MINUTES
KENDALL COUNTY
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEETING
111 WEST FOX STREET, Room 209 and 210
YORKVILLE, IL 60560
August 28, 2017 – 7:00 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER
At 7:01 p.m., Chair Randy Mohr called the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting to order.

ROLL CALL
Members Present:  Scott Cherry, Randy Mohr, Karen Clementi, Tom LeCuyer, Donna McKay, Dick Thompson and Dick Whitfield
Members Absent:  None
Staff Present: Matthew Asselmeier, AICP, Senior Planner
Public:  Robert Davidson and Tom McNelis

MINUTES
Ms. McKay, seconded by Mr. Cherry, moved to approve the July 31, 2017 meeting minutes. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion was approved.

PETITION
17-21- Tom McNelis
Request:  Map Amendment Rezoning Subject Property from A-1 to R-1
PIN:  04-15-200-023
Location:  14000 Block of Budd Road Approximately 0.98 Miles from Millbrook Road on the North Side of Budd Road in Fox Township
Purpose:  Petitioner would like the Ability to Construct a Single-Family Home on the Property.

Mr. Asselmeier summarized the request. The petitioner desires to rezone the property from A-1 to R-1 in order to be able to construct a house on the property in the future. No timeline exists for constructing a house and the property is for sale. The property is less than forty (40) acres and does not have a housing allocation; a house cannot be constructed on the property at the present time.

Fox Township expressed no opposition to the proposal. The Village of Millbrook expressed no opposition to the proposal. ZPAC unanimously recommended approval of the proposal. The Kendall County Regional Planning Commission suggested that the petitioner obtain a conditional use permit instead of a map amendment. Commissioners did not express object to the construction of a home on the property, but they had concerns about having one (1) R-1 zoned property surrounded by A-1 zoned property. The Planning, Building and Zoning Committee could award a conditional use permit instead of issuing a recommendation on the map amendment.

Chairman Mohr asked if the neighboring property owners requested R-1 zoned, would the County approve the request. Mr. Asselmeier stated Staff would recommend approval of an R-1 rezoning
request in this area because the Land Resource Management Plan calls for the area to be residentially
zoned in the future. A residentially zoned house would also have to go through the permitting process and applicable inspections.

Chairman Mohr opened the public hearing at 7:15 p.m. and swore in all members of the public that wished to speak about the variance.

Tom McNelis, petitioner, stated that he wanted the building permit. He likes the flexibility of A-1 zoning, but his priority is to be able to construct a home. If the property is zoned R-1, a calculation exists as to the number of animals permitted on the property.

The minimum lot size in the R-1 District is one hundred thirty thousand (130,000) square feet; the property could not be subdivided in the future without a variance.

The consensus of the Board was that the rezoning was more appropriate than the conditional use permit.

There being no further questions or comments, Mr. Asselmeier noted that the applicant’s green cards and certificate of publication are on file.

Chairman Mohr adjourned the public hearing on this matter at 7:16 p.m.

Chairman Mohr presented the Findings of Fact as proposed by Staff and outlined in Section 13.07.F of the Zoning Ordinance:

*Existing uses of property within the general area of the property in question. The area is a mix of agricultural, farmstead, rural estate residential and countryside residential.*

*The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question. All of the adjacent properties are zoned A-1. Some of these properties have special use permits and/or agricultural building permits.*

*The suitability of the property in question for the uses permitted under the existing zoning classification. The property is too small for most agricultural uses. Homes are located on adjoining properties and the subject property lacks an agricultural housing allocation which prevents the construction of a home on the property.*

*The trend of development, if any, in the general area of the property in question, including changes, if any, which may have taken place since the day the property in question was in its present zoning classification. The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not recommend the adoption of a proposed amendment unless it finds that the adoption of such an amendment is in the public interest and is not solely for the interest of the applicant. The Zoning Board of Appeals may recommend the adoption of an amendment changing the zoning classification of the property in question to any higher classification than that requested by the applicant. For the purpose of this paragraph the R-1 District shall be considered the highest classification and the M-2 District shall be considered the lowest classification. The proposed amendment fits the development of the area and benefits the petitioner by giving him the opportunity to sell the property for a residential purpose instead of a purely agricultural use.*

*Consistency with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies. The proposed amendment is consistent with the Land Resource Management Plan.*
Ms. McKay, seconded by Mr. Whitfield, made a motion to approve the Findings of Fact as presented.

The votes were as follows:

Ayes (7): Mohr, Cherry, Clementi, LeCuyer, McKay, Thompson and Whitfield  
Nays (0): None  
Absent (0): None

The motion passed.

Mr. Whitfield, seconded by Mr. LeCuyer, made a motion to recommend approval of the map amendment as proposed.

The votes were as follows:

Ayes (7): Mohr, Cherry, Clementi, LeCuyer, McKay, Thompson, Whitfield  
Nays (0): None  
Absent (0): None

The motion passed. This matter will go to the Kendall County Planning, Building and Zoning Committee on September 11th.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO THE COUNTY BOARD
Mr. Asselmeier reported that Petition 17-14 failed at the County Board. Petition 17-15 passed at the County Board. Petition 17-16 was tabled at the Planning, Building and Zoning Committee.

NEW BUSINESS/OLD BUSINESS
Since Petition 17-15 passed, changes to the by-laws are required. Chairman Mohr presented an amendment to Article VIII, Section 2 by Reducing the Number of Votes Required to Reverse any Order, Requirement, Decision or Determination of the Zoning Administrator or Zoning Administrator Deputies, or to Grant any Variation in the Ordinance or to Recommend any Variation or Modification in the Ordinance to the County Board from Five (5) to Four (4) and an to Article IX, Section 2 by Requiring the Concurrent Vote of Four (4) Members of the Board to Recommend Approval of any Zoning Map or Text Amendment to the County Board.

The vote for these amendments will occur at the next meeting.

Mr. Asselmeier stated that no applications for map amendments were filed before the August 22nd deadline. The deadline for variance applications is September 1st and one (1) person is working on an application.

