
(Official until Approved)

Chairman Bill Ashton called the meeting to order at 7:04 pm.

ROLL CALL
Members Present: Chair Bill Ashton, Tom Casey, Bill Lavine, Vern Poppen, Tim Sidles, Claire Wilson, Walter Werderich, Budd Wormley and 1 vacancy (Big Grove)
Others present: Senior Planner Angela Zubko
Members Absent: Larry Nelson
In the Audience: Mary & Alan Maly, Desiree Edwards, Jody Osmund, Alice marks, Tina Suomi, Mathew Marks, Darrell Poundstone, Attorney Kelly Heliland & Randy Mohr.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA
Claire Wilson made a motion to approve the agenda as written. Budd Wormley seconded the motion. All were in favor and the agenda was approved.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Claire Wilson made a motion to approve the minutes from June 26, 2013, Bill Lavine seconded the motion. All were in favor and the minutes were approved.

SPECIAL RECOGNITION
Chairman Bill Ashton wanted to present Randy Mohr with a recognition award for 21 years on the Plan Commission and thanked him for his time. Randy is now on the Zoning Board of Appeals. The audience applauded.

PETITIONS
#13-16 Poultry processing plant and slaughtering
Planner Zubko stated this is the text amendment to allow a small poultry and small animal processing plant as a special use in the A-1 district. Planner Zubko went through the memo and stated we are looking to add a definition of a small poultry and small animal processing plant and rendering. Planner Zubko went through all 14 conditions proposed. She stated the process to process chickens is at the end of the memo. She also stated she visiting a poultry processing plant and thought it was quite efficient, they had about 25 employees and about 2,500 chickens a day.

To help aid in the discussion on the text Planner Zubko went through Petition 13-17 at this time and stated all the same conditions are placed on the special use.
#13-17 Maly Poultry Processing Plant
Planner Zubko stated the petitioners; Alan & Mary Maly are requesting approval of an A-1 special use to operate a small poultry and small animal processing plant. The property is located at 16895 Lisbon Center Road on the north side of Lisbon Center road about 0.3 miles east of Fennel Road. The petitioners own about 125 acres of land and are requesting 27 acres of it for the special use. The Big Grove Township board made a unanimous recommendation in favor of the petition. Planner Zubko has received a letter from the Village of Newark Trustees saying they reviewed the information and support the endeavor. The petitioners have stated that all processing will take place in enclosed buildings and projecting to process a maximum of 3,000 chickens a day. They will also employ about 30-35 employees. They do meet all the setback requirements. Staff has requested to start discussions with our consulting engineer with regards to their 2 proposed detention areas. There is an existing driveway the petitioner proposes to use. This road will be the jurisdiction of Big Grove Township Road Commissioner who I have spoken to and he did not feel this would be an issue. Most people will be bringing chickens in small trailers. For parking they would request tar and chip or pavers instead of asphalt. They do not anticipate a retail outlet or anything. In an average transport you can fit about 8-10 chickens per coop. The picture in the report can hold about 200 chickens. The petitioner proposes to be open from 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process Turkeys. The petitioners have stated that typically January to April are the slowest times of the year. Also typically the chickens will be dropped off in the morning, slaughtering typically takes place between 6-10am, then the customer picks up their processed chickens between 2-4pm. If the customer wants the chickens frozen they would typically pick them up the next day. The petitioner has stated they plan to have waste removed on a regular basis and have already been contacted by a rendering company in Joliet that will deal with the waste. Also it will be kept in sealed containers and picked up within 48 hours. For the well and septic there will be a combined effort. The IL EPA and the US Department of Agriculture are in charge of the water and waste from the animal by-products. Our Health department is in charge of the waste from the toilets and hand washing instead. The IDPH (IL Department of Public Health) will regulate the well used for the processing plant. No sign is proposed at this time, if a sign is installed at a later date it must comply with the sign regulations in Section 12 and requires a building permit. Parking lot lighting will be needed unless a variance is requested. The parking lot will mainly be used by employees. The Zoning Administrator may grant an exception to agricultural (A-1) zoned properties with low traffic volume to not asphalt the parking lot. The petitioners request the parking lot be gravel instead of asphalt and staff is in support. The building inspector has not provided comments on this petition yet. We are waiting to see if the Department of Agricultural
will be inspecting the buildings and do not know if the petitioner will be requesting inspections or going to apply as Agriculturally exempt. This property will be a USDA facility so a USDA employee will be on site when the operation is open. In the packet is an email from Jody and Beth Osmund in support of this proposed facility. There is another letter of support from the Illinois Stewardship Alliance. Also in the packet is a site plan and elevations of the proposed building. Staff is comfortable with this request and recommends approval with the 15 listed conditions.

Ms. Wilson asked why this use would be limited to not allow retail or wholesale to restaurants or middlemen. Planner Zubko stated that was something from ad-hoc and they wanted it to be more local and not allow retail on site.

Bill Lavine wanted to clarify the process and retail condition. The Commission might need to clarify this condition or take it out so we are protecting them to make sure they are not in violation.

Jody Osmund wanted to clarify the condition as well to state the petitions will not engage in retail sale as he's a farmer and does distribute his chickens to sell and will be using this facility.

Desiree Edwards asked if the special use follows the land or owners. Mr. Ashton stated it would only be for this parcel.

Mr. Ashton asked if the number they process recorded by the USDA? Mr. Maly stated they have to keep something called total records in the books for the USDA. Every chicken that comes in has to be recorded into the books including daily tallies. There was discussion if year logs need to be given to Planner Zubko? Planner Zubko stated she thought if there was a question she could ask for the logs or tell them to prove how many chickens a week or year they are producing. The petitioners were fine with whatever condition was placed on the ordinance.

Tom Marks asked what facility Planner Zubko visited. Planner Zubko stated she visited Central Illinois Poultry Processing in Arthur which is also a USDA site. There was discussion that the Undesser's is not a USDA site.

Mathew Marks owns property around this property. He stated he has talked to many neighbors and this is the first time he's heard about this. He asked the legal way to notify the neighbors. Mr. Ashton stated it's the adjacent land owners (from the special use), in the paper and a public hearing sign. Mr. Marks stated the public hearing sign is quite small to read. Mr. Marks passed out a map showing surrounding homes and wanted to know what this special use will mean for the area. Mr. Ashton stated anything in the town of Newark, Kendall County does not have any control over. Anything in Big Grove Township on the comprehensive plan shows the area will remain agricultural. This is a special use in the A-1 Agricultural district. Planner Zubko stated
this will look like an agricultural operation. Mr. Marks also had concerns about lighting on site. Mr. Marks asked what would happen if there were protests on site. He also asked about landscaping and the driveway. Mr. Ashton stated the Sheriff’s office would have to deal with protestors if needed. Mr. Marks also stated a lot of the neighbor’s have concrete driveways and would like to keep aesthetics of the neighborhood. He was also concerned about having commercial on the site or setting precedence. His last questions was about the environment and impacts. Mr. Lavine stated the soils were tested with the Natural resource inventory and the IL database was access to see if there were any endangered species (which there are none.)

Mr. Marks asked what the Plan Commission’s obligations are here, Mr. Lavine stated it is to weigh both sides of the issue and take a vote to recommend approval or recommend denial to the County Board. Mr. Ashton stated he understands what he’s saying and whether it’s a poultry processing plant verse any other farm operation.

Mr. Randy Mohr stated if we want to put condition ‘g’ it should only be only on the special use and not the text. He also stated there’s been a turkey processing plant for over 35 years and there has never been a protest.

Jody Osmund wanted to discuss the appropriateness of the scale and its community. It will help preserve farms on a less industrial scale. His farm has produced about 3,000 birds and travels to Arthur for processing. Their farm will be more viable with a close processing plant. He supports this small-scale type of production and their endeavors.

Alice Marks who owns the property right next door has a couple concerns and passed out a paper listing her concerns. Some concerns were how it would affect her property values? She feels maybe we’re underestimating the traffic to this property due to employees and farmers dropping off their chickens. She asked if maybe the customers can use Fennel Road instead of Townhouse Road. She’s concerned about the possibility of contamination to her well. She also is worried what is will look like as it would be right out her window. Lighting at night is a concern and signage. Mr. Ashton stated the lighting could not spill over onto the next property and the sign could only be a maximum of 32 square feet.

Matt Marks stated he has a 6-horse trailer that cannot make a turn from Fennel onto Lisbon Center Road. He just wanted to mention that could be an issue. Mr. Ashton stated that would be up to the road commissioner.

Desiree Edwards asked a question about annexation with regards to the sewers. Attorney Kramer stated there is no discussion about annexation and does not believe the sewers could even accommodate this site.

Ms. Kramer wanted to state with this being a special use another land owner could not use this petition as precedence if it is approved. It’s very specific to this property. Also the 30 employees is in the future, 5-15 is ideal at this time. She also stated there is a lot
of traffic on Townhouse Road. She also feels because this is a USDA site there are a lot more regulations then typically on a barn or other type of facility.

Mr. Casey asked about waste, Ms. Kramer stated it would be kept inside until picked up.

Mr. Sidles asked if there was any discussion on the parking lot or screening. Ms. Kramer stated currently they do not have a fence or anything proposed but she can discuss it with her clients.

Mr. Sidles asked planner Zubko about noise. Planner Zubko stated the only noise would be chickens that are waiting to be slaughtered.

Ms. Wilson is encouraged about the possible employment and helping the surrounding farmers. She thinks this fits in and will be un-obtrusive to the neighbors.

Petition 13-16 recommendation
Ms. Wilson recommended approval of the text amendment with the deletion of condition ‘g’. Mr. Werderich seconded the motion. With a roll call vote all were in favor of the text amendment as modified.

Mr. Ashton asked how many cars a day do the petitioners propose. Mr. Maly stated in 5 years they could be at maximum capacity with 30 employees. There could be 6-8 customers a day. Probably a maximum of 80 trips total a day including supplies, customers and employees. Mr. Ashton is asking due to possibly paving the apron of the driveway. Ms. Wilson does not see the need for pavement at the end of the driveway. She does recommend in the interest of being a good neighbor to possibly put something into the plans for asphalt between the parking lot and neighbors. Mr. Maly thought about berming around some of the property. Mr. Sidles would like something in writing for something to be done but keep it pretty open. There was talk about adding a condition about asphalt in the end of the driveway within 5 years. Ms. Kramer stated her clients have not discussed it at length but it is not something her petitioners would like to do. Lisbon Center Road is a tar and chip roadway and the majority of the traffic is going to be cars except the rendering truck most likely. Ms. Osmond has been to the Arthur plant and has not seen it being an issue. Tom Marks stated if the petitioners will work with them they can be very good neighbors.

Petition 13-17 recommendation
Claire Wilson made a motion to approve petition 13-17 subject to the modified conditions with are the modification of number 7 to state in no event can poultry produced be sold for retail or wholesale by the processor on the processing site and add a condition that within 5 years, 50’ of the driveway must be minimum tar and chipped. Tom Casey made a second. With a roll call vote all were in favor.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD
Waste water:
- Scalding tanks containing settleable residues and feathers. Approximately 8 litres of wastewater per bird are produced as a result of overflow (0.6 - 3.1 kg BOD per ton of LWK).
- Chilling. Chiller overflow is high to prevent bacterial contamination (0.4-2.5 kg BOD per ton of LWK);
- The final wash water contains blood and tissue (0.7 kg BOD per ton of LWK);
- Whole bird washing after defeathering (0.06 kg BOD per ton of LWK)
- Defeathering; The underlying troughs are flumed to collect the feathers.
- General plant clean-up; up to 50% of the BOD can come from cleaning operations.

Solid waste:
- Feathers recovered from the flume water of the collecting troughs;
- Head, feet and viscera.

Red are staff’s suggestions
Purple are changes after Plan Commission
Blue are changes after the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting

Attachments:
1. ZPAC meeting minutes on 7.1.13
2. Ad-hoc meeting minutes on 7.24.13
3. KCRPC meeting minutes on 8.28.13
4. ZBA meeting minutes on 9.3.13
5. Draft Ordinance
That the conditions upon which the requested variation is based would not be applicable, generally, to other property within the same zoning classification. This might be a variation requested throughout the subdivision.

That the alleged difficulty or hardship has not been created by any person presently having an interest in the property. The owners do not have a hardship but would like a fence around their entire property.

That the granting of the variation will not materially be detrimental to the public welfare or substantially injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located. The requested variance should not affect any of the neighbors nor be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood.

That the proposed variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property, or substantially increase the congestion in the public streets or increase the danger of fire, or endanger the public safety or substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood. Adding a fence will not impair any of the above items and will not impact the roadway.

With no further suggestions or changes Donna McKay made a motion, seconded by Scott Cherry to approve the variance with staff’s findings of fact. With a roll call vote all were in favor and the variance passed.

#13-16 Poultry processing plant and slaughtering
Planner Zubko stated this is a text amendment to allow a small poultry and small animal processing plant as a special use in the A-1 district. Planner Zubko went through the memo and stated we are looking to add a definition of a small poultry and small animal processing plant and rendering. Planner Zubko went through all 14 conditions proposed. She stated the process to process chickens are at the end of the memo. She also stated she visited the Central IL Poultry Processing plant in Arthur, IL and thought it was quite efficient, they had about 25 employees and processed about 2,500 chickens a day. Also the smell was minimal and only at the unloading area which is why condition b is worded the way it is worded. Condition g was deleted at the Plan Commission as they felt that should be specified on the special use and not part of the text amendment. The concerns that were brought up during the Plan Commission meeting was more related to the special use condition but staff feels the concerns are met with relation to the text amendment. The concerns brought up was the notification process, which I will bring up at the end under new business, traffic, noise, the property values in the area, lighting, possible protests, landscaping, driveway construction, commercial retail on the site, possible water contamination, appearance, signage and waste.

Mr. Mohr stated he thinks letter g should be kept in but re-word it to state it must be decided and specified in the special use. It will state Poultry produced to be sold for retail or wholesale sale shall be specified in the special use as a condition.

Chairman Mohr swore in all members of the audience that wished to talk about the text amendment to allow a poultry processing plant as a special use in the A-1 Agricultural District.

Chairman Mohr opened the meeting for public testimony.
Donald Hartman had a few questions about a processing plant and had some other concerns that will be discussed during the special use discussion.

Ms. Clementi stated there are other things in the special use that are not conditions in the special use, is that something we need to discuss or is it specific to that particular special use? Planner Zubko stated the ‘extra’ conditions are specific to that location.

Chairman Mohr had a short discussion on public notice for this meeting and the Plan Commission with the audience.

Chairman Mohr closed the public hearing.

With no further suggestions or changes Karen Clementi made a motion, seconded by Dick Thompson to approve the text amendment as modified. With a roll call vote all were in favor and the text amendment will be forwarded to the PBZ Committee.

**REVIEW PBZ APPROVALS BY COUNTY BOARD & CHANGES**- None- last month was just a variance

**NEW BUSINESS**
Planner Zubko wanted to get the opinion of the Zoning Board of Appeals on notification. How it currently is handled are the people that are with 500’ of the special use are notified not the overall property. Plan Commission is thinking of changing their by-laws to have it be the overall property and not smaller parcel that’s being rezoned or a special use. Planner Zubko is also checking with the SAO if there is a legal opinion on the matter. The Zoning Board of Appeals agreed they do not feel the intent was not to notify themselves so Planner Zubko will look into changing it and bring it to the next meeting.

**OLD BUSINESS**
None

**PUBLIC COMMENT**-
There were no members in the audience that wanted to comment.

**ADJOURNMENT OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**- Next meeting will be on October 28, 2013
Dick Whitfield made a motion to adjourn the ZBA meeting, Donna McKay seconded the motion. Chairman Randy Mohr adjourned the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting at 8:30 p.m.

**CALL TO ORDER- SPECIAL USE HEARING OFFICER**
At 8:30 p.m., Chairman Bill Ford called the Special Use Hearing Officer meeting to order.

**ROLL CALL**
Members present: Bill Ford

**MINUTES**
Bill Ford motioned to approve the January 28, 2013 & February 21, 2013 Special Use Hearing Officer Meeting minutes as written.
ORDINANCE # 2013-_____

AMENDMENT TO THE KENDALL COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE TO ADD TWO DEFINITIONS AND A TEXT AMENDMENT TO ALLOW A SMALL POULTRY AND SMALL ANIMAL PROCESSING PLANT AS A SPECIAL USE IN THE A-1 AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT

WHEREAS, Kendall County regulates development under authority of its Zoning Ordinance and related ordinances; and

WHEREAS, the Kendall County Board amends these ordinances from time to time in the public interest; and

WHEREAS, all administrative procedures for amendments have been followed including a public hearing held before the Kendall County Zoning Board of Appeals on September 3, 2013;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, the Kendall County Board hereby amends Sections 3.02 Rules & Definitions- “Definitions” & Section 7.01.D A-1 Agricultural District- “Special uses” of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance as provided:

SECTION 3.02 DEFINITIONS
SMALL POULTRY AND SMALL ANIMAL PROCESSING PLANT. Operations in which the carcasses of slaughtered poultry are defeathered, eviscerated, cut-up, skinned, boned, canned, salted, stuffed, rendered, or otherwise manufactured or processed. Poultry and small animals are defined as chickens, turkeys, ducks, geese, guineas, squab (pigeons up to one month old), small game birds such as quail, pheasant, and partridge and rabbits.

RENDERING. A process that collects, cooks and processes bodies or parts of bodies of dead animals, poultry or fish, or used cooking grease and oils, for the purpose of salvaging hides, wool, skins or feathers, and for the production of animal, poultry, or fish protein, blood meal, bone meal, grease or tallow and converts it into stable, value-added materials. Rendering can refer to any processing of animal products into more useful materials, or more narrowly to the rendering of whole animal fatty tissue into purified fats like lard or tallow.

SECTION 7.01.D. A-1 AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT- SPECIAL USES
Small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant subject to the following conditions:
   a. A maximum of 21,000 units a week.
   b. Facilities (the unloading area) must be located at least 400’ from any principle
c. No rendering may take place on the site.
d. Live animals may be held on the site for no more than twenty-four (24) hours.
e. All slaughtering/processing permitted only in an enclosed building.
f. The number of hours and days of operation as specified in Special Use Permit to be determined by the County Board.
g. Poultry processed to be sold for retail or wholesale sale shall be specified in the special use permit as a condition.
h. Parking shall be in accordance with Section 11 of the Zoning Ordinance including lighting.
i. All Applicable Federal, State and County rules and regulations shall apply.
j. Other such conditions as approved by the County Board.
k. Waste, by-products or any decomposable residue which results from the slaughtering of animals must be kept in a sealed container and picked up within 48 hours.
l. All signage shall comply with the provisions of Section 12 of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance (Sign Regulations)
m. Shall satisfy all requirements of the Kendall County Health Department and Building Department prior to the issuance of occupancy permits.

n. Performance Standards. All activities shall conform to the performance standards set forth in section 10.01.G.

\textit{IN WITNESS OF:} this Ordinance has been enacted by the Kendall County Board this 17\textsuperscript{th} day of September, 2013.

Attest:

\begin{flushright}
Kendall County Clerk  
Debbie Gillette
\end{flushright}  
\begin{flushright}
Kendall County Board Chairman  
John Shaw
\end{flushright}
13-17
MALY POULTRY PROCESSING
A-1 SPECIAL USE

SITE INFORMATION

PETITIONERS  Alan & Mary Maly

ADDRESS  16895 Lisbon Center Road

LOCATION  On the north side of Lisbon Center Road about 0.32 miles east of Fennel Road

TOWNSHIP  Big Grove

PARCEL #  07-08-100-011

SIZE  27 Acres of a 124.77 total acres

EXISTING LAND USE  Agricultural/Farming

ZONING  A-1 Agricultural

LRMP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>County: Agricultural; Village of Newark: ?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>Lisbon Center Road is a Big Grove Township Roadway and is designated as a local rural road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails</td>
<td>There are no trails shown for this area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>There are no wetlands or floodplain on this property</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REQUESTED ACTION  The petitioner is requesting approval of an A-1 Special Use Permit to operate a Small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant.

APPLICABLE  Pet. 13-16 (Text amendment to allow this as a special use in the A-1 district)
REGULATIONS § 11.00 Off-street Parking and Loading
§ 13.08 of the Zoning Ordinance(Special Uses)

SURROUNDING LAND USE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Adjacent Land Use</th>
<th>Adjacent Zoning</th>
<th>LRMP</th>
<th>Zoning within ¼ Mile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Agricultural/Farming</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>Newark &amp; A-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Agricultural/Farming</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>A-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Agricultural/Farming</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>A-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Agricultural/Farming</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>A-1; LaSalle County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHYSICAL DATA

ENDANGERED SPECIES REPORT The Illinois Natural Heritage Database contains no record of State-listed threatened or endangered species, Illinois Natural Area Inventory sites, dedicated Illinois Nature Preserves, or registered Land and Water Reserves in the vicinity of the project location.

NATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY The NRI indicates that 67.4% of the soils on this site are soils that are classified as being prime farmland and the most agronomically productive. 100% of the soils are very limited for local roads and streets; and 15.9% are very limited for shallow excavation. The site is located within the Fox River Watershed and Roods Creek Subwatershed. A drainage tile survey is recommended to be completed on the parcel.

Land Evaluation:  90
Site Assessment:  113
TOTAL:  203
Level of Protection:  Medium

ACTION SUMMARY

TOWNSHIP (Big Grove) It was discussed at the July 16, 2013 Big Grove Township Board and received an unanimous recommendation in favor of the petition.

MUNICIPAL (Village of Newark) Received a letter dated August 5, 2013 stating the Village of Newark Trustees reviewed the information and support the endeavor.

ZPAC (7.1.13) At the time of ZPAC there were a lot of open ended questions but they still made a favorable recommendation.

RPC (8.28.13) The Plan Commission made a favorable recommendation and added a condition that within 5 years, 50' of the driveway must be a minimum of tar and chipped and modified the condition to not allow retail sales on site instead of the previous wording. The main concerns brought up at this meeting were: the notification process, public hearing sign size, traffic, noise, the property values in the area, lighting, possible protests, landscaping, driveway construction, commercial retail on the site, possible water contamination, appearance, signage and waste.

SUHO (9.3.13) Bill Ford made a favorable recommendation to approve the special use. Also Mr. Ford felt the 5 year time limit to pave 50' is too long of a timeline. The main concerns brought up at this meeting once again was the notification process and feels all residents of Newark should be notified, traffic, noise, smell, disease.
prevention, the well, septic, retention ponds on site, fire prevention, hazardous spills, rats, entrance appearance, landscaping, roadway conditions and lighting.

REQUESTED ACTION

GENERAL

The petitioner is requesting approval of an A-1 Special Use Permit to operate a small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant.

The petitioner has stated that all processing will take place in enclosed buildings. They are projecting to process a maximum of 3,000 chickens per day. They also will have a maximum of 30-35 employees.

SETBACKS

From the proposed site plan all setbacks will be met for the building and parking lot.

STORMWATER DETENTION

Staff has requested to start discussions with our consulting engineer with regards to their 2 proposed detention areas.

ACCESS/ROADWAY

This road will be the jurisdiction of Big Grove Township Road Commissioner who I have spoken to and he did not feel this would be an issue. Most people will be bringing chickens in small trailers. For parking they would request tar and chip or pavers instead of asphalt. They do not anticipate a retail outlet or anything.

TRANSPORTATION

In an average transport you can fit about 8-10 chickens per coop. The transport below can hold about 200 chickens.
HOURS OF OPERATION
The petitioner proposes to be open from 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process Turkeys. The petitioners have stated that typically January to April are the slowest times of the year. Also typically the chickens will be dropped off in the morning, slaughtering typically takes place between 6-10am, then the customer picks up their processed chickens between 2-4pm. If the customer wants the chickens frozen they would typically pick them up the next day.

WASTE
The petitioner has stated they plan to have waste removed on a regular basis and have already been contacted by a rendering company in Joliet that will deal with the waste. Also it will be kept in sealed containers and picked up within 48 hours.

WATER/SEPTIC
The EPA and USDA will be in charge of the wastewater for animal products and the Health Department will be in charge of domestic waste and water. The IDPH will also regulate the well used for processing. Also staff is concerned about the waterway that runs on this property and if that could be contaminated in the future from this operation but that will be looked at more carefully while reviewing the engineering drawings.

SIGNAGE
No sign is proposed at this time, if a sign is installed at a later date it must comply with the sign regulations in Section 12 and requires a building permit.

LIGHTING
There will be security lighting on the building that will be shielded.

PARKING
The parking will mainly be used for employees as typically people will drop off their live chickens and pick up the processed chickens later that day. The Zoning Administrator may grant an exception in the agricultural (A-1) from the provision of having a hard surfaced parking lot where such uses generate low traffic volume. Handicapped parking stalls within the A-1 district shall be improved with a permanent, concrete, unit paver or asphalt surface and shall also provide a hard surface to the entrance of the structure a minimum of 6 feet wide. The petitioners request the parking lot be gravel instead of asphalt and staff is in support.

BUILDING CODES
The building inspector has not provided comments on this petition yet. We are waiting to see if the Department of Agricultural will be inspecting the buildings and do not know if the petitioner will be requesting inspections or going to apply as Agriculturally exempt.

FINDINGS OF FACT
§ 13.08.J of the Zoning Ordinance outlines findings that the Hearing Officer must make in order to grant a special use. Staff has answered as follows:

That the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare. If the conditions are adhered to the establishment, maintenance, and operation of the special use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare. The testimony has shown that the process as outlined by the petitioners will be a state of the art operation, there will be a USDA employee on site during the operations and ensure the public health and safety and monitoring of the employees and premises.

That the special use will not be substantially injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood. The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in
question shall be considered in determining consistency with this standard. The proposed use shall make adequate provisions for appropriate buffers, landscaping, fencing, lighting, building materials, open space and other improvements necessary to insure that the proposed use does not adversely impact adjacent uses and is compatible with the surrounding area and/or the County as a whole. The entire operation will take place within a building and the unloading area must be at least 400' from any principle structure on an adjoining lot. The zoning in the general area is agricultural which this process fits in with that classification.

That adequate utilities, access roads and points of ingress and egress, drainage, and/or other necessary facilities have been or are being provided. The special use will be utilizing the existing driveway and drainage will be closely evaluated when the engineering drawings are assessed.

That the special use shall in all other respects conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may in each instance be modified by the County Board pursuant to the recommendation of the Hearing Officer. The EPA, Illinois Department of Health, Kendall County Health Department and the Kendall County Building Department all have jurisdiction over different aspects of the special use and the regulations must be followed and adhered to.

That the special use is consistent with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies. The review and action to be taken on the special use petition will coincide with a proposed text amendment (Petition 13-16) that will permit a small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant as a special use in the A-1 District. The special use proposal will comply with all proposed conditions attached to the proposed text amendment.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff is comfortable with this request and recommends approval. If approved, Staff recommends the following conditions be placed on the controlling ordinance approving the A-1 Special Use:

1. A maximum of 21,000 units a week.
2. Facilities (the unloading area) must be located at least 400' from any principle structure.
3. No rendering may take place on the site.
4. Live animals may be held on the site for no more than twenty-four (24) hours.
5. All slaughtering/processing permitted only in an enclosed building.
6. The hours of operation are to be 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process turkeys.
7. In no event can poultry produced be sold for retail or wholesale by the processor on the processing site.
8. Parking shall be in accordance with Section 11 of the Zoning Ordinance including lighting.
9. All Applicable Federal, State and County rules and regulations shall apply.
10. Other such conditions as approved by the County Board.
11. Waste, by-products or any decomposable residue which results from the slaughtering of animals must be kept in a sealed container and picked up within 48 hours.
12. All signage shall comply with the provisions of Section 12 of the
Kendall County Zoning Ordinance (Sign Regulations)
13. Shall satisfy all requirements of the Kendall County Health Department and Building Department prior to the issuance of occupancy permits.
14. Performance Standards. All activities shall conform to the performance standards set forth in section 10.01.G.
15. Engineering drawings including stormwater must be approved before a building permit can be released.
16. Within 5 years, 50' of the driveway must be a minimum of tar and chipped.
17. Kendall County staff will have access to the log books kept in house to verify the limits are being maintained with regards to how many animals are processed weekly.