Mr. Davidson discussed evaluating the land uses along Route 47 in Lisbon Township. He does not see the future of economic development as large shopping centers. Chairman Mohr suggested waiting until the annual meeting in February before making changes to the Land Resource Management Plan provided that no applications in conflict with the Land Resource Management Plan are submitted between now and February along Route 47.
PUBLIC COMMENT
None

ADJOURNMENT OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
Mr. Cherry, seconded by Mr. Whitfield made a motion to adjourn. By voice vote of all ayes, the motion passed unanimously. The Zoning Board of Appeals meeting adjourned at 7:21 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,
Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP
Senior Planner

Exhibits
1. Staff Report on Petition 17-21 Dated August 24, 2017
2. Green Cards and Certificate of Publication (Not Included with Report but on file in Planning, Building and Zoning Office)
Petition 17-21
Tom McNelis
Map Amendment 14000 Block of Budd Road
Rezone from A-1 to R-1

INTRODUCTION
Tom McNelis submitted a petition requesting a map amendment for the subject property rezoning the property from A-1 Agricultural District to R-1 One-Family Residential District. The petitioner would like the ability to construct a home on the property, either for himself or for a successive owner. No specific construction plans for a proposed home exist.

The application material, including the petitioner's findings of fact and survey, are included as Attachment 1.

SITE INFORMATION
PETITIONER Tom McNelis
ADDRESS No Address Number Assigned; Located in the 14000 Block of Budd Road
LOCATION Approximately 0.98 Miles East of Millbrook Road on the North Side of Budd Road
(See Attachment 2 for Aerial)
TOWNSHIP Fox
PARCEL # 04-15-200-023
LOT SIZE 3.86 +/- acres
EXITING LAND USE Agricultural
ZONING A-1 Agricultural District

LRMP
Land Use County: Rural Estate Residential (max 0.45 du/acre)
Village of Millbrook: Low Density Residential (max 0.65 du/acre)
Roads Budd Road is Local Road
Trails No trails are planned along this portion of Budd Road
Floodplain/Wetlands No Floodplains or Wetlands are located on the property

REQUESTED ACTION Map Amendment Rezoning the Property from A-1 Agricultural District to R-1 One-Family Residential District

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS Section 13.07 – Map Amendment Procedures
## SURROUNDING LAND USE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Adjacent Land Use</th>
<th>Adjacent Zoning</th>
<th>Land Resource Management Plan</th>
<th>Zoning within ½ Mile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Agricultural/Farmstead</td>
<td>A-1 (Agricultural)</td>
<td>Rural Estate Residential (County)</td>
<td>A-1 and A-1 BP (County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>A-1 (Agricultural)</td>
<td>Countryside Residential (County)</td>
<td>A-1 and A-1 SU (County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Countryside Residential</td>
<td>A-1 (Agricultural)</td>
<td>Countryside Residential (County)</td>
<td>A-1 (County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Rural Estate and Countryside Residential</td>
<td>A-1 (Agricultural)</td>
<td>Rural Estate Residential and Countryside Residential (County)</td>
<td>A-1 (County)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rural Estate is max 0.45 du/acre  
Countryside Residential is max 0.33 du/acre

## PHYSICAL DATA

### ENDANGERED SPECIES REPORT
EcoCAT Application submitted on 7.14.17; waiting for results.

### NATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY
Application was submitted 6.19.17. The LESA Score was 178 indicating a low level of protection (See Attachment 8).

## ACTION SUMMARY

### FOX TOWNSHIP
Petition information was sent to Fox Township on 7.17.17. Fox Township submitted questions shown on Attachment 9. Fox Township expressed no objection to the proposal (See Attachment 13).

### VILLAGE OF MILLBROOK
Petition information was sent to the Village of Millbrook on 7.17.17. The Village of Millbrook expressed no opposition to this proposal (See Attachment 10).

### UNITED CITY OF YORKVILLE
Though the subject property is not within their planning jurisdiction, the Planning Commission of the United City of Yorkville reviewed this proposal at their meeting on 8.9.17 and expressed no objections to the proposal (See Attachment 12). The City Council of the United City of Yorkville reviewed this proposal at their meeting on 8.22.17 and expressed no objections (See Attachment 14).

### ZPAC
ZPAC reviewed this proposal on 8.1.17. They unanimously recommended approval of the proposal (See Attachment 11).

### KENDALL COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
The Kendall County Regional Planning Commission reviewed this proposal at their 8.23.17 meeting. The Planning Commission suggested that the property should be awarded an A-1 Conditional Use Permit for housing in the agricultural district instead of a map amendment. The Kendall County Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the conditional use permit; no Commissioner objected to the idea of constructing a house on the property.

Section 7.01.E.1.k lists the requirements for a conditional use permit in the A-1 District. The subject property meets the acreage, septic, unwarranted expansion of use and unchanging of character of...
neighborhood requirements for a conditional use permit. However, it is the opinion of the Staff that the property does not meet the requirements of Sub-Section iv which states: “That the site for the proposed use must be incompatible with agricultural use that may be evidenced by establishment of one or more of the following criteria:

1) Existing woodland coverage of a substantial portion of the site containing trees in excess of 6” in diameter measured at breast height; (this is not true)
2) Soils which have a land evaluation ranking from the Kendall County Soil & Water Conservation District of seventy-five (75) or less; (this is not true; LESA Score is 178)
3) Excessive slopes; (this is not true)
4) Other physical features which serve as barriers to farm operations such as streams, rock outcroppings and property configuration in relationship to wetlands, flood-prone areas or buildings (no physical features serve as barriers to farm operations; the petitioner could argue that the size of the lot is too small for farm operation).”

GENERAL INFORMATION
The petitioner desires the map amendment in order to have the ability to construct a house on the property at some point in the future. The subject property does not have an allocation for the construction of a home and does not possess forty (40) acres. Therefore, a map amendment is required in order to construct a home onsite.

The petitioner does not believe that the property is large enough for farming. Pictures of the property are included as Attachments 3-7.