Attachments:
1. ZPAC Meeting minutes on 7.1.13
2. RPC Meeting minutes on 8.28.13
3. Special Use Hearing Officer minutes on 9.3.13
4. Letter of support from Jody & Beth Osmund
5. Letter of support from the Illinois Stewardship Alliance
6. Letter of support from Richard Durbin
7. Letter from Alice Marks with her concerns
8. Map made by Mathew Marks showing what's in the area
9. Brower World Class Processing Equipment brochure
10. Draft Ordinance
11. Site Plan
12. Preliminary Concept Elevations
Planner Angela Zubko called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m.

Present:
Megan Andrews – Soil & Water Conservation District
Aaron Rybski – Health Department
Fran Klaas – County Highway Department
Phil Smith – Sheriff’s Office
Amy Cesich – PBZ Member
Angela Zubko – PBZ Senior Planner

Absent:
Greg Chismark – Wills Burke Kelsey
Jason Petit – Forest Preserve

Also present:
Attorney Daniel Kramer
Alan & Mary Maly (Petitioners)
Darrell Poundstone (Renwick and Associates, in Ottawa)

AGENDA

A motion was made by Amy Cesich to approve the agenda, Fran Klaas seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion carried.

MINUTES

Aaron Rybski made a motion, seconded by Fran Klaas, to approve the June 3, 2013 meeting minutes. All were in favor and the motion carried.

PETITIONS

#13-16 Poultry processing plant and slaughtering
Planner Zubko stated this is the text amendment to allow a poultry processing plant as a special use in the A-1 district and check the language of slaughtering in other sections. This text amendment directly relates to Petition 13-17. Planner Zubko stated she thought about changing the language of slaughter houses as that is not commonly used anymore but decided to keep it as that’s the language the state still uses. The text will be talked about more at Ad-hoc at the end of the month but they wanted it to go to ZPAC to get their comments and also hear from the Health Department. Planner Zubko read through the conditions and the following suggestions were made:
Condition h might be too limiting especially for small shops like in Lisbon
Condition m might also be too hard for some people to adhere to.

To help aid in the discussion on the text Planner Zubko went through Petition 13-17 at this time:

#13-17 Maly Poultry Processing Plant
Planner Zubko stated the petitioners; Alan & Mary Maly are requesting approval of an A-1 special use to operate a poultry and small animal processing plant. The property is located at 16895 Lisbon Center Road on the north side of Lisbon Center road about 0.3 miles east of Fennel Road. The petitioners own about 125 acres of land and are requesting 27 acres of it for the special use. The petitioners have stated that all processing will take place in enclosed buildings and projecting to process a maximum of 3,000 chickens a day. They will also employ about 30-35 employees. Once again staff has many questions the petitioners will be answering at ad-hoc or before ad-hoc to help aid in a decision to recommend

ZPAC Meeting Minutes 7.1.13
approval of the special use. Some of the questions were about wastewater, who has authority, unloading of the chickens, how garbage will be handled, deliveries and inspections.

Attorney Daniel Kramer introduced himself and the owners/future operators of the property. Mr. Kramer wanted to talk a little about the text. He thought 20 acres might be a hindrance for small processing like Lisbon. Mr. Kramer asked if it’s 200’ from the property line or from where the processing will take place? These don’t effect this special use but possible others that exist. Mr. Kramer stated near Thanksgiving or Christmas they might do more than 3,000 but on an average day probably will do way less than 3,000 chickens. Holiday hours might vary and quantities. As long as there is some flexibility they do not see an issue with putting the amount of chickens in the Special use. With regards to regulations it is the IL Department of Agriculture and the USDA, they only oversee the processing and machinery, not building. Building and water is all under local authorities.

Mr. Kramer briefly discussed about the Village of Newark concern about annexing the property and having to take ownership of the roadways. They will be going to the Village of Newark’s meeting on July 10th but does not think there will be any issues. They have also notified the township and talked to the road commission and no issues have been brought up to date.

Most people will be bringing chickens in small trailers. For parking they would request tar and chip or pavers instead of asphalt. They do not anticipate a retail outlet or anything.

Alan & Mary Maly came up to the podium to help aid with questions. Mrs. Mary Maly stated with regards to waste, it really depends on volume but definitely pick up on a regular basis. If they have a large quantity of chickens it could be on a daily basis. It would be in a covered trash cans stored inside the building. Blood, feathers and bones are separated and disposed of. Due to the location of the site they will not be using anything on their fields but it’s possible to compost everything and spread onto fields.

Darrell Poundstone with Renwick and Associates (consulting engineer cut of Ottawa) stated with regards to the BOD’s (biochemical oxygen demand), the expected BOD’s to come through the waste water system is about 1/3 of what you’d see coming out of a small restaurant. The petitioners will be using a standard underground septic system that will be to the northeast of the property. The exact location will not be determined until the soil borings are competed.

Mr. Rybski asked if it was going to be a combined system with regards to bathrooms and the washout/clean up from the operation. The reason he asked is because EPA has rules and restrictions with regards to slaughtering operations. Domestic waste is permitted by the health department but not the other waste. The petitioner said ideally they would like to combine them but whatever the regulations are they will follow. There is a 6’ well on site and they are already talking to a well contractor. On the concept plan the engineer is showing detention on the downstream sides of the property. The USDA does have standards for the building. State inspected facilities can sell only within state and the USDA permits interstate. USDA facilities have inspector at all times. There was talk about deliveries and the petitioner stated that a semi truck holds 30,000 chickens. They expect to have people with flatbeds/hay wagons or car haulers that hold about 1,000 chickens. In an average transport you can fit about 8-10 chickens per coop. The petitioners will bring in an example to ad-hoc to help educate. With regards to operations they might want to look at 5:30am due to heat, typically you slaughter from 6-10am. The petitioners stated that typically January to April are the slowest times of years. Typically pick up is from about 2-4pm. If they want the chickens frozen they would typically pick up the next day.

Planner Zubko stated it seems like conditions a, b, c, h, j and m need to be looked at further. Mr. Klaas suggested maybe making a weekly average so there is some give and take in the regulations.
Petition 13-16
With no further discussion Megan Andrews made a motion, seconded by Aaron Rybski to forward the petition with the suggestion changes and recommend approval to the Plan Commission. All were in favor.

Petition 13-17
With no further discussion Phil Smith made a motion, seconded by Amy Cesich to forward the petition and recommend approval to the Plan Commission. All were in favor.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD
13-08 ZPAC Definition- changes are shown in the packet, approved on June 18th
13-13 Steven & Lori Seeler- approved as is on June 18th

Going to Board on July 16th:
12-03 Land Cash Ordinance
13-11 Any text related to guns or target practice
13-15 Subdivision Control Ordinance

PUBLIC COMMENT- There were no audience members to comment.

OLD BUSINESS- None

NEW BUSINESS- None

AJOURNMENT- Next meeting on August 5, 2013
With no further business to discuss Fran Klaas made a motion, seconded by Aaron Rybski to adjourn the meeting at 9:53 a.m. The motion carried.

Submitted by,
Angela L. Zubko
Senior Planner
KENDALL COUNTY
REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Kendall County Office Building
Rooms 209 & 210
111 W. Fox Street, Yorkville, Illinois

Meeting Minutes of August 28, 2013
(Unofficial until Approved)

Chairman Bill Ashton called the meeting to order at 7:04 pm.

ROLL CALL
Members Present: Chair Bill Ashton, Tom Casey, Bill Lavine, Vern Poppen, Tim Sidles, Claire Wilson, Walter Werderich, Budd Wormley and 1 vacancy (Big Grove)
Others present: Senior Planner Angela Zubko
Members Absent: Larry Nelson
In the Audience: Mary & Alan Maly, Desiree Edwards, Jody Osmund, Alice marks, Tina Suomi, Mathew Marks, Darrell Poundstone, Attorney Kelly Helland & Randy Mohr.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA
Claire Wilson made a motion to approve the agenda as written. Budd Wormley seconded the motion. All were in favor and the agenda was approved.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Claire Wilson made a motion to approve the minutes from June 26, 2013, Bill Lavine seconded the motion. All were in favor and the minutes were approved.

SPECIAL RECOGNITION
Chairman Bill Ashton wanted to present Randy Mohr with a recognition award for 21 years on the Plan Commission and thanked him for his time. Randy is now on the Zoning Board of Appeals. The audience applauded.

PETITIONS
#13-16 Poultry processing plant and slaughtering
Planner Zubko stated this is the text amendment to allow a small poultry and small animal processing plant as a special use in the A-1 district. Planner Zubko went through the memo and stated we are looking to add a definition of a small poultry and small animal processing plant and rendering. Planner Zubko went through all 14 conditions proposed. She stated the process to process chickens is at the end of the memo. She also stated she visiting a poultry processing plant and thought it was quite efficient, they had about 25 employees and about 2,500 chickens a day.

To help aid in the discussion on the text Planner Zubko went through Petition 13-17 at this time and stated all the same conditions are placed on the special use.
#13-17 Maly Poultry Processing Plant
Planner Zubko stated the petitioners; Alan & Mary Maly are requesting approval of an A-1 special use to operate a small poultry and small animal processing plant. The property is located at 16895 Lisbon Center Road on the north side of Lisbon Center road about 0.3 miles east of Fennel Road. The petitioners own about 125 acres of land and are requesting 27 acres of it for the special use. The Big Grove Township board made a unanimous recommendation in favor of the petition. Planner Zubko has received a letter from the Village of Newark Trustees saying they reviewed the information and support the endeavor. The petitioners have stated that all processing will take place in enclosed buildings and projecting to process a maximum of 3,000 chickens a day. They will also employ about 30-35 employees. They do meet all the setback requirements. Staff has requested to start discussions with our consulting engineer with regards to their 2 proposed detention areas. There is an existing driveway the petitioner proposes to use. This road will be the jurisdiction of Big Grove Township Road Commissioner who I have spoken to and he did not feel this would be an issue. Most people will be bringing chickens in small trailers. For parking they would request tar and chip or pavers instead of asphalt. They do not anticipate a retail outlet or anything. In an average transport you can fit about 8-10 chickens per coop. The picture in the report can hold about 200 chickens. The petitioner proposes to be open from 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process Turkeys. The petitioners have stated that typically January to April are the slowest times of the year. Also typically the chickens will be dropped off in the morning, slaughtering typically takes place between 6-10am, then the customer picks up their processed chickens between 2-4pm. If the customer wants the chickens frozen they would typically pick them up the next day. The petitioner has stated they plan to have waste removed on a regular basis and have already been contacted by a rendering company in Joliet that will deal with the waste. Also it will be kept in sealed containers and picked up within 48 hours. For the well and septic there will be a combined effort. The IL EPA and the US Department of Agriculture are in charge of the water and waste from the animal by-products. Our Health department is in charge of the waste from the toilets and hand washing instead. The IDPH (IL Department of Public Health) will regulate the well used for the processing plant. No sign is proposed at this time, if a sign is installed at a later date it must comply with the sign regulations in Section 12 and requires a building permit. Parking lot lighting will be needed unless a variance is requested. The parking lot will mainly be used by employees. The Zoning Administrator may grant an exception to agricultural (A-1) zoned properties with low traffic volume to not asphalt the parking lot. The petitioners request the parking lot be gravel instead of asphalt and staff is in support. The building inspector has not provided comments on this petition yet. We are waiting to see if the Department of Agricultural
will be inspecting the buildings and do not know if the petitioner will be requesting inspections or going to apply as Agriculturally exempt. This property will be a USDA facility so a USDA employee will be on site when the operation is open. In the packet is an email from Jody and Beth Osmund in support of this proposed facility. There is another letter of support from the Illinois Stewardship Alliance. Also in the packet is a site plan and elevations of the proposed building. Staff is comfortable with this request and recommends approval with the 15 listed conditions.

Ms. Wilson asked why this use would be limited to not allow retail or wholesale to restaurants or middlemen. Planner Zubko stated that was something from ad-hoc and they wanted it to be more local and not allow retail on site.

Bill Lavine wanted to clarify the process and retail condition. The Commission might need to clarify this condition or take it out so we are protecting them to make sure they are not in violation.

Jody Osmund wanted to clarify the condition as well to state the petitions will not engage in retail sale as he’s a farmer and does distribute his chickens to sell and will be using this facility.

Desiree Edwards asked if the special use follows the land or owners. Mr. Ashton stated it would only be for this parcel.

Mr. Ashton asked if the number they process recorded by the USDA? Mr. Maly stated they have to keep something called total records in the books for the USDA. Every chicken that comes in has to be recorded into the books including daily tallies. There was discussion if year logs need to be given to Planner Zubko? Planner Zubko stated she thought if there was a question she could ask for the logs or tell them to prove how many chickens a week or year they are producing. The petitioners were fine with whatever condition was placed on the ordinance.

Tom Marks asked what facility Planner Zubko visited. Planner Zubko stated she visited Central Illinois Poultry Processing in Arthur which is also a USDA site. There was discussion that the Undesser’s is not a USDA site.

Mathew Marks owns property around this property. He stated he has talked to many neighbors and this is the first time he’s heard about this. He asked the legal way to notify the neighbors. Mr. Ashton stated it’s the adjacent land owners (from the special use), in the paper and a public hearing sign. Mr. Marks stated the public hearing sign is quite small to read. Mr. Marks passed out a map showing surrounding homes and wanted to know what this special use will mean for the area. Mr. Ashton stated anything in the town of Newark, Kendall County does not have any control over. Anything in Big Grove Township on the comprehensive plan shows the area will remain agricultural. This is a special use in the A-1 Agricultural district. Planner Zubko stated
this will look like an agricultural operation. Mr. Marks also had concerns about lighting on site. Mr. Marks asked what would happen if there were protests on site. He also asked about landscaping and the driveway. Mr. Ashton stated the Sheriff’s office would have to deal with protestors if needed. Mr. Marks also stated a lot of the neighbor’s have concrete driveways and would like to keep aesthetics of the neighborhood. He was also concerned about having commercial on the site or setting precedence. His last questions was about the environment and impacts. Mr. Lavine stated the soils were tested with the Natural resource inventory and the IL database was access to see if there were any endangered species (which there are none.)

Mr. Marks asked what the Plan Commission’s obligations are here, Mr. Lavine stated it is to weigh both sides of the issue and take a vote to recommend approval or recommend denial to the County Board. Mr. Ashton stated he understands what he’s saying and whether it’s a poultry processing plant verse any other farm operation.

Mr. Randy Mohr stated if we want to put condition ‘g’ it should only be only on the special use and not the text. He also stated there’s been a turkey processing plant for over 35 years and there has never been a protest.

Jody Osmund wanted to discuss the appropriateness of the scale and its community. It will help preserve farms on a less industrial scale. His farm has produced about 3,000 birds and travels to Arthur for processing. Their farm will be more viable with a close processing plant. He supports this small-scale type of production and their endeavors.

Alice Marks who owns the property right next door has a couple concerns and passed out a paper listing her concerns. Some concerns were how it would affect her property values? She feels maybe we’re underestimating the traffic to this property due to employees and farmers dropping off their chickens. She asked if maybe the customers can use Fennel Road instead of Townhouse Road. She’s concerned about the possibility of contamination to her well. She also is worried what is will look like as it would be right out her window. Lighting at night is a concern and signage. Mr. Ashton stated the lighting could not spill over onto the next property and the sign could only be a maximum of 32 square feet.

Matt Marks stated he has a 6-horse trailer that cannot make a turn from Fennel onto Lisbon Center Road. He just wanted to mention that could be an issue. Mr. Ashton stated that would be up to the road commissioner.

Desiree Edwards asked a question about annexation with regards to the sewers. Attorney Kramer stated there is no discussion about annexation and does not believe the sewers could even accommodate this site.

Ms. Kramer wanted to state with this being a special use another land owner could not use this petition as precedence if it is approved. It’s very specific to this property. Also the 30 employees is in the future, 5-15 is ideal at this time. She also stated there is a lot
of traffic on Townhouse Road. She also feels because this is a USDA site there are a lot more regulations then typically on a barn or other type of facility.

Mr. Casey asked about waste, Ms. Kramer stated it would be kept inside until picked up.

Mr. Sidles asked if there was any discussion on the parking lot or screening. Ms. Kramer stated currently they do not have a fence or anything proposed but she can discuss it with her clients.

Mr. Sidles asked planner Zubko about noise. Planner Zubko stated the only noise would be chickens that are waiting to be slaughtered.

Ms. Wilson is encouraged about the possible employment and helping the surrounding farmers. She thinks this fits in and will be un-obtrusive to the neighbors.

Petition 13-16 recommendation
Ms. Wilson recommended approval of the text amendment with the deletion of condition 'g'. Mr. Werderich seconded the motion. With a roll call vote all were in favor of the text amendment as modified.

Mr. Ashton asked how many cars a day do the petitioners propose. Mr. Maly stated in 5 years they could be at maximum capacity with 30 employees. There could be 6-8 customers a day. Probably a maximum of 80 trips total a day including supplies, customers and employees. Mr. Ashton is asking due to possibly paving the apron of the driveway. Ms. Wilson does not see the need for pavement at the end of the driveway. She does recommend in the interest of being a good neighbor to possibly put something into the plans for asphalt between the parking lot and neighbors. Mr. Maly thought about berming around some of the property. Mr. Sidles would like something in writing for something to be done but keep it pretty open. There was talk about adding a condition about asphalting the end of the driveway within 5 years. Ms. Kramer stated her clients have not discussed it at length but it is not something her petitioners would like to do. Lisbon Center Road is a tar and chip roadway and the majority of the traffic is going to be cars except the rendering truck most likely. Ms. Osmond has been to the Arthur plant and has not seen it being an issue. Tom Marks stated if the petitioners will work with them they can be very good neighbors.

Petition 13-17 recommendation
Claire Wilson made a motion to approve petition 13-17 subject to the modified conditions with are the modification of number 7 to state in no event can poultry produced be sold for retail or wholesale by the processor on the processing site and add a condition that within 5 years, 50’ of the driveway must be minimum tar and chipped. Tom Casey made a second. With a roll call vote all were in favor.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD
Chairman Ford swore in all members of the audience that wished to talk about the petition including the special use for a small poultry and small animal processing plant.

PETITIONS
#13-17 Maly Poultry Processing Plant
Planner Zubko stated the petitioners; Alan & Mary Maly are requesting approval of an A-1 special use to operate a small poultry and small animal processing plant. The property is located at 16895 Lisbon Center Road on the north side of Lisbon Center road about 0.3 miles east of Fennel Road. The petitioners own about 125 acres of land and are requesting 27 acres of it for the special use. The Big Grove Township board made a unanimous recommendation in favor of the petition. Planner Zubko has received a letter from the Village of Newark Trustees saying they reviewed the information and support the endeavor. The petitioners have stated that all processing will take place in enclosed building and projecting to process a maximum of 3,000 chickens a day. They will also employ about 30-35 employees probably in about 5 years. They do meet all the setback requirements. Staff has requested to start discussions with our consulting engineer with regards to their 2 proposed detention areas. There is an existing driveway the petitioner proposes to use. This road will be the jurisdiction of Big Grove Township Road Commissioner who I have spoken to and he did not feel this would be an issue. Most people will be bringing chickens in small trailers. For parking they would request tar and chip or pavers instead of asphalt. They do not anticipate a retail outlet or anything. In an average transport you can fit about 8-10 chickens per coop. The picture in the report can hold about 200 chickens. The petitioner proposes to be open from 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process Turkeys. The petitioners have stated that typically January to April are the slowest times of the year. Also typically the chickens will be dropped off in the morning, slaughtering typically takes place between 6-10am, then the customer picks up their processed chickens between 2-4pm. If the customer wants the chickens frozen they would typically pick them up the next day. The petitioner has stated they plan to have waste removed on a regular basis and have already been contacted by a rendering company in Joliet that will deal with the waste. Also it will be kept in sealed containers and picked up within 48 hours. For the well and septic there will be a combined effort. The IL EPA and the US Department of Agriculture are in charge of the water and waste from the animal by-products. Our Health department is in charge of the waste from the toilets and hand washing inside. The IDPH (IL Department of Public Health) will regulate the well used for the processing plant. No sign is proposed at this time, if a sign is installed at a later date it must comply with the sign regulations in Section 12 and requires a building permit. Parking lot lighting will be needed unless a variance is requested. The parking lot will mainly be used by employees. The Zoning Administrator may grant an exception to agricultural (A-1) zoned properties with low traffic volume to not asphalt the parking lot. The petitioners request the parking lot be gravel instead of asphalt and staff is in support. We are waiting to see if the Department of Agricultural will be inspecting the buildings and do not know if the petitioner will be requesting inspections or going to apply as Agriculturally exempt. This property will be a USDA facility so a USDA employee will be on site when the operation is open and must be built to USDA standards. In the packet is an email from Jody and Beth Osmund in support of this proposed facility. There is another letter of support from the Illinois Stewardship Alliance and I just received and passed out a letter from Richard Durbin from the United States Senate in support and urging to give this application serious consideration. Also in the packet is a site plan and elevations of the proposed building. Staff is comfortable with this request and recommends approval with the 16 listed conditions. Also near the back of the packet is a list of concerns from Alice Marks and a map showing some homes in the area. As mentioned earlier some concerns that were brought up at the Plan Commission meeting where: traffic, noise, the property values in the area, lighting, possible protests, landscaping, driveway construction, commercial retail on the site, possible water
contamination, appearance, signage and waste. At the Plan Commission condition 16 was added that states that within 5 years, 50' of the driveway must be a minimum of tar and chipped. Staff agrees with this condition. There was also discussion from the Plan Commission of a fence on the east side of the property or some type of landscaping but they did not want to put it as a condition at this time.

Attorney Kelly Helland stated the USDA employee/inspector will be onsite whenever the operation is open and every bird will be logged that comes through the site so the staff could inspect the 21,000 average per week is being abided for. Also the petitioners would be amendable to putting up some landscaping and screening along the parking lot and other locations that might be visible to the neighbors. The elevation of the building being proposed is an agricultural façade and fits in with the surrounding area and agricultural area. They do not feel the plant will have detrimental effect to the neighbors as the operation will be completely enclosed. Just to reiterate the petitioners are not looking to seek any retail on site. They are requesting a positive recommendation.

Chairman Ford asked what the function of the USDA function is. Attorney Helland stated he inspects the cleanliness and oversee any bacterial infections. Mary Malley stated they inspect every bird to make sure they are not diseased and also look at the trailers they are brought in to makes sure they’re hygienic and makes sure the site is clean. Mr. Ford asked about the process and how the waste products will be contained. Ms. Malley stated the birds are unloaded and kept in the unloading area until processing, in a shaded area to be less stressful on the birds. Once they are removed from the transport coup they are shackled, stunned, and conveyed over a trough to bleed out (which is collected and disposed of properly). The birds are then put into a scaldor to loosen their feathers, then into a tumbler to remove the feathers. The birds are then hung on a separate conveyor system where the organs are removed and various stages and the bird is washed out. Then the birds are put in a chilled tank for a few hours. Once that is completed then they are packaged and put into refrigerator storage or freezer space. The organs are either saved to be packaged or in another barrel to be removed for waste products. Mr. Ford asked what will be different with this facility verse the Arthur facility. Alan Malley stated the Arthur plant was built over 15 years ago and they are Amish so they do a lot of things that need to conform to their religion. So this plant will be more up to date, will have lighting in their facility, use less water and have a little more modern machinery in this facility. Mr. Ford asked about the drain system. Ms. Malley stated there will be a main drain system and a separate drain for the wash down that contains harsh chemicals. Darren Poundstone stated the IL EPA will be regulating the process and permitted it. The Illinois Department of Agricultural is deciding if they also want to have a say but the minimum will be the IL EPA. There is a permit for construction and a permit for operation with the EPA. Mr. Ford asked about the water creek on site and Mr. Poundstone stated there is a swale on the property that is dry most of the time on the property. Mr. Ford asked about the well and the petitioner stated there is an existing well on site they plan to use. The EPA also requires sampling, quarterly he believed. Mr. Ford asked if there are any problems with smell. Attorney Helland stated she did not think this would be a problem since everything is done indoors. Planner Zubko stated the only area that smelled in Arthur was the unloading area which is why there is a condition it must be 400’ from a principle residence. Mr. Ford asked about the rendering company and asked if they pull into the building or how that works. Ms. Malley stated in Arthur they pick it up outside, at this facility they are not sure if it will be done inside or outside but it will only be in sealed containers.

At this time Mr. Ford opened up the public hearing with the following testimony heard:
Bill Regan is a resident of Newark and feels all residents of Newark should have been notified and is concerned about traffic. Ms. Helland said the majority of the traffic will be employee traffic and the chickens typically come from small farmers at about 200-1000 at a time. The Malys stated it would most likely be scattered like 1,000 chickens from 1 farmer, 500 from another and 200 from a couple more. All the chickens are scheduled; you cannot just show up and expect your chickens to be processed. They are also looking into organic processing which that would take a different day or time of the day vs. regular chickens vs. rabbits. Ms. Maly stated they are expecting around 40 trips a day, more employee traffic than farmers.

Peter Renz is also a Newark resident and has a couple questions. He asked about the odor and asked about the vented ridge roof, the well on site and concerns about the septic and retention, truck traffic and if they are going to sit there during the process, traffic overall, customers and how many, what if there is no USDA approval, disease prevention, fire prevention and is the building going to be sprinkled, when did rabbits come in? The parking lot and regards to spills and what they are going to do. He also stated why not expand the Undensors’ site as that’s poultry processing? Mr. Ford stated that is a totally separate location and up to the petitioners.

Attorney Helland stated with regards to the fire department they have not decided if it will be sprinkled yet or not. The onsite well might need to be dug deeper but that will be looked at. There will be no discharge into a sewer system. As far as the roadway goes the road is tar and chipped and does not foresee any more traffic than the current grain trucks. Some farmers may sit on site and some may wait. Planner Zubko wanted to clarify the text amendment was for the small poultry and small animal processing plant and the Malys are going above and beyond to have a USDA facility so they can still run without being a USDA facility.

Carol Henderson stated the road was graveled when she moved there and tar and chipped it recently, she is concerned about truck traffic. She is against this special use, she’s about a ¼ mile from this property on Fennel. She’s also concerned about the smell and worried about rats.

Matthew Marks stated he owns numerous properties around this facility. His concerns are traffic, noise, odors and this being more commercial then other types of farm. One question is are any overnight stays permitted? On the open venting have they done circulation numbers yet? He stated semi trucks will not be able to make the turn from Fennel to Lisbon Center Road. Fennel Road is done 2-3 times per season so this will affect the road conditions. He had some questions about the lighted cupola, is it decorative? With regards to outdoor lighting are shields going to be used so it is shining downwards. He brought up landscaping and asked if there is a landscaping plan? He asked about the existing trees along the drive and if they will stay? He stated all the adjacent properties have decorative landscaping and nice entranceway. Mr. Marks asked if an entrance light will be put it so people do not drive by and miss the site. He thought garbage should be removed daily and understood that would increase the traffic. Mr. Ford stated he understood a USDA employee will be on site at all time and the process will be regulated on a day to day process. Attorney Helland stated no overnight guests will be permitted. No calculations have been done yet with regards to the open venting. With regards to the existing trees they will stay and no formal landscape plan has been drawn yet. The lighting in the cupola will be decorative and will have shields on their lights and there is no proposed parking lot lighting. With regards to waste the petitioners plan to remove it every day, the text amendment states within 48 hours for a day buffer in case it is not picked up or if there is a holiday. The petitioners do not have plans to light any signs at the driveway at this time.
Don Hartman stated a lot of the existing neighbors did not know this is going on and feels more notification needs to take place. Another concern is the traffic.

Tom Marks lives next to this property and would like to see a landscape plan approved. He is of the opinion the paved drive needs to be sooner than five years.

Planner Zubko asked Attorney Kramer if they went to any Village of Newark meetings or if the talks were internally with staff. Attorney Kramer said no formal meetings were held, they only talked to staff, no hearing was held at the Village of Newark.

Matthew Marks started hearing rumors about this plant about a year ago and did not receive information from the Village of Newark. Planner Zubko stated for the record the County received this application May 28, 2013 so we were not aware of this for a year.