Existing homes are located to the north, east and west of the subject property. The Land Resource Management Plan calls for this area to be residential in the future. For these reasons, Staff does not believe that the approval of this request would constitute spot zoning.

Since submitting the application, the petitioner placed the subject property on the market for sale.

BUILDING CODES
Any new homes or accessory structures would be required to meet applicable building codes.

ACCESS
The property fronts Budd Road. Pending comments from Fox Township, Staff has no concerns regarding the ability of Budd Road to support a proposed home at this location.

ODORS
No new odors are foreseen.

LIGHTING
Any new lighting would be for residential use only. Staff does not foresee any concerns regarding lighting.

SCREENING
No fencing or buffer is presently planned for the property. Any new fences or plantings would be for a residential use. Any new fences would have to follow applicable regulations.

STORMWATER
Staff is not aware of any stormwater concerns.

UTILITIES
Electricity is near the property. A new well and septic system would have to obtain applicable permits.

FINDINGS OF FACT
Existing uses of property within the general area of the property in question. The area is a mix of agricultural, farmstead, rural estate residential and countryside residential.

The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question. All of the adjacent properties are zoned A-1. Some of these properties have special use permits and/or ZBA Memo – Prepared by Matt Asselmeier – August 24, 2017
agricultural building permits.

The suitability of the property in question for the uses permitted under the existing zoning classification. The property is too small for most agricultural uses. Homes are located on adjoining properties and the subject property lacks and an agricultural housing allocation which prevents the construction of a home on the property.

The trend of development, if any, in the general area of the property in question, including changes, if any, which may have taken place since the day the property in question was in its present zoning classification. The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not recommend the adoption of a proposed amendment unless it finds that the adoption of such an amendment is in the public interest and is not solely for the interest of the applicant. The Zoning Board of Appeals may recommend the adoption of an amendment changing the zoning classification of the property in question to any higher classification than that requested by the applicant. For the purpose of this paragraph the R-1 District shall be considered the highest classification and the M-2 District shall be considered the lowest classification. The proposed amendment fits the development of the area and benefits the petitioner by giving him the opportunity to sell the property for a residential purpose instead of a purely agricultural use.

Consistency with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies. The proposed amendment is consistent with the Land Resource Management Plan.

RECOMMENDATION
Staff recommends approval of the proposed map amendment.

ATTACHMENTS
1. Application Materials (Including the Petitioner's Findings of Fact and Survey)
2. Aerial
3. Looking East
4. Looking North
5. Looking Northwest
6. Looking West
7. Looking South
8. NRI Summary
9. 7.26.17 Fox Township Correspondence
10. 7.31.17 Millbrook Email
11. 8.1.17 ZPAC Minutes
12. 8.9.17 Yorkville Email
13. 8.15.17 Fox Township Email
14. 8.22.17 Yorkville Email
15. 8.23.17 KCRPC Minutes
APPLICATION

PROJECT NAME: McNelis Map Amendment
FILE #: 17-21

NAME OF APPLICANT: Tom McNelis
CURRENT LANDOWNER/NAME(s): Tom McNelis

SITE INFORMATION

ACRES: 3.86
SITE ADDRESS OR LOCATION: Budd Rd Yorkville 04-15-200-023
EXISTING LAND USE: Vacant
CURRENT ZONING: Residential
LAND CLASSIFICATION ON LRMP: Vacant

REQUESTED ACTION (Check All That Apply):

- [ ] SPECIAL USE
- [X] MAP AMENDMENT (Rezone to ___)
- [ ] VARIANCE
- [ ] ADMINISTRATIVE VARIANCE
- [ ] A-1 CONDITIONAL USE for: ___
- [ ] SITE PLAN REVIEW
- [ ] TEXT AMENDMENT
- [ ] RPD (____Concept; ____ Preliminary; ____ Final)
- [ ] ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL
- [ ] PRELIMINARY PLAT
- [ ] FINAL PLAT
- [ ] OTHER PLAT (Vacation, Dedication, etc.)

AMENDMENT TO A SPECIAL USE (___): [Major; ___ Minor]

PRIMARILY CONTACT:

Tom McNelis

PRIMARY CONTACT MAILING ADDRESS:

[Redacted]

PRIMARY CONTACT PHONE #: [Redacted]

PRIMARY CONTACT FAX #: [Redacted]

PRIMARY CONTACT OTHER # (Cell, etc.): [Redacted]

ENGINEER CONTACT:

[Redacted]

ENGINEER MAILING ADDRESS:

[Redacted]

ENGINEER PHONE #: [Redacted]

ENGINEER FAX #: [Redacted]

ENGINEER OTHER # (Cell, etc.): [Redacted]

I UNDERSTAND THAT BY SIGNING THIS FORM, THAT THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION MAY BE VISITED BY COUNTY STAFF & BOARD/COMMISSION MEMBERS THROUGHOUT THE PETITION PROCESS AND THAT THE PRIMARY CONTACT LISTED ABOVE WILL BE SUBJECT TO ALL CORRESPONDANCE ISSUED BY THE COUNTY.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION AND EXHIBITS SUBMITTED ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND THAT I AM TO FILE THIS APPLICATION AND ACT ON BEHALF OF THE ABOVE SIGNATURES.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT: [Redacted]

DATE: 7/13/17

FEE PAID: $700.00

CHECK #: [Redacted]

1 Primary Contact will receive all correspondence from County
2 Engineering Contact will receive all correspondence from the County's Engineering Consultant

Last Revised: 9.18.12
Map Amendment

RECEIVED JUL 13 2017
KENDALL COUNTY PLANNING BUILDING
CHECKLIST IS COMPLETE

[Stamp]
Please fill out the following findings of fact to the best of your capabilities. § 13.07.F of the Zoning Ordinance lists the Finding of Fact criteria the Zoning Board of Appeals must answer in order to make a recommendation to the County Board on any map amendment request. They are as follows:

**Existing uses of property within the general area of the property in question.**

**Vacant Residential**

Property is surrounded by Residential Properties on 4 Sides with 298' Frontage on Budd Rd.