Alice Marks’ letter was put on the record.

Attorney Kelly Helland wanted to submit in evidence the mechanical systems. She also stated she feels this will have very little impact compared to some other agricultural business permitted by right and not going to store ammonia on site. This will also add about 30 jobs in the next 5-10 years and also provide a service to local farmers and businesses.

With no further testimony Bill Ford closed the public hearing.

Special Use Hearing Officer Ford reviewed the Findings of Fact for a special use as follows:

§ 13.08.J of the Zoning Ordinance outlines findings that the Hearing Officer must make in order to grant a special use. Staff has answered as follows:

That the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare. If the conditions are adhered to the establishment, maintenance, and operation of the special use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare. The testimony has shown that the process as outlined by the petitioners will be a state of the art operation, there will be a USDA employee on site during the operations and ensure the public health and safety and monitoring of the employees and premises.

That the special use will not be substantially injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood. The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question shall be considered in determining consistency with this standard. The proposed use shall make adequate provisions for appropriate buffers, landscaping, fencing, lighting, building materials, open space and other improvements necessary to insure that the proposed use does not adversely impact adjacent uses and is compatible with the surrounding area and/or the County as a whole. The entire operation will take place within a building and the unloading area must be at least 400’ from any principle structure on an adjoining lot. The zoning in the general area is agricultural which this process fits in with that classification.
That adequate utilities, access roads and points of ingress and egress, drainage, and/or other necessary facilities have been or are being provided. The special use will be utilizing the existing driveway and drainage will be closely evaluated when the engineering drawings are assessed.

That the special use shall in all other respects conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may in each instance be modified by the County Board pursuant to the recommendation of the Hearing Officer. The EPA, Illinois Department of Health, Kendall County Health Department and the Kendall County Building Department all have jurisdiction over different aspects of the special use and the regulations must be followed and adhered to.

That the special use is consistent with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies. The review and action to be taken on the special use petition will coincide with a proposed text amendment (Petition 13-16) that will permit a small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant as a special use in the A-1 District. The special use proposal will comply with all proposed conditions attached to the proposed text amendment.

Bill Ford made a favorable recommendation with this petition, with the above modified findings of fact and the 16 conditions specified on the special use. Mr. Ford wanted to state he is of the opinion 5 years is too long of a time.

ADJOURNMENT
Chairman Bill Ford adjourned the Special Use Hearing Officer meeting at 10:00 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,
Angela L. Zubko
Senior Planner & Recording Secretary
Jody and Beth Osmund

Cedar Valley Sustainable Farm CSA
1985 N. 3609th Road
Ottawa, IL 61350

July 31, 2013

Angela Zubko
Senior Planner
Kendall County Regional Planning Commission
111 West Fox Street
Room 203
Yorkville, IL 60560-1098

Dear Ms Zubko:

We write to you today in support of the poultry processing plant special use permit application of Al and Mary Maly of Ferndell Farm in Newark, IL.

Cedar Valley Sustainable Farm is a community supported agriculture enterprise that delivers locally raised beef, chicken, pork, and eggs to approximately 200 families a month. Currently, all of our poultry (approximately 2000 birds) are processed by Central Illinois Processing in Arthur Illinois (a 3 ½ hour one-way drive from our Ottawa, IL farm) as it is the only USDA inspected facility in the state that accepts birds from independent producers.

The extreme distance to the processor and limits to the Arthur facility’s capacity makes expansion of poultry operations in northern Illinois very challenging despite ever increasing demand for locally grown poultry. We know of farms near the Wisconsin border that make a five hour one-way trip to Arthur for processing.

The Maly’s plant would be a good first step in addressing the poultry processing bottleneck in Illinois. With the Newark plant, Cedar Valley Sustainable Farm’s distance to processing would be one tenth of what it is now. Given the close proximity to processing, we WILL expand production numbers and processing frequency, and we are confident that existing poultry operations in this part of the state will do the same. Also, it is likely that other producers will emerge as the time/distance challenge is removed.

Obviously, a new poultry processing plant will benefit local producers. It will be a boon to Newark and the Kendall county as well – 20+ new jobs and a number of ancillary economic multipliers. On average, five to twelve poultry producers will travel to the plant each day. While their birds are processed, they will refuel trucks at local stations, eat at local restaurants, and purchase goods at local businesses. I know that Cedar Valley Sustainable farm spends $100 plus in Arthur with each processing trip. Surely, $2500 - $6000 per week in spending would help the local economy.

Lastly, we would like to point out the appropriateness of the scale the Maly’s project (3,000 birds per day). Rather than a mega-plant processing 200,000 birds a day and straining water, power, road, and community resources, this plant will be humane to employees, animals, the community, and the environment. It will, also, make small poultry operations like ours more sustainable and foster rural businesses in northern Illinois.
Sincerely,

Jody & Beth Osmund

Farmers

Jody & Beth Osmund
Cedar Valley Sustainable Farm CSA
1985 N. 3609th Road
Ottawa, IL 61350
815-431-9544
815-993-3387 (cell)
cdrvalleyfarm@gmail.com
www.cedarvalley可持续.com
Dear Ms Zubko:

I am writing you today in support of the poultry processing plant special use permit application of Al and Mary Maly of Ferndell Farm in Newark, IL. The Maly’s proposed processing plant would mean significant economic development for the community and region, both directly and indirectly.

Illinois Stewardship Alliance (ISA) is a state-wide non-profit organization that promotes the growth of local food systems and sustainable agriculture. As an organization interested in the development of community-based food systems, we strongly support the creation and expansion of the infrastructure, such as a poultry processing plant, that is necessary for local food systems to grow. Demand for locally produced food, including poultry, is growing.

Currently, Central Illinois Processing in Arthur, Illinois is the only United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) inspected facility in the state that processes poultry from independent producers. The lack of poultry processing facilities in the state creates a bottleneck and significant barrier to meeting the demand for local food. Farms all across northern Illinois are currently driving six to ten hours round-trip to Arthur to have their birds processed, cutting into already slim profit margins and consuming limited time and resources that could otherwise be re-invested in expanding production to meet the ever increasing demand. In addition to the direct impact on those poultry producers traveling to Arthur, a poultry processing plant in Newark would create new jobs associated with its construction and operation. Additionally, the poultry producers that travel to Newark to take advantage of the plant with likely be spending additional money at local businesses.

Large processing plants that are processing an average of 200,000 birds a day have significant impacts on local infrastructure, straining water, power, sewer, waste disposal and road systems in the community. At an average of 3,000 birds per day, the Maly’s proposed poultry processing plant is of a scale that is appropriate and consistent with the values of local community-based food systems and will not have the kind of significant negative impacts on local resources and infrastructure associated with large facilities.

For the reasons enumerated above I urge the Kendall County Planning Commission to support the poultry processing plant special use permit application of Al and Mary Maly of Ferndell Farm in Newark, IL.

Sincerely,

Wes King
Interim Executive Director
Ms. Angela Zubko  
Kendall County Regional Planning Commission  
111 West Fox Street  
Room 203  
Yorkville, Illinois 60560

Dear Ms. Zubko:

I am writing in support of the poultry processing plant special use permit application of Al and Mary Maly of Ferndell Farm in Newark, Illinois.

Currently, Central Illinois Processing in Arthur, Illinois is the only poultry processing plant in the state approved by the United States Department of Agriculture that accepts birds from independent producers. Even though the demand for locally grown poultry is increasing, farmers in northern Illinois experience cuts in their resources and profit margins because they must drive up to ten hours to have their birds processed. A poultry processing plant in northern Illinois would allow operations in the region to expand production and processing frequency by reducing travel time and cost.

A processing plant in Newark would bring other economic benefits to the community and the region. The plant would create new construction and operations jobs in Newark. Local businesses would see an increase in customers due to the five to twelve poultry producers expected to visit each day. Compared to larger plants that processes 20,000 birds a day, the Malys’ intent to process 3,000 birds a day is of a scale that is appropriate for the region and will not strain community resources.

I urge you to give Al and Mary Maly’s application the most serious consideration. Please contact my Springfield office at 217-492-4062 if you have any questions. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Richard J. Durbin  
United States Senator
Concerns regarding the proposed chicken processing facility on Lisbon Center Rd, Newark, IL

I am the property owner directly to the east of the proposed chicken plant. I have several concerns listed in order of importance to me.

1---How will this affect my future property value? I am concerned that rezoning will set a precedent for future rezoning and eventually the surrounding property will lose its country flavor.

2---What is the possibility of contamination to my well?

3---Increased traffic on a lightly traveled road. Right now during the day there may be 3-5 cars per hour and most of it is local farmers. I read there will be 30 employees and about 5-6 farmers visiting the site daily to drop off and pick up chickens. If entrance to the facility is 1 car and exit is counted as a second car trip that would be at least 50 more cars driving on Lisbon Center Rd. per day. I am assuming not every employee will be working each day and that they will not be leaving the property to find lunch or to run an errand to Walgreens, etc. I understand the farmers will come early in the morning and return in the afternoon. That would be 4 car passes per farmer or 20/day. Total extra cars driving on Lisbon Center Rd. would be 70 extra cars per day on that small road. I also understand that there will be daily dumpster pick up. Perhaps employees and farmers could be encouraged to approach the property from Fennel Rd instead of Townhouse Rd in order to avoid as many residential areas as possible.

4---What will I be looking at from my kitchen window and back yard deck? The picture I saw on line of the proposed structure would be similar to a large barn. I am fine with that but could not determine where the parking area will be. I would hope it would be on the west side of the building so it is not facing my home. Will the parking area be screened with evergreens? If my large tree line remains healthy I probably would not see the facility during the summer months but in the winter when the trees are barren of leaves I would have full view from the back of my house.

5---What will the parking lot lighting be like? How bright?

6---Will signage to the property be low profile?

Thank you for considering my concerns with this proposed project. I hope to have a good working relationship with the owners of the property to resolve any problems that may present themselves in the future with regard to this property and project.

Alice Marks

16675 Lisbon Center Road, Newark, IL

Cell -1-630-738-2370 Land line  1-630-323-2370
WORLD CLASS PROCESSING EQUIPMENT

Turnkey Equipment and Supplies for Processing Poultry in Small and Medium Sized Plants

Telephone: 319-469-4141
Fax: 319-469-4402
E-mail: broweriowa@aol.com
Web site: www.browerequip.com

Highway 16 West * P.O. Box 2000
Houghton, Iowa 52631 * USA
To Our Customers:

Brower specializes in serving the small- and medium-sized poultry producer and has successfully served this market for nearly eight decades. We are proud to have added this quality line of processing equipment. When you buy Brower, you get:

1. State-of-the-art designs for the markets we serve.
2. A commitment to service from our family business to your family business.
3. The resources of a Top Gun manufacturing and engineering group, a modern 200,000 square foot facility and the latest CNC fabricating equipment.
4. The best workmanship at competitive prices.

Be sure to write and ask for our production catalog — top quality small flock equipment as well as equipment for livestock, pets and wildlife.

Please let us know how we can serve you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Thomas W. Wenstrand
President

A DIVISION OF HAWKEYE STEEL PRODUCTS INC.

**PROCESSING PLANS**

We have Processing Plans which include complete lists of equipment, floor plans, manning suggestions, and operating tips for three sizes of processing plants — up to 400 broilers per hour (BPH), up to 600 broilers per hour and up to 1000/1200 broilers per hour. These plans are free by writing or calling us. The basic units in these plans are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>400 BPH</th>
<th>600 BPH</th>
<th>1000 TO 1200 BPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KILL</td>
<td>MODEL NO.</td>
<td>BT66SS WITH CONES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCALD</td>
<td>MODEL NO.</td>
<td>BM60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICK</td>
<td>MODEL NO.</td>
<td>BP30SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVISCERATE</td>
<td>MODEL NO.</td>
<td>SS40ET OR SE10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These units can be interchanged to fit your needs.

**KILLING EQUIPMENT**
Page 3-4

**SCALDING EQUIPMENT**
Pages 4-5

**PICKING EQUIPMENT**
Pages 6-7

**EVISCERATING EQUIPMENT,**
**SHACKLES, BIRD WASHER,**
**GIZZARD PEELER, GIBLET PANS**
Pages 8-9

**AUTOMATIC ACCESSORIES, HOCK & NECK KNIVES, VENT CUTTER, LUNG REMOVER, VAC-SYSTEM™**
Pages 10-11

**CHILLING EQUIPMENT**

**COOLING RACK, COMMERCIAL ICE SYSTEMS,**
**CHILLING TANKS & PUMPS**
Page 11

**AUTOMATIC BAGGING & CLOSING EQUIPMENT, BAGS & TRAYS**
Page 12

**CARTS, SHELVING, TABLES, KNIVES, SCALES,**
**APRONS & OTHER ACCESSORIES**
Pages 12-14

**HOME PICKERS, COOPS**
Page 15

**CATALOG NO. PROC21**

---

WARNING!! Gas and electrical hookups required to connect utilities to all killing lines, scalders, pickers, eviscerating lines, gizzard peelers, bagging machines, any other accessories and any other products sold by Brower must be made by qualified gas and electrical technicians. All line sizes and other specifications must meet or exceed local and national codes. There must be proper electrical grounding at all times.

All dimensions and capacities are approximate. Dimensions shown are those when unit is set up, not shipping dimensions.