The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question.

Appears to A1 and R1

**The suitability of the property in question for the uses permitted under the existing zoning classification.**

Not Being Farmed. Not Suitable For Ag.

The trend of development, if any, in the general area of the property in question, including changes, if any, which may have taken place since the day the property in question was in its present zoning classification. The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not recommend the adoption of a proposed amendment unless it finds that the adoption of such an amendment is in the public interest and is not solely for the interest of the applicant. The Zoning Board of Appeals may recommend the adoption of an amendment changing the zoning classification of the property in question to any higher classification than that requested by the applicant. For the purpose of this paragraph the R-1 District shall be considered the highest classification and the M-2 District shall be considered the lowest classification.

New Homes Have Been Built For Residential Use Only Examples Kinzel And Seego

Consistency with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies.

At The Time Of Purchase Youhville Designated This Parcel As 1-2 Acre Residential. The Trend In This Area Has Been Residential.
I would like to Rezone The Property from A1 to R1 in order to sell the property so that someone can construct a home on the site.

07/12/2017
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

THAT PART OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 36 NORTH, RANGE 6 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 15; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINK OF SECTION 14 OF SAID TOWNSHIP 330.07 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 22 MINUTES 19 SECONDS EAST 274.56 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF BUDD ROAD; THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES 26 MINUTES 38 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE 539.64 FEET TO AN ANGLE IN SAID CENTERLINE; THENCE SOUTH 21 DEGREES 06 MINUTES 41 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE 497.23 FEET TO A POINT HEREAFTER REFERRED TO AS POINT "A"; THENCE SOUTH 31 DEGREES 06 MINUTES 41 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE, 396.77 FEET TO AN ANGLE IN SAID CENTERLINE; THENCE SOUTH 65 DEGREES 07 MINUTES 56 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE 147.01 FEET FOR A POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 66 DEGREES 07 MINUTES 56 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE 209.74 FEET TO AN ANGLE IN SAID CENTERLINE; THENCE SOUTH 63 DEGREES 46 MINUTES 31 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE 88.28 FEET; THENCE NORTH 04 DEGREES 53 MINUTES 20 SECONDS WEST 305.0 FEET; THENCE NORTH 97 DEGREES 00 MINUTES 29 SECONDS WEST 150.0 FEET; THENCE NORTH 02 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 31 SECONDS EAST 312.16 FEET TO A LINE DRAWN NORTH 89 DEGREES 26 MINUTES 38 SECONDS WEST FROM POINT A FORESAID; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 26 MINUTES 38 SECONDS EAST, 408.03 FEET TO A LINE DRAWN NORTH 04 DEGREES 53 MINUTES 29 SECONDS WEST FROM THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 04 DEGREES 53 MINUTES 29 SECONDS EAST, 426.73 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING IN FOX TOWNSHIP, KENDALL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.
QUIT CLAIM DEED
JOINT TENANCY
Statutory (Illinois)
(Individual to Individual)

CAUTION: Consult a lawyer before using or acting under this form. Neither the publisher nor the seller of this form makes any warranty with respect thereto, including any warranty merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose.

THE GRANTOR(S) Barbara J. Hick Formerly Known As Barbara J. McLeliis
1659 Lexington Dr.

of the City ________ of Montgomery County of Kendall State of Illinois ________ for the consideration of Seventeen Thousand ________ DOLLARS, and other good and valuable considerations ________ in hand paid, CONVEY(S) ________ and QUIT CLAIM(S) ________

______ to ________

______ (State and Address of Grantees)

not in Tenancy in Common, but in JOINT TENANCY, all interest in the following described Real Estate situated in Kendall County, Illinois, commonly known as Budd Rd 04-15-300-023, legally described as:

See Attached

hereby releasing and waiving all rights under and by virtue of the Homestead Exemption Laws of the State of Illinois. TO HAVE AND TO HOLD said premises not in tenancy in common, but in joint tenancy forever.

Permanent Real Estate Index Number(s): 04-15-300-023
Address(es) of Real Estate: Budd Rd Van Nuys, IL

DATED this: ________ day of ________ 20______

Please print or type name(s) below signature(s)

CONNEE L. MANN
NOTARY PUBLIC (STA.) ILLINOIS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 07-30-2003

Barbara J. Hick

Thomas J. McLeliis

ss. 1, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County, in the State aforesaid, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that

Barbara J. Hick

personally known to me to be the same person ______ whose name ______ subscribed to the foregoing instrument, appeared before me this day in person, and acknowledged that ______ signed, sealed and delivered the said instrument as ______ free and voluntary act, for the uses and purposes therein set forth, including the release and waiver of the right of homestead.
Given under my hand and official seal, this 18 day of June 2001
Commission expires 7-30-2001

This instrument was prepared by Tom McNeilis

MAIL TO:

Send subsequent tax bills to:

OR

RECORDER'S OFFICE BOX NO.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
STATE TAX
JUL 18 01
KENDALL COUNTY
FP 45 1015

COUNTY OF KENDALL
REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX
8.50
$ 8.50

QUIT CLAIMED

TO

GEORGE E. COLE®
LEGAL FORMS

QUIT CLAIM DEED

JOINT TENANCY, INDIVIDUAL TO INDIVIDUAL

Thomas J. Heil

Barbara J. Heil
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

THAT PART OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 36 NORTH, RANGE 5 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 15; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SECTION 14 OF SAID TOWNSHIP 330.0 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 22 MINUTES 19 SECONDS EAST 274.86 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF BUDD ROAD; THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES 26 MINUTES 38 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE 539.64 FEET TO AN ANGLE IN SAID CENTERLINE; THENCE SOUTH 21 DEGREES 06 MINUTES 41 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE 497.37 FEET TO A POINT HEREAFTER REFERRED TO AS POINT "A"; THENCE SOUTH 21 DEGREES 06 MINUTES 41 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE, 386.77 FEET TO AN ANGLE IN SAID CENTERLINE; THENCE SOUTH 56 DEGREES 07 MINUTES 55 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE 147.01 FEET FOR A POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 66 DEGREES 07 MINUTES 56 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE 209.74 FEET TO AN ANGLE IN SAID CENTERLINE; THENCE SOUTH 63 DEGREES 46 MINUTES 31 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTERLINE 88.38 FEET; THENCE NORTH 04 DEGREES 53 MINUTES 29 SECONDS WEST 305.0 FEET; THENCE NORTH 97 DEGREES 02 MINUTES 29 SECONDS EAST 212.16 FEET TO A LINE DRAWN NORTH 99 DEGREES 38 MINUTES 38 SECONDS WEST FROM POINT A FORESAID; THENCE SOUTH 99 DEGREES 38 MINUTES 38 SECONDS EAST, 408.03 FEET TO A LINE DRAWN NORTH 04 DEGREES 53 MINUTES 29 SECONDS WEST FROM THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 04 DEGREES 53 MINUTES 29 SECONDS EAST, 426.73 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING IN FOX TOWNSHIP, KENDALL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.
KENDALL COUNTY
DISCLOSURE OF BENEFICIARIES FORM

1. Applicant: _Tom McNelis_

2. Nature of Benefit Sought: 

3. Nature of Applicant: (Please check one)
   - Natural Person
   - Corporation
   - Land Trust/Trustee
   - Trust/Trustee
   - Partnership
   - Joint Venture

4. If applicant is an entity other than described in Section 3, briefly state the nature and characteristics of the applicant:
   - N/A

5. If your answer to Section 3 you have checked letter b, c, d, e, or f, identify by name and address each person or entity who is a 5% shareholder in case of a corporation, a beneficiary in the case of a trust or land trust, a joint venture in the case of a joint venture, or who otherwise has proprietary interest, interest in profits and losses or right to control such entity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   - N/A

6. Name, address, and capacity of person making this disclosure on behalf of the applicant:
   - N/A

VERIFICATION

I, ________________________, being first duly sworn under oath that I am the person making this disclosure on behalf of the applicant, that I am duly authorized to make the disclosure, that I have read the above and foregoing Disclosure of Beneficiaries, and that the statements contained therein are true in both substance and fact:

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ______ day of ______________________, A.D. _________

(seal)

__________________________
Notary Public
NATURAL RESOURCE INFORMATION (NRI) REPORT APPLICATION

Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Contact Person: [Redacted]

Address: [Redacted]  
City, State, Zip [Redacted]  
Phone Number [Redacted]  
Email [Redacted]  

Please select: How would you like to receive a copy of the NRI Report? [ ] Email [ ] Mail

Site Location & Proposed Use

Township Name: Fox  
Township: 36 N, Range: 6 E, Section(s): 15

Parcel Index Number(s): 04-15-200-023

Project or Subdivision Name: [Redacted]

Current Use of Site: Vacant Residential  
Proposed Use: Improved Residential

Proposed Number of Lots: 1

Proposed Number of Structures: New Home(s)

Proposed Water Supply: Well  
Proposed type of Wastewater Treatment: Septic

Type of Request

[ ] Change in Zoning from _______ to _______

[ ] Variance (Please describe fully on separate page)

[ ] Special Use Permit (Please describe fully on separate page)

Name of County or Municipality the request is being filed with: Kendall

In addition to this completed application form, please including the following to ensure proper processing:

[ ] Plat of Survey/Site Plan – showing location, legal description and property measurements

[ ] Concept Plan - showing the locations of proposed lots, buildings, roads, stormwater detention, open areas, etc.

If available: topography map, field tile map, copy of soil boring and/or wetland studies

[ ] NRI fee (Please make checks payable to Kendall County SWCD)

The NRI fees, as of July 1, 2010, are as follows:

Full Report: $375.00 for five acres and under, plus $18.00 per acre for each additional acre or any fraction thereof over five.

Executive Summary Report: $500.00 (KCSWCD staff will determine when a summary or full report will be necessary.)

Fee for first five acres and under $375.00

Additional Acres at $18.00 each $300.00

Total NRI Fee $675.00

NOTE: Applications are due by the 1st of each month to be on that month’s SWCD Board Meeting Agenda. Once a completed application is submitted, please allow 30 days for inspection, evaluation and processing of this report.

I (We) understand the filing of this application allows the authorized representative of the Kendall County Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) to visit and conduct an evaluation of the site described above. The completed NRI report expiration date will be 3 years after the date reported.

Petitioner or Authorized Agent [Redacted]  
Date 19 Jan 2017

This report will be issued on a nondiscriminatory basis without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, age, sex, handicap or marital status.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
NRIR 1705  
Date initially rec’d 6/19/17  
Date all rec’d  
Board Meeting 7/10/17

Fee Due $300.00  
Fee Paid $300.00  
Check  
Over/Under Payment  
Refund Due 
Kendall County Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA):
Decision-makers in Kendall County use the Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA) system to determine the suitability of a land use change and/or a zoning request as it relates to agricultural land. The LESA system was developed by the United States Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS) and takes into consideration local conditions such as physical characteristics of the land, compatibility of surrounding land-uses, and urban growth factors. The LESA system is a two-step procedure that includes:

- **LAND EVALUATION (LE)** – The soils of a given area are rated and placed in groups ranging from the best to worst suited for a stated agriculture use, cropland or forestland. The best group is assigned a value of 100 and all other groups are assigned lower values. The Land Evaluation is based on data from the Kendall County Soil Survey. The Kendall County Soil and Water Conservation District is responsible for this portion of the LESA system.

- **SITE ASSESSMENT (SA)** – The site is numerically evaluated according to important factors that contribute to the quality of the site. Each factor selected is assigned values in accordance with the local needs and objectives. The Kendall County LESA Committee is responsible for this portion of the LESA system.