CAPACITIES ARE NOT GUARANTEED.
The ability to achieve capacities indicated depends on many factors including, but not limited to, labor force experience, plant layout and availability of constant supply of birds.
**KILLING LINE CONVEYORS**

Conveyors are built for USDA approval. Units can be used with killing tunnels. Reversible. Vari-speed drive. Corrosion-resistant aluminum gearbox, drive and take-up. Stainless steel track and trolleys. Our bolt together design allows quick assembly and also permits easy expansion. 3/4 horsepower motor, 115V, 60HZ. Order shackles separately. See page 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KILL 15</td>
<td>16 foot (4.9 meters) long conveyor, 26&quot; wide (65 cm), 33 shackle spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KILL 22</td>
<td>22 foot (6.7 meters) long conveyor, 26&quot; wide (65cm), 44 shackle spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVEXT</td>
<td>Extends overall conveyor length 5 feet (1.5 meters) - adds 10 linear feet (3.0 meters) and 10 shackles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STAINLESS STEEL KILLING TUNNEL**

Two models are available to work with either KILL16 or KILL22 killing line conveyors. Birds are killed as they enter the tunnel on shackles. The tunnel base is tapered with a drain hole. Both sides have wash nozzles with trigger valves and hose to keep tunnel washed down at intervals. On KLDT1, KLDT1W, KLDT2 and/or KLDT2W, you can add a 48" (121.9 cm) extension. Order KLDTEX for standard 30" (76.2 cm) width: order KLDTEXW for 48" (121.9 cm) wide tunnels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KLDT1</td>
<td>Stainless Steel Killing Tunnel, 30&quot; wide x 84&quot; long x 79&quot; high, (76 cm wide x 239 cm long x 201 cm high). Use with KILL16 or KILL22. Model KLDT1W has the same dimensions as KLDT1 but is 48&quot; wide (121.9 cm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLDT2</td>
<td>Stainless Steel Killing Tunnel, 30&quot; wide x 142&quot; long x 79&quot; high, (76 cm wide x 361 cm long x 201 cm high). Recommended for use with KILL22. Model KLDT2W has the same dimensions as KLDT2 but is 48&quot; wide (121.9 cm).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STUNNING KNIFE**

Stunned birds are easier to handle and they bleed more quickly and thoroughly. Stunned birds have fewer bruises and broken wings. You speed up your processing operation. You can control voltage to various ages and sizes of birds or for changing environmental conditions. Virtually the only way to kill turkey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SK200</td>
<td>Electronic Stunning Knife, 110V, 50 HZ, or 60 HZ, single phase. Variable power setting. Includes power cabinet, safety knife and rubber glove. Unit features easy reset overload and ground fault interrupter for operator safety. Available with 220V, 50HZ - 60HZ. Order Model SK200220.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADJUSTABLE BLEED RACK FOR KILLING CONES**

Designed to hold these cones (see page 4): 6-54K; 8-53K (recommended for pastured poultry); 8 to 10-52K; 8-51K. Order cones separately and you must order a QRBT1A Bracket for each cone. Rack features four leveling feet, easy rack height adjustment up to 60" (152 cm) high. 304 stainless steel construction. Can be disassembled and stored flat. Designed to set over a 2'W x 4'L x 1'H stock tank (order separately) for blood collection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BR48</td>
<td>Adjustable Bleed Rack, 24&quot; wide x 48&quot; long x 60&quot; high (61 cm wide x 122 cm long x 152 cm high)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STAINLESS STEEL BLEEDING TROUGH**

Keep Your Processing Area Clean and Sanitary. When a fully automatic system is not needed, use our killing cones and stainless bleeding trough. Blood flows down back panel and into sloped trough. Position any receptacle under the drain hole on one end.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT86</td>
<td>Stainless Steel Bleeding Trough and Back Panel 85&quot; long x 40&quot; high (218 cm long x 102 cm high). Trough is 5' (153cm) wide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT134</td>
<td>Stainless Steel Bleeding Trough and Back Panel 134&quot; long x 40&quot; high (340 cm long x 102 cm high). Trough is 5' (153 cm) wide.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other sizes manufactured upon request
KILLING CONES
Four sizes are available, all constructed of 20 gauge stainless steel. Hemmed edges and lockformed seams assure no snags. For slot mounting, order model QRBT1A bracket (shown right). For wall mounting or for use with BT86 and BT134 bleeding troughs (see page 3), order Q781 Cone Rail (shown right). Q781 is 5" wide x 56" long x 1" deep. Holds up to 4-54K cones.

SCALDING EQUIPMENT

SCALDERS
OUR SUPERSCALD ROTARY SCALDERS WILL SCALD MORE BIRDS PER HOUR THAN DUNK SCALDERS AND NO AIR COMPRESSOR IS REQUIRED.

Scalding requires a broiler to be immersed in 145°F (63°C) water for about 60 seconds — regardless of the type of scaldor used. Our Superscald Rotary Scalder have at least three times the capacity of competitive dunk-type scalers. Also, you do not have to attach the birds to shackles.

SUPERSCALD
* All Stainless Steel
* Maximum Production in Limited Space
* Minimum Labor

We offer two models of Superscald. Both feature, as standard, an accurate 0-5 minute timer with second graduations, sensitive thermostat, digital thermometer (registers in °F and °C), and work table. Birds tumble freely, assuring a thorough scald. You'll get more production with less labor than any other competing scalers. Setup for LP gas is standard. Natural gas orifices included for easy conversion.

Both units are 220/110V, 60Hz. Contact us about your specific electrical requirements.

WARNING!
Scalding requires 145°F (63°C) water which can severely burn operator. Exercise caution at all times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Approximate Per Hour Capacities</th>
<th>Tank Dimensions</th>
<th>Overall Dimensions</th>
<th>Motor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SS36SS</td>
<td>500-750 Broilers 500-750 Pheasants 100-120 Turkey Hens 80 Turkey Toms 500-700 Ducks 1000 Quail</td>
<td>W: 30&quot; (76 cm) L: 36&quot; (91 cm) H: 27&quot; (69 cm)</td>
<td>W: 33&quot; (84 cm) L: 67&quot; (170 cm) H: 42&quot; (107 cm)</td>
<td>1/2 HP 220/110V 60 Hz 250,000 BTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS48SS</td>
<td>1000-1200 Broilers 1000-1200 Pheasants 250-350 Turkey Hens 125 Turkey Toms 1000-1200 Ducks 1800 Quail</td>
<td>W: 30&quot; (76 cm) L: 53&quot; (135 cm) H: 28&quot; (71 cm)</td>
<td>W: 33&quot; (84 cm) L: 85&quot; (216 cm) H: 42&quot; (107 cm)</td>
<td>1/2 HP 220/110V 60 Hz 375,000 BTU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spare Parts Kit for Superscald
Recommended for all export shipments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KIT36-48</td>
<td>Spare Parts Kit for either SS36SS or SS48SS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**WHY SUPERSCALD GIVES A FAST, THOROUGH SCALD**

Loaded—ready to start scald cycle. Consider the ease in loading—no basket to open or shackles to load. Just push the start button to begin operation. Timer automatically resets to desired time.

Position after platform has made 1/4 of a cycle. Birds are dumped to bottom of tank. The water level backs up ahead of platform. Water sprays through holes in platform onto birds, giving a spray-action scald.

Position after platform has made 1/2 of a cycle. Birds are completely submerged—not confined in any way but free to tumble in all positions thus assuring a thorough scald.

Birds are tumbled through the water by the revolving platform and then out of the water to the stop position. The platform rotates at 10 RPM and will rotate several times depending on length of scald.

Out of the water and ready for picking. The water will drain away, yet birds will not dry out if not picked immediately. No lids or shackles to hamper unloading.

**ECONOMY GAS SCALDER**

Our manual gas scalder can be used with either LP or Natural Gas. Tanks are hot dipped galvanized after fabrication. Easily accessible pilot light. Requires vent tube. **Standard drain table adds 26” (66 cm) of length.** Automatic water level and heat controls. 115V, 50/60 HZ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BM38</td>
<td>Holds about 38 gallons. Ideal scalder for pastured poultry operations. 23&quot; wide × 28&quot; long × 36&quot; high (58 cm × 71 cm × 91 cm). Tank dimensions: 20&quot; wide × 20&quot; long × 23&quot; deep (50.8 cm × 50.8 cm × 58.4 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM60</td>
<td>Holds about 60 gallons. 28&quot; wide × 42&quot; long × 37&quot; high (71 cm × 107 cm × 94 cm). Tank dimensions: 27&quot; wide × 32&quot; long × 23&quot; deep (69 cm × 81 cm × 58 cm high).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our commercial pickers have one superior design advantage—a conical-shaped centrifuge. The cone shape permits birds to freely rotate for a clean pick. By contrast, flat centrifuges result in birds piling up in the center. The result is a poor pick, having to run the picker longer (at risk to skin breakage) or having to hand pick birds. All centrifuges are made of heavy duty aluminum. Choose between 2 models of SUPERPIK, our BATCHPIK, our new Utility Pickor or our Junior BATCHPIK. Regardless of which model you choose—EVEN WHEN THEY'RE EMPTY, THEY'RE LOADED.

**SUPERPIK**
Stainless Steel, Automatic Pickers

Features (all standard)

- Automatic unloading door is self closing; latch is operated by a start button which simultaneously starts the timer and opens the automatic water valve. Set 0-5 minute timer for 30 second pick or as required.

- Feather exhaust.

- Shipped fully assembled and ready to use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Capacity Birds per Hour</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Loading Height</th>
<th>Cylinder Diameter</th>
<th>Motor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP30SS</td>
<td>400-700 Broilers</td>
<td>35&quot;</td>
<td>76&quot;</td>
<td>47.5&quot;</td>
<td>43&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400-700 Pheasants</td>
<td>89 cm</td>
<td>193 cm</td>
<td>120 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100-120 Turkey Hens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60-80 Turkey Toms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400-700 Ducks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000 Quail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length includes bird catch table which is 34&quot; (86 cm) long.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP38SS</td>
<td>800-1000 Broilers</td>
<td>54&quot;</td>
<td>90&quot;</td>
<td>63&quot;</td>
<td>43&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800-1000 Pheasants</td>
<td>120 cm</td>
<td>229 cm</td>
<td>160 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250-300 Turkey Hens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125 Turkey Toms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800-1000 Ducks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1800 Quail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length includes bird catch table which is 34&quot; (86 cm) long.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**BatchPik**

All Stainless Steel

Features (all standard)
- Built-in feather exhaust
- 0-5 minute timer with second graduations
- Shipped fully assembled and ready to use

This unit is perfect for small poultry retail stores where customers want live birds processed on the spot. It also works great for hunting preserves where game birds are brought in from fields to be picked in batches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Capacity Birds per Hour</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Loading Height</th>
<th>Cylinder Diameter</th>
<th>Motor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BP30SS</td>
<td>300-400 Broilers</td>
<td>35&quot;</td>
<td>22&quot;</td>
<td>37&quot;</td>
<td>25&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300-400 Pheasants</td>
<td>43&quot;</td>
<td>24&quot;</td>
<td>37&quot;</td>
<td>43&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75 Turkey Hens</td>
<td>43&quot;</td>
<td>43&quot;</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>30&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 Turkey Toms</td>
<td>89 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>30&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350 Ducks</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>30&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800 Quail</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>30&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Utility Picker**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Capacity Birds per Hour</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Loading Height</th>
<th>Cylinder Diameter</th>
<th>Motor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BP25SS</td>
<td>3-5 Birds in 25-45 seconds</td>
<td>22&quot;</td>
<td>25&quot;</td>
<td>37&quot;</td>
<td>1 1/2HP, 115/220V, 60 HZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56 cm</td>
<td>61 cm</td>
<td>71 cm</td>
<td>64 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Junior BatchPik**

Especially designed for Quail

Features (all standard)
- Feather exhaust
- Work shelf
- 0-5 minute manual timer
- Aluminum centrifuge, galvanized steel frame
- Shipped fully assembled and ready to use

This picker is designed for quail but is also a nifty economy unit for any requirement — broilers, pigeons, or pheasant. Compared to our other pickers, the fingers are more densely placed on the centrifuge and cylinder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Capacity Birds per Hour</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Loading Height</th>
<th>Cylinder Diameter</th>
<th>Motor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QBP23</td>
<td>700 Quail</td>
<td>26 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>42&quot;</td>
<td>43&quot;</td>
<td>23&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 Broilers</td>
<td>67 cm</td>
<td>43&quot;</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>1.5HP, 220V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 Pheasants</td>
<td>107 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>1.5HP, 220V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>108 Ducks</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>109 cm</td>
<td>1.5HP, 220V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Whisper Fingers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Used in all picker centrifuges and feather exhausts. Total length — 3 1/2 inches (8.9 cm); fits a 3/4 inch (1.9 cm) hole. Virtually any other type of finger available upon request.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Spare Parts Kit for SuperPik**

Recommended for all export shipments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KIT30</td>
<td>Spare Parts Kit for SP30SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIT38</td>
<td>Spare Parts Kit for SP38SS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eviscerating Equipment

Eviscerating Line Conveyor System
The conveyor is the same equipment used for our Killing Line Conveyor shown on page 3. We add components shown below to make an eviscerating system. Hardware for ceiling suspension is not included. Vari-speed drive allows you to adjust line speed. Reversible. Components included with each system are:

- Water Flushed Trough — Stainless steel, 31" width standard (79 cm width), 43" available upon request. EV16 has 10' (3 meters) trough 12" (30 cm) deep; EV22 has 15' (4.6 meters) trough 12" (30 cm) deep.
- Inside wash nozzle with trigger valve and hose.
- 2 gooseneck wash nozzles on 10' (3m) trough for EV16; 4 nozzles on 15' (4.6m) trough for EV22.
- Splash shield mounts where birds are washed.
- Stainless steel gullet station, 20" wide, 33" long, 37 1/2" tall (51 cm wide x 84 cm long x 95 cm high).
- Shackles — EV16 has 33 shackle spaces; EV22 has 44 shackle spaces; spaced at about 1" intervals. Order shackles separately.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EV16</td>
<td>Eviscerating Line Conveyor System, 26 1/2&quot; wide (67 cm), 16' long (4.9 meters) complete with all above listed accessories. Recommended for 600 birds per hour operations. 115 volt, 60HZ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV22</td>
<td>Eviscerating Line Conveyor System, 26 1/2&quot; wide (67 cm), 22&quot; long (6.7 meters) complete with all above listed accessories. Recommended for 1200 birds per hour operations. 115 volt, 60HZ.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As your operation grows, you can expand EV16 and EV22 by adding trough and conveyor sections. Order CONVEXT to extend conveyor (see page 3). Order EVEXT or EVWEXT to extend the trough. Extension adds about 48" (122 cm) of Length.

Shackles for Kill and Evisceration Conveyors
Brite finish, 5/16" (.79 cm) round bar material, 3/8" (.95 cm) suspension rod. Order 33 shackles for 16' (4.9 m) conveyor; 44 for 22' (6.7 m) conveyor; 10 for CONVEXT Stainless steel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OBW4</td>
<td>3 Foot Bird Washer 25 1/2&quot; wide x 36&quot; long x 56&quot; high (65 cm wide x 91 cm long x 142 cm high).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Offal Cart
This offal cart is a handy accessory for use with eviscerating lines, tables or stationary eviscerating units. Use it to catch inedible offal. The perforated insert collects solids while liquids remain at the bottom. Completely portable with four (4) casters and handle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OFFAL</td>
<td>Offal Cart with Insert and 4 Casters. 23&quot; wide x 34 1/2&quot; long x 32&quot; high (58 cm wide x 88 cm long x 81 cm high).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28BG</td>
<td>Perforated Insert. Having an extra insert for each cart is highly recommended. You will not have to slow down your eviscerating operation because you can quickly change inserts when one is full.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inline Bird Washer
Designed to be installed with our EV22 eviscerating line. All stainless steel construction. A top performing commercial unit at a reasonable cost. 18 spray jets. Washes birds on outside only. Recommended for our 1000-1200 bird per hour system.
Stainless Steel Eviscerating Tables—Two Options
All stainless-steel model SS40ET (shown right) is designed for cleanliness, long wear and economy. The top is one piece and seamless, has 1 1/2" (3.8 cm) lip. Unit comes complete with two (2) four inch eviscerating tubes and a center drain, two (2) gooseneck wash valves, and two (2) trigger valves with hose. The table accommodates up to 4 operators. Use for fish and small game as well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SS40ET</td>
<td>Stainless Steel Four Operator Eviscerating Table 43&quot; wide x 55&quot; long x 36&quot; high (overall height is 58 1/2&quot;). (109 cm wide x 140 cm long x 91 cm high).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETSB</td>
<td>Optional adjustable shackle bar for SS40ET to use for shackle evisceration. Shown attached to model ET below left (ETSB fits both SS40ET and ET). Total height of SS40ET with shackle bar is 78&quot; (198 cm). Order shackles separately. See page 8.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eviscerating Table
An economical table for pastured poultry processing. One piece top with 1" (2.54 cm) lip; 1.75" (4.4 cm) center drain. Unit comes with two (2) gooseneck wash valves and two (2) trigger valves with hose. Stainless Steel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>Eviscerating table 29&quot; wide x 54&quot; long x 37&quot; tall. Overall height is 55&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETSB</td>
<td>Optional, Adjustable Shackle Bar to use for shackle evisceration. Total height of ET with shackle bar is 79&quot; (201 cm). Shown attached to ET in photo to the left. ETSB is an option and the shackles also must be ordered separately. See page 8.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ten Foot Stationary Eviscerating Unit
The 10 foot (3 meter) stationary eviscerating unit fills the need between a processor who needs more capacity than our eviscerating table, but who does not require an automatic line. The stainless steel trough (30 inches wide, 12 inches deep (76 cm wide, 30 cm deep)) is the same as the trough section on our EV16 line. There are four gooseneck wash spigots and 4 trigger valves and hose for four operators. Place our offal cart at the end of the trough as you would with our automatic lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SE10</td>
<td>10 Foot (3 meter) Stationary Eviscerating Unit 30 1/2&quot; wide x 10' long x 66&quot; high (77 cm wide x 3 meters long x 2.2 meters high). Includes 10 ESSS Eviscerating Shackles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stainless Steel Gizzard Peeler
The hardened, spiral cut rolls are direct driven. The drive cannot slip as with a belt driven machine. A 1/3 horse-power motor with gear reducer turns the peeler rolls at 288 RPM. A double pole switch allows you to reverse the rolls in the event anything becomes entrapped in the rolls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSAK4</td>
<td>Gizzard Peeler complete with floor stand and motor 110V, 60HZ, single phase (as pictured) 19&quot; wide x 33&quot; long x 40 1/2&quot; high (48 cm wide x 84 cm long x 103 cm high).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SASK450</td>
<td>Gizzard Peeler complete with floor stand and motor 220V 50HZ, 3 phase 19&quot; wide x 33&quot; long x 40 1/2&quot; high (48 cm wide x 84 cm long x 103 cm high).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAK4</td>
<td>Gizzard Peeler Head.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAK4</td>
<td>Peeler Rolls only.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Giblet Pans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12504</td>
<td>Perforated Stainless Giblet Pan 20 3/4&quot; x 12 3/4&quot; x 4&quot; (53 cm x 32 cm x 10 cm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12524</td>
<td>Perforated Stainless Giblet Pan 12 3/4&quot; x 10 1/2&quot; x 4&quot; (32 cm x 27 cm x 10 cm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12003</td>
<td>Solid Stainless Giblet Pan 20 3/4&quot; x 12 3/4&quot; x 2 1/2&quot; (53 cm x 32 cm x 6 cm).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMERCIAL ICE SYSTEMS—WALK IN COOLERS, FREEZERS AND ICE STORAGE BINS
We will work with you on your specific requirements.
All purpose ice machines available. Single phase and three phases. 208/230V, 50Hz and 60Hz. We also have sourced walk-in coolers and freezers for large installations. Let us know your needs.

CHILL TANK AGITATOR PUMP
(Shown attached to PP430 Chilling Tank in photo to right)
Agitator pump maintains constant water temperature assuring a uniform chill. The pump can be moved so you need only one pump for several tanks. The standard unit fits over the PP430 or PP412 chilling tanks. However, the standard unit can be easily modified to fit other tanks. Advise us of your tank dimensions and the type of material (metal, fiberglass, etc.) and we will quote.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTAP50</td>
<td>Chill Tank Agitator Pump. 220V, 50H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAP60</td>
<td>Chill Tank Agitator Pump. 115V, 60H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LARGE CAPACITY CHILLING TANKS
These popular chilling tanks are replacing all other types in the field. Each unit comes standard with a heavy duty metal frame, heavy duty casters and a drain. Choose from three (3) sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP430</td>
<td>Jumbo Polyethylene Chilling Tank 32&quot; wide x 44 1/2&quot; long x 37 1/4&quot; high (81 cm wide x 113 cm long x 95 cm high). Holds 160 gallons (600 liters).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP412</td>
<td>Large Polyethylene Chilling Tank 18&quot; wide x 29&quot; long x 27&quot; high (46 cm wide x 74 cm long x 69 cm high). Holds 48 gallons (180 liters).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP433</td>
<td>Small Polyethylene Chilling Tank 23&quot; wide x 24 1/2&quot; long x 19&quot; high (58 cm wide x 62 cm long x 48 cm high). Holds 20 gallons (76 liters). (This tank is popular for giblets in larger plants.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12 BAGGING EQUIPMENT

AUTOMATIC BAGGING AND CLOSING EQUIPMENT
Bagger blows open bags. Vacuum removes air from bag before sealing. Includes twist tie sealer — vinyl coated wire is pulled off of a reel, is cut to size and then twisted around neck of bag. A very fast and efficient system. Great value for small and medium sized operator. Capacity of up to 10 packages per minute.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BVS40</td>
<td>Complete bagging unit includes vacuum and electric tie, 26&quot; wide x 48&quot; long x 60&quot; high, 115V, 50/60Hz (66 cm wide x 122 cm long x 152 cm high)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAG35</td>
<td>Special Wicketed Plastic Bags for use with BVS40. 8&quot; x 2&quot; x 14&quot; (20.3 cm x 5.1 cm x 35.6 cm). The length is 15 1/2&quot; (39.4 cm) including a 1-1/2&quot; (3.8 cm) wicket lip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>2000 ft. spool of vinyl-coated wire. Yields 6400 ties.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YOUR LOGO CAN BE IMPRINTED AT EXTRA COST. ASK FOR QUOTATION AND MINIMUM ORDER REQUIREMENT.

POULTRY BAGS
Smartly display your dressed fowl with our polyethylene, gusseted bags. There is no center seam and these bags are made of the clearest material on the market. Designed for easy loading. All bags are .0015 material except 12 x 8 x 30 which is .002. All bags packed either 100 or 1000 quantities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 x 3 15</td>
<td>6&quot; x 3&quot; x 15&quot; (15 cm x 7.5 cm x 38 cm)</td>
<td>Broilers, Fryers, Small Roasters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 x 3 18</td>
<td>9&quot; x 3&quot; x 16&quot; (23 cm x 7.6 cm x 46 cm)</td>
<td>Large Rosters, Capons, Small Hens Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 x 6 24</td>
<td>12&quot; x 6&quot; x 24&quot; (30 cm x 15 cm x 61 cm)</td>
<td>Turkeys, Large Ducks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 x 8 30</td>
<td>12&quot; x 8&quot; x 30&quot; (30 cm x 20 cm x 76 cm)</td>
<td>Large Turkeys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIE</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Vinyl Coated Wire Tying Strips tie any of the above (5&quot; long)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DRESSED POULTRY TRAY
Ideal for storing and/or chilling dressed poultry. Size is an easy one for one person to handle. Tray will stack when full or nest when empty. Constructed to withstand freezer conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28BG</td>
<td>Plastic Tray for Dressed Poultry 16&quot; wide x 23 3/4&quot; long x 7 3/8&quot; high (41 cm wide x 60.3 cm long x 18.7 cm high)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GIBLETS CARTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>LS311</th>
<th>LS2000</th>
<th>LS459</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shelf Size</td>
<td>15 1/2 x 24&quot; (39.4 x 61 cm)</td>
<td>15 1/2 x 24&quot; (39.4 x 61 cm)</td>
<td>20 1/2 x 47&quot; (52.1 x 119.4 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Size</td>
<td>16 1/4&quot; x 27 1/2&quot; x 32&quot; (41.3 x 69.9 x 81.3 cm)</td>
<td>17 1/8&quot; x 32 1/2&quot; x 34 7/8&quot; (43.5 x 82.6 x 88.6 cm)</td>
<td>22 3/4&quot; x 54 1/8&quot; x 37 1/4&quot; (57.8 x 137.5 x 94.6 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Between Shelves</td>
<td>11 3/4&quot; (29.8 cm)</td>
<td>12 1/8&quot; (30.8 cm)</td>
<td>13 1/8&quot; (33.3 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of Casters</td>
<td>3 1/2&quot; (8.9 cm) Swivel</td>
<td>4&quot; (10.2 cm) Swivel</td>
<td>2-5&quot; (12.7 cm) Swivel, 2-5&quot; Fixed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>200 lbs. (90.9 kg)</td>
<td>200 lbs. (90.9 kg)</td>
<td>400 lbs. (181.8 kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
<td>Legs - ABS Plastic Shelves Polypropylene</td>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CART PRICES SUBJECT TO CHANGE
ACCESSORIES

ACCESSORIES FOR KILLING AND EviscerATING
Select from our complete line of knives for killing, boning and pinning; lung removers; and trimming shears.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-S128</td>
<td>Poultry Killing Knife, Stainless Steel Blade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-S130</td>
<td>Pinning Knife, Stainless Steel Blade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-726</td>
<td>Boning Knife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-475</td>
<td>Economy Shears — Straight Trimmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-1328KBR</td>
<td>Heart and Liver Shears - Blade is curved right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-4268BP</td>
<td>Gizzard and Venting Shears - ball point prevents damage to viscera.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-1010</td>
<td>10&quot; (25 cm) Sharpening Steel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-CLUNG</td>
<td>Chicken Lung Remover, 11&quot; long (28 cm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-TLUNG</td>
<td>Turkey Lung Remover, 16&quot; long (41 cm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J-41820</td>
<td>3&quot; Victorinox Siant point venting knife (great for killing, venting and neck work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-41822</td>
<td>4&quot; Victorinox Boning knife (great for heads, necks and legs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-WCFLUNG</td>
<td>Water Flushed Lung Remover. 6' (1.8 meter) hose with brass swivel both ends. Standard garden hose hookup. Simple hand trigger water valve. 12&quot; (30 cm) indexable lung scraper wand.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APRONS

Heavy Duty Plastic Aprons
* .015 gauge vinyl
* semi-transparent
* withstands abrasion, acids, and alkalis
* 29" x 35" (74 cm x 89 cm) or 29" x 45" (74 cm x 14 cm).

Low Cost Disposable Aprons
* light and comfortable
* use and discard
* withstands abrasion, acids, and alkalis
* 28" x 46" (71 cm x 117 cm)

POULTRY SCALE

Obtain fast, accurate weights on individual birds with our dial scale. Included are top and bottom hooks and easy to read dial. Twenty pound capacity with one ounce graduations. One year warranty. Cone not included. Order cones shown on page 4.

WFCLUNG

PLASTIC COATED SCALDING BASKETS
These baskets are a big asset in speeding up your manual scalding operation. Heavy wire is coated with tough vinyl plastic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Plastic Coated Scalding Basket, 14-1/2&quot; diameter, (36.8 cm) top, 10&quot; (25.4 cm) bottom, 9&quot; (22.9 cm) high, Packed 1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Home Picker Table Top**
Model HPTT has 28 fingers on a 10 inch drum. Galvanized steel construction. 1/4HP, 110V, 60 Hz. 15" wide x 22" long x 12" high.

**Leg Mounted Home Picker**
Model HPJR has 54 fingers on a 12 inch drum. Galvanized steel construction. Can be washed without disassembly. 1/3 HP, 110V, 60 Hz. 17" wide x 28" long x 50" high.