**Table 4a: Land Evaluation Computation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Value Group</th>
<th>Relative Value</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Product (Relative Value x Acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>145B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>244.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3.8</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>339.2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE Score</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>LE = 339.2/3.8</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>LE = 89</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Land Evaluation score for this site is 89, indicating that this site is predominately prime farmland well suited for agricultural production.

**Table 4b: Site Assessment Computation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Agricultural Land Uses</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Percentage of area in agricultural uses within 1.5 miles of site. (20-10-5-0)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Current land use adjacent to site. (30-20-15-10-0)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Percentage of site in agricultural production in any of the last 5 years. (20-15-10-5-0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Size of site. (30-15-10-0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Compatibility / Impact on Uses</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Distance from city or village limits. (20-10-0)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Consistency of proposed use with County Land Resource Management Concept Plan and/or municipal comprehensive land use plan. (20-10-0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Compatibility of agricultural and non-agricultural uses. (15-7-0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. Existence of Infrastructure</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Availability of public sewage system. (10-8-6-0)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Availability of public water system. (10-8-6-0)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Transportation systems. (15-7-0)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Distance from fire protection service. (10-8-6-2-0)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site Assessment Score:**

Land Evaluation Value: 89 + Site Assessment Value: 89 = LESA Score: 178

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LESA SCORE</th>
<th>LEVEL OF PROTECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-200</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201-225</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226-250</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251-300</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The LESA Score for this site is 178 which indicates a low level of protection for the proposed project site. Note: Selecting the project site with the lowest total points will generally protect the best farmland located in the most viable areas and maintain and promote the agricultural industry in Kendall County.
The Kendall County Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) Board has reviewed the proposed development plans for Petitioner Tom McNealis for the proposed R-1 Rezoning project. This parcel is located in Section 15 of Fox Township (T.36N.-R.6E. of the 3rd Principal Meridian) in Kendall County. Based on the information provided by the petitioner and a review of natural resource related data available to the Kendall County SWCD, the SWCD Board has the following opinions and recommendations.

The Kendall County SWCD has always had the opinion that Prime Farmland should be preserved whenever feasible. A land evaluation, which is a part of the Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA) was conducted on this parcel. The soils on this parcel scored an 89 out of a possible 100 points indicating the soils found on the project site are predominately prime farmland well suited for agricultural production. Of the soils identified onsite, both (145B and 193B) are designated as prime farmland.

For proposed land uses, soils can have potential limitations. This report indicates that for soils located on the parcel, 30% of the soils are very limited for dwellings with basements. This information is based on the soil in an undisturbed state and does not replace the need for site specific soil testing. Some soil reclamation, special design, or maintenance may be required to obtain suitable soil conditions to support development with significant limitations. Additionally, if the scope of the project includes the use of onsite septic systems, please consult with the Kendall County Health Department.

This site is located within the Fox River Watershed and Hollenback Creek subwatershed. This development should include a soil erosion sediment control plan to be implemented during construction. Sediment may become a primary non-point source of pollution. Eroded soils during the construction phase can create unsafe conditions on roadways, degrade water quality and destroy aquatic ecosystems lower in the watershed.

For intense project uses it may be necessary to have a drainage tile survey completed on the parcel to locate any subsurface drainage tile if suspected onsite. Drainage tile expedites drainage and facilitates farming. It is imperative that these drainage tiles remain undisturbed. Impaired tile may affect a few acres or hundreds of acres of drainage.

The information that is included in this Natural Resources Information Report is to assure the Land Developers take into full consideration the limitations of that land that they wish to develop. Guidelines and recommendations are also a part of this report and should be considered in the planning process. The Natural Resource Information Report is required by the Illinois Soil and Water Conservation District Act (Ill. Complied Statutes, Ch. 70, Par 405/22.02a).
Jeff:

There is no building permit available for this property if it remains A-1, which is why the petitioner is asking for R-1 zoning.

The minimum lot size in the R-1 is the same as the A-1, 2.98 acres +/- (130,000 square feet). As such, the property could not be subdivided without several variances to the Zoning Ordinance (which are unlikely).

The R-1 is the closest the County has to “Estate Zoning” because the largest minimum lot size of a residential zoning district in Kendall County is the minimum lot size of the R-1 District.

If you have any other questions, please let me know.

Thanks,

Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP
Senior Planner
Kendall County Planning, Building & Zoning
111 West Fox Street
Yorkville, IL 60560-1498
PH: 630-553-4139
Fax: 630-553-4179

Matt,

Just a question or two about the McNelis Budd Road rezone request.

Is there a building permit available on this property?

Can this property be subdivided into more than one buildable lot?

Is there a more suitable zoning class than R-1 such as an Estate zoning?

Thank you in advance for your comments.

Jeff Spang

Fox Township Supervisor.
And on a different topic, the Village of Millbrook has no objection to the zoning change to Mr. McNelis' property on Budd Road for Petition 17-21.

Thank you,
Jackie

----------------------------------------
Jackie Kowalski
Litigation Paralegal
Borla, North & Associates, P.C.
630-969-3903
630-969-3931 (fax)

"Like" us on Facebook
Senior Planner Matt Asselmeier called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m.

Present:
Megan Andrews – Soil and Water Conservation District
Jason Langston – Sheriff’s Office
Aaron Rybski – Health Department
David Guritz – Forest Preserve
Greg Chismark – WBK Engineering, LLC
Don Clayton – GIS
Brian Holdiman – PBZ Department
Matt Asselmeier – PBZ Department

Absent:
Fran Klaas – Highway Department
Greg Chismark – WBK Engineering, LLC
Robert Davidson – PBZ Committee Chair

Audience: Tom McNelis and Robert Schneider

AGENDA

Mr. Asselmeier asked that Petition 17-21 be moved up to after the approval of the minutes.

Ms. Andrews made a motion, seconded by Mr. Langston, to approve the agenda as proposed. With a voice vote of all ayes the motion carried.

MINUTES

Ms. Andrews made a motion, seconded by Mr. Langston, to approve the July 11, 2017 meeting minutes. With a voice vote of all ayes the motion carried.