**Transport Coop**

**Coop 9**

**Transport Coops**
Designed for optimum air flow. Interlock for transport and stacking. Easily washed and sanitized. High density polyethylene with stainless steel hinges and fasteners. 12 1/2" x 16 1/2" (31.8 cm x 41.9 cm) door. Optional 2" (5 cm) extension for use with medium sized turkeys, show birds, and the like. Assembly required (takes about 10 minutes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COOP 9</td>
<td>Poultry Transport Coop; 10 broiler capacity (approx.) 24 1/2&quot; wide x 36 1/2&quot; long x 8&quot; high (62.2 cm wide x 92.7 cm long x 20.3 cm high).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COOP9EXT</td>
<td>2&quot; (5 cm) bolt in extension spacer with fasteners; 6 turkey capacity (approx.) Comes in 4 poly strips that bolt together. Shown above on the right.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ORDINANCE NUMBER 2013 - _____

GRANT A SPECIAL USE AT **16895 LISBON CENTER ROAD**
FOR A SMALL POULTRY & SMALL ANIMAL PROCESSING PLANT THAT IS
USDA APPROVED

**WHEREAS**, Alan and Mary Maly have filed a petition for a Special Use within the A-1 Agricultural Zoning District for a 27 acre property located at 16895 Lisbon Center Road, (PIN #07-08-100-011), in Big Grove Township, and;

**WHEREAS**, said petition is to allow the operation of a small poultry and small animal processing plant; and

**WHEREAS**, petition #13-16 was approved the same day as a text amendment to allow such a use as a special use in the A-1 Agricultural district, and

**WHEREAS**, said petitioners stated this will be a USDA facility and conform to the rules and regulations to operate and USDA facility; and

**WHEREAS**, said property is legally described as:

THAT PART OF SUB LOT 4 IN SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 35 NORTH, RANGE 6, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, WITH BEARINGS AND GRID DISTANCES REFERENCED TO THE ILLINOIS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, EAST ZONE NAD 83 (2011), MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT A RECOVERED SURVEY NAIL LOCATED AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 8; THENCE SOUTH 01 DEGREES 03 MINUTES 59 SECONDS EAST 2,131.17 FEET ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID SECTION 8 TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE CONTINUING SOUTH 01 DEGREES 03 MINUTES 59 SECONDS EAST 974.00 FEET ON SAID WESTLINE TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SUB LOT 4; THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES 15 MINUTES 00 SECONDS EAST 1,207.47 FEET ON THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SUB LOT 4 TO THE WEST LINE OF THE EAST 350.00 FEET OF SAID SUB LOT 4; THENCE NORTH 01 DEGREES 03 MINUTES 30 SECONDS WEST 974.00 FEET ON SAID WEST LINE; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 15 MINUTES 00 SECONDS WEST 1,207.60 FEET PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SUB LOT 4 TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONTAINING 27.00 ACRES, MORE OR LESS; SITUATED IN THE COUNTY OF KENDALL AND STATE OF ILLINOIS.

**WHEREAS**, all procedures required by the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance were followed including notice for public hearing, preparation of the findings of fact in accordance with Section 13.08.J of the Zoning Ordinance, and recommendation for approval by the Special Use Hearing Officer on September 3, 2013; and

**WHEREAS**, the findings of fact were approved as follows:

*That the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the special use will not be detrimental*
to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare. If the conditions are adhered to the establishment, maintenance, and operation of the special use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare. The testimony has shown that the process as outlined by the petitioners will be a state of the art operation, there will be a USDA employee on site during the operations and ensure the public health and safety and monitoring of the employees and premises.

That the special use will not be substantially injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood. The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question shall be considered in determining consistency with this standard. The proposed use shall make adequate provisions for appropriate buffers, landscaping, fencing, lighting, building materials, open space and other improvements necessary to insure that the proposed use does not adversely impact adjacent uses and is compatible with the surrounding area and/or the County as a whole. The entire operation will take place within a building and the unloading area must be at least 400’ from any principle structure on an adjoining lot. The zoning in the general area is agricultural which this process fits in with that classification.

That adequate utilities, access roads and points of ingress and egress, drainage, and/or other necessary facilities have been or are being provided. The special use will be utilizing the existing driveway and drainage will be closely evaluated when the engineering drawings are assessed.

That the special use shall in all other respects conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may in each instance be modified by the County Board pursuant to the recommendation of the Hearing Officer. The EPA, Illinois Department of Health, Kendall County Health Department and the Kendall County Building Department all have jurisdiction over different aspects of the special use and the regulations must be followed and adhered to.

That the special use is consistent with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies. The review and action to be taken on the special use petition will coincide with a proposed text amendment (Petition 13-16) that will permit a small Poultry & Small animal Processing Plant as a special use in the A-1 District. The special use proposal will comply with all proposed conditions attached to the proposed text amendment.

WHEREAS, the Kendall County Board has considered the findings and recommendation of the Special Use Hearing Officer and finds that said petition is in conformance with the provisions and intent of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, this special use shall be treated as a covenant running with the land and is binding on the successors, heirs, and assigns as to the same special use conducted on the property; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, that the Kendall County Board hereby grants approval of an A-1 Agricultural special use to operate a small poultry and small animal processing plant as indicated on the submitted Site Plan included as “Exhibit A” attached hereto and incorporated herein subject to the following conditions:
1. A maximum of 21,000 units a week.
2. Facilities (the unloading area) must be located at least 400’ from any principle structure.
3. No rendering may take place on the site.
4. Live animals may be held on the site for no more than twenty-four (24) hours.
5. All slaughtering/processing permitted only in an enclosed building.
6. The hours of operation are to be 5:30am to 6pm Monday thru Friday except additional Saturdays in October and November to process turkeys.
7. In no event can poultry produced be sold for retail or wholesale by the processor on the processing site.
8. Parking shall be in accordance with Section 11 of the Zoning Ordinance including lighting.
9. All Applicable Federal, State and County rules and regulations shall apply.
10. Other such conditions as approved by the County Board.
11. Waste, by-products or any decomposable residue which results from the slaughtering of animals must be kept in a sealed container and picked up within 48 hours.
12. All signage shall comply with the provisions of Section 12 of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance (Sign Regulations)
13. Shall satisfy all requirements of the Kendall County Health Department and Building Department prior to the issuance of occupancy permits.
14. Performance Standards. All activities shall conform to the performance standards set forth in section 10.01.G.
15. Engineering drawings including stormwater must be approved before a building permit can be released.
16. Within 5 years, 50’ of the driveway apron must be a minimum of tar and chipped.

Failure to comply with the terms of this ordinance may be cited as a basis for amending or revoking this special use permit.

IN WITNESS OF, this Ordinance has been enacted by the Kendall County Board this 17th day of September, 2013.

Attest:

Kendall County Clerk
Debbie Gillette

Kendall County Board Chairman
John Shaw
PBZ Projects and Activities-9.4.13

Active Zoning Petitions (not including petitions on hold)
12-19 LRMP Update- Trails
13-16 Poultry Processing Plant (Text Amendment)
13-17 Maly Processing Plant (A-1 Special Use)

Active Site Development Permits- 19 active
Lots of pipeline work going on in the County

Subdivisions
Subdivisions that are under construction:
   Fields of Farm Colony- Almost complete, will work on doing ‘extra’ work
Subdivisions still open:
   Highpoint Meadows- Might vacate otherwise will need a new LOC or bond
   Schaefer Glen- For Sale
   Light Road Industrial park- Bought through foreclosure, nothing going on
   High Grove- Sold some property to the Park District
   Tanglewood Trails-For Sale/ Owned by bank

Projects outside the office
NWPA Planning Committee
Drainage District meetings through the Farm Bureau
Kane/Kendall Bike & Pedestrian Plan
County Director Meetings
Village of Montgomery’s Comp Plan meeting

Other Projects in the office
Investigate floodplain/zoning issues (3 pretty large water issues currently)
Stormwater mapping in the field- completed 7 subdivisions so far
Working on the windshield Survey for Historic Preservation- going through Oswego
Continue improving the GIS website with regards to information on zoning, permits, etc.
Work with mapping to convert hard copy allocation maps into an Ag Allocation layer in GIS
Convert information from pre 1993 permit cards into an excel database to create searchable system of old permit records by PIN or permit number.
Mobile Home Permits- 5 active
Cleaning up office with regards to getting old files scanned- Need to scan last ½ of 2004-2007 in petitions and up to 2005 in building permits; I have submitted all my petitions to be scanned
FOIA’s
Keep track of escrow accounts
Update website- minutes, applications and ordinances
Normal day-to-day things- phone calls, people with questions, organizing, scheduling, posting, etc.

Need to make sure by December 31, 2012 that all committee members have taken then Open Meetings Act training (not all have taken yet)

A weekly log sheet showing what I've done for that week is available upon request and submitted to Mr. Wilkins weekly

Night meeting hours attended in 2013 so far: 88.50 Hours (51 Meetings)
Night meeting hours attended in 2012 so far: 111.00 Hours (67 Meetings)
Night meeting hours attended in 2011: 98.5 Hours (56 Meetings)
Night meeting hours attended in 2010: 77.50 Hours (37 Meetings)
Night meeting hours attended in 2009: 51.75 Hours (36 Meetings)
Night meeting hours attended in 2008: 53.5 Hours (27 Meetings)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Permit Fees</th>
<th>Land Cash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1,630,000</td>
<td>$10,036</td>
<td>$7,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory Buildings</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$87,904</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11,500</td>
<td>$288</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remodeling</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$312</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barns/Farm Buildings</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$168,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$12,400</td>
<td>$270</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$47,128</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolitions</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$125</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towers (Comm.)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$285</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total                 | 22    | $2,121,932     | $12,067     | $7,411    |
## Permit Summary by Category by Month

**Kendall County**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Category</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory Buildings</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remodeling</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - M Zone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - B Zone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barns/Farm Buildings</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decks</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolitions</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Upgrades</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towers (Comm.)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Occupancy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driveway</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Restoration</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patio</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copyright (C) 1997-2013 DEVNET Incorporated
### Permit Approval Date Report

**Kendall County**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue Date</th>
<th>Permit ID</th>
<th>Permit Category</th>
<th>Parcel Number</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Property Address</th>
<th>Subdivision</th>
<th>Contractor Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/27/2013</td>
<td>012013144</td>
<td>01 House</td>
<td>0223202003</td>
<td>BRADLEY LIES CAROL D</td>
<td>7480 ROUTE 34 OSWEGO, IL 60543-</td>
<td>RIVER OAKS SUB</td>
<td>ASPEN CREEK PARTNERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/20/2013</td>
<td>012013142</td>
<td>01 House</td>
<td>0234470013</td>
<td>JUBIC DOUGLAS E &amp; HEATHER W</td>
<td>8241 SHADOW CREEK LN YORKVILLE, IL 60560</td>
<td>SHADOW CREEK SUB</td>
<td>RW DEVELOPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/14/2013</td>
<td>012013138</td>
<td>01 House</td>
<td>0120326006</td>
<td>LP NELSON TRUST % LARRY &amp; PAM NELSON</td>
<td>16524 B FRAZIER RD PLANO, IL 60545</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/26/2013</td>
<td>022013113</td>
<td>02 Garage</td>
<td>0305429029</td>
<td>TRACY MICHAEL J</td>
<td>33 S BEREMAN RD MONTGOMERY, IL 60538-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/2013</td>
<td>022013132</td>
<td>02 Garage</td>
<td>0214427005</td>
<td>JOCHUM TERRANCE M &amp; DIANE K</td>
<td>78 E RICKARD DR OSWEGO, IL 60543-</td>
<td></td>
<td>BWS BUILDERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/14/2013</td>
<td>032013139</td>
<td>03 Accessory Buildings</td>
<td>0226453004</td>
<td>BOORAS GEORGE &amp; APRIL</td>
<td>6 FOX HILL CT YORKVILLE, IL 60560-</td>
<td></td>
<td>OAK CREEK SUB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/20/2013</td>
<td>032013143</td>
<td>03 Accessory Buildings</td>
<td>0120352002</td>
<td>SMITH RANDALL W &amp; MERRY L</td>
<td>254 WOODLAND DR PLANO, IL 60545-</td>
<td></td>
<td>SUGAR BROOK ESTATES RESUB UNIT 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/28/2013</td>
<td>032013152</td>
<td>03 Accessory Buildings</td>
<td>0135201006</td>
<td>MOSS DENNIS &amp; SUSAN</td>
<td>13410 A HALE RD PLANO, IL 60545-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/7/2013</td>
<td>042013136</td>
<td>04 Additions</td>
<td>0605393009</td>
<td>KITTISEN DAVID R &amp; LISA M</td>
<td>4747 WAAKEESHA DR OSWEGO, IL 60543-</td>
<td></td>
<td>HENNEBERRY WOODS UNIT 2 KITTISEN DAVID R &amp; LISA M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/27/2013</td>
<td>052013146</td>
<td>05 Remodeling</td>
<td>0235381010</td>
<td>FIRST MIDWEST BANK NA GAURDIAN ESTATE CODY SMITHEY</td>
<td>5810 FIELDS DR YORKVILLE, IL 60560-</td>
<td></td>
<td>FIELDS OF FARM COLONY UNIT 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/30/2013</td>
<td>082013154</td>
<td>08 Barns/Farm Buildings</td>
<td>0716200003</td>
<td>MORSCH DENNIS W &amp; MELISSA J</td>
<td>14360 TOWNHOUSE RD NEWARK, IL 60541-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue Date</td>
<td>Permit ID</td>
<td>Permit Category</td>
<td>Parcel Number</td>
<td>Owner Name</td>
<td>Property Address</td>
<td>Subdivision</td>
<td>Contractor Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/23/2013</td>
<td>082013147</td>
<td>08 Barns/Farm Buildings</td>
<td>0534200005</td>
<td>COOPER JAYNE</td>
<td>8344 CATON FARM RD YORKVILLE, IL 60560-</td>
<td></td>
<td>WISE CONSTRUCTION-JOE WIGNECK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>BUILDING FEES</td>
<td>ZONING APPLICATION</td>
<td>LAND-CASH</td>
<td>OFFSITE ROADWAY</td>
<td>DEPOSIT TOTAL</td>
<td>TOTAL DEPOSIT FISCAL 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>$1,602.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$1,602.00</td>
<td>$1,869.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>$1,063.20</td>
<td>$1,805.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,868.20</td>
<td>$269.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>$2,735.52</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,835.52</td>
<td>$2,792.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>$2,896.24</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$3,162.98</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$7,109.22</td>
<td>$2,021.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>$2,854.72</td>
<td>$1,240.00</td>
<td>$9,676.05</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$14,770.77</td>
<td>$3,535.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>$3,378.81</td>
<td>$1,850.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$5,228.81</td>
<td>$14,236.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>$5,233.20</td>
<td>$230.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$5,463.20</td>
<td>$22,449.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>$6,641.68</td>
<td>$850.00</td>
<td>$4,615.30</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$12,106.98</td>
<td>$9,806.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>$3,848.40</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$7,410.86</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$11,359.26</td>
<td>$14,896.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,786.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,452.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,504.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$30,253.77</td>
<td>$6,225.00</td>
<td>$24,865.19</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$63,343.96</td>
<td>$112,719.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>