PETITIONS

17-21 Tom McNelis – Map Amendment Rezoning Property Identified by PIN 04-15-200-003 from A-1 to R-1, 14000 Block of Budd Road Approximately 0.98 Miles from Millbrook Road on the North Side of Budd Road in Fox Township

Mr. Asselmeier provided a summary of this proposed map amendment. The petitioner desires the rezoning in order to construct a house on the property at some point in the future. The property does not have a housing allocation. The properties to the north, east and west have houses. Any new structures would have to obtain the necessary building permits; permits for well and septic would also have to be secured before a house is constructed. The Village of Millbrook expressed no concerns regarding this proposal; Fox Township has not submitted any comments.

The home allowed on the property could only be for one (1) single-family home.

Mr. Holdiman stated the setbacks are fifty feet (50’) from the side property lines, fifty feet (50’) from the rear property line and one hundred fifty feet (150’) from the centerline of Budd Road.

Ms. Andrews said the NRI Report was approved previously.

Mr. McNelis noted that residential uses were located on the west, east and north of the property.

There were no questions from the Committee to the applicant.

Mr. Rybski made a motion, seconded by Mr. Guritz, to forward the petition onto the Plan Commission with a favorable recommendation.
By a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

This matter will go before the Kendall County Regional Planning Commission on August 23rd.

Mr. Asselmeier asked that Petition 17-22 be moved ahead of Petition 17-19. Without objection, the agenda was amended.

**17-22 Stor-Mor, Inc. – Major Amendment to Special Use Permit Granted by Ordinance 2016-15 Allowing an Enclosed Self Storage Facility and an Outdoor Storage Facility at 1317 Route 31 (PINs: 03-07-278-011, 03-07-278-010 and 03-07-278-009) in Oswego Township**

Mr. Asselmeier provided a summary of this proposed amendment to the special use permit. The petitioner would like to construct one (1) one thousand, six hundred fifty (1,650) square foot building, construct one (1) four thousand, three hundred (4,300) square foot building, reduce the number of vehicles stored onsite from twenty-nine (29) to sixteen (16) and amend the landscaping plan by removing the proposed vegetation south of the proposed four thousand, three hundred (4,300) square foot building. Oswego Township expressed no opposition to this proposal; the Village of Montgomery has not submitted any comments.

The proposed new buildings would be used for the same purpose as the existing storage buildings.

Mr. Holdiman stated that the intent of the screening was for outdoor storage therefore he had no objections to the petitioner’s screening proposal.

Mr. Holdiman asked if the Oswego Fire Protection District approved not having sprinklers in the buildings, similar to the other buildings. Mr. Schneider said he has not asked about these specific buildings, but no problems existed in the past. Mr. Holdiman advised Mr. Schneider to confirm this information with the Oswego Fire Protection District.

Mr. Rybski asked if the buildings were served by public utilities. Mr. Asselmeier said yes. Mr. Schneider added that the office was the only building that had plumbing.

The petitioner currently has stormwater information under review. Mr. Schneider said that he was not increasing impervious surface. The detention pond shown on the site plan already exists.

Mr. Schneider asked, if he did an expansion in the future, would he need to go through the same process. Mr. Asselmeier said that he would need a major amendment to the special use permit because the site plan is approved as part of the special use permit.

Mr. Holdiman made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rybski, to forward the petition onto the Plan Commission with a favorable recommendation.

By a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

This matter will go before the Kendall County Regional Planning Commission on August 23rd.

**17-19 Pulte Group Representing Dave Hamman – Renew Special Use Permit Granted by Ordinance 2004-43 Allowing the Placement of a Commercial Off-Premise Advertising Structure (Billboard) on the Parcel Identified by PIN 03-01-127-006 and Revoking the Special Use Permit for the Placement of a Commercial Off-Premise Advertising Structure (Billboard) on the Parcel Identified by PIN 03-01-127-004, Northeast Corner of U.S. 34 and Hafenrichter (Farnsworth) in Oswego Township**

Mr. Asselmeier provided a summary of this proposed special use permit. He stated that the special use permit required the sign to be renewed every three (3) years; the sign was approved in 2004. Oswego Township expressed no opposition to this request. The City of Aurora has not submitted any comments. The location of the sign in relation to the property line must be determined; it needs to be ten feet (10’) off of the property line. A building permit would be required because the sign was moved from its original location.

Mr. Rybski made a motion, seconded by Mr. Langston, to forward the petition onto the Plan Commission with a favorable recommendation.
By a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

This matter will go before the Kendall County Regional Planning Commission on August 23rd.

**REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD**

None

**UPDATES OF PETITIONS**

None

**OLD BUSINESS**

None

**NEW BUSINESS**

None

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

None

**ADJOURNMENT**

Mr. Guritz made a motion, seconded by Mr. Langston, to adjourn. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried. The ZPAC, at 9:30 a.m., adjourned.
From: Krysti Barksdale-Noble [knoble@yorkville.il.us]
Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 7:46 PM
To: Matt Asselmeier
Cc: Jason Engberg; Bart Olson
Subject: RE: Map Amendment Petition 17-21

Matt,

This item was discussed at tonight’s Planning and Zoning Commission meeting and there were no objections expressed. I will forward the favorable recommendation to the City Council at the August 22nd meeting and update you on the final outcome.

Best Regards,

Krysti J. Barksdale-Noble, AICP
Community Development Director
United City of Yorkville
800 Game Farm Road
Yorkville, Illinois 60560
Direct: (630) 553-8573
Fax: (630) 553-3436
Cell: (630) 742-7808
www.yorkville.il.us

From: Matt Asselmeier [mailto:masselmeier@co.kendall.il.us]
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2017 3:47 PM
To: Krysti Barksdale-Noble
Cc: Jason Engberg; Bart Olson
Subject: RE: Map Amendment Petition 17-21

Krysti:

Thanks for letting me know.

Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP
Senior Planner
Kendall County Planning, Building & Zoning
111 West Fox Street
Yorkville, IL 60560-1498
PH: 630-553-4139
Fax: 630-553-4179

From: Krysti Barksdale-Noble [mailto:knoble@yorkville.il.us]
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2017 2:31 PM
To: Matt Asselmeier
Cc: Jason Engberg; Bart Olson
Subject: RE: Map Amendment Petition 17-21
Matt Asselmeier

From: Fox Township [foxtownshipsupervisor@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 15, 2017 11:57 AM
To: Matt Asselmeier
Subject: McNelis Re-Zoning

Matt,
The Fox Township Board of Trustees discussed the proposed McNelis Re-Zoning petition at last nights meeting. We found no objection to the proposal. Thank you for the information you provided.

Jeff Spang
Fox Township Supervisor
Good Evening Matt,

The City Council heard this petition at tonight's meeting and have no objections to the request. I have attached staff's memo for your reference. Let me know if you have any questions.

Best Regards,

Krysti J. Barksdale-Noble, AICP
Community Development Director
United City of Yorkville
800 Game Farm Road
Yorkville, Illinois 60560
Direct: (630) 553-8573
Fax: (630) 553-3436
Cell: (630) 742-7808
www.yorkville.il.us

From: Matt Asselmeier [mailto:masselmeier@co.kendall.il.us]
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2017 3:47 PM
To: Krysti Barksdale-Noble
Cc: Jason Engberg; Bart Olson
Subject: RE: Map Amendment Petition 17-21

Krysti:

Thanks for letting me know.

Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP
Senior Planner
Kendall County Planning, Building & Zoning
111 West Fox Street
Yorkville, IL 60560-1498
PH: 630-553-4139
Fax: 630-553-4179

From: Krysti Barksdale-Noble [mailto:knoble@yorkville.il.us]
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2017 2:31 PM
To: Matt Asselmeier
Cc: Jason Engberg; Bart Olson
Subject: RE: Map Amendment Petition 17-21
Chairman Ashton called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL
Members Present: Bill Ashton, Roger Bledsoe, Tom Casey, Larry Nelson, Ruben Rodriguez, John Shaw, Claire Wilson (arrived at 7:01 p.m.) and Budd Wormley
Members Absent: Angela Zubko
Staff Present: Matthew H. Asselmeier, Senior Planner
In the Audience: Robert Davidson, Patrick Cook, Tom McNelis, Janet Seego, Karla Stoedter, Robert Schneider, Alzilisa Torre, Erika Dickens and Vicki Schnabel

APPROVAL OF AGENDA
Mr. Nelson made a motion, seconded by Mr. Wormley, to approve the agenda as presented. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

Claire Wilson arrived at this time.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Mr. Wormley made a motion, seconded by Mr. Shaw, to approve the July 26, 2017 minutes. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried.

PETITIONS
17-19 Pulte Group Representing Dave Hamman
Mr. Asselmeier summarized the request. The Pulte Group would like to renew the special use permit for a billboard at the property identified by parcel identification number 03-01-127-006 and revoke the special use permit for a billboard on the property identified by parcel identification number 03-01-127-004. This proposal applies to the sign advertising the Pulte Development. The proposal does not include the big billboard on the property 03-01-127-004. Staff is still searching for the ordinance that allowed that billboard. The existing special use permit required the sign to be renewed every three (3) years. The sign was moved to get into compliance with the Kendall County signage regulations; the Pulte Group is required to get a building permit because the sign was relocated.

Oswego Township expressed no opposition to this proposal. The City of Aurora has not submitted comments on this proposal. ZPAC unanimously recommended approval of the proposal provided that the placement requirements of the Zoning Ordinance were met.

Patrick Cook, representing Pulte Group, stated that he was in attendance to answer questions.

Ms. Wilson asked if the Planning, Building and Zoning Department received any feedback or objections. Mr. Asselmeier stated that they received general questions, but no objections to the proposal.
Chairman Ashton asked Mr. Cook if he agreed with the restrictions proposed by Staff. Mr. Cook said that he agreed with the proposed restrictions.

Mr. Nelson made a motion to recommend approval of the petition as presented with the restrictions proposed by Staff, seconded by Mr. Wormley.

Yes – Ashton, Bledsoe, Casey, Nelson, Rodriguez, Shaw, Wilson and Wormley (8)
No – None (0)
Absent – Zubko (1)

The motion passed. This proposal will go to the Special Use Hearing Officer on August 28, 2017 at 7:00 p.m.

17-21 Tom McNelis
Mr. Asselmeier summarized the request. The petitioner desires to rezone the property from A-1 to R-1 in order to be able to construct a house on the property in the future. No timeline exists for constructing a house and the property is for sale. The property is less than forty (40) acres and does not have a housing allocation; a house cannot be constructed on the property at the present time.

Fox Township expressed no opposition to the proposal. The Village of Millbrook expressed no opposition to the proposal. The United City of Yorkville expressed no opposition to the proposal. ZPAC unanimously recommended approval of the proposal.

Mr. Nelson suggested that the property obtain a conditional use permit because the lot is irregularly shaped and not farmable instead of rezoning the property to R-1. All of the adjoining properties are zoned A-1. Mr. McNelis said that he did not have a preference to the zoning; he liked the A-1 zoning. He wanted the ability to construct a house on the property. No Commissioner expressed opposition to the construction of a house on the property. Staff will work with the petitioner to determine the next steps in the process.

Janet Seego, Oswego, asked if the property sold, would the new owner have the same rights to construct a house. Chairman Ashton responded yes. No timeframe would be placed on the construction of the home.

Karla Stoedter, Budd Road, asked if more than one (1) home could be built on the property. Mr. Asselmeier responded that it was virtually impossible to construct another home on the property. If an additional home was proposed, the proposal would require review by various boards before approval.

Mr. Nelson made a motion to recommend that a conditional use permit be awarded for the construction of house instead of rezoning the property to R-1, seconded by Mr. Bledsoe.

Yes – Ashton, Bledsoe, Casey, Nelson, Rodriguez, Shaw, Wilson and Wormley (8)
No – None (0)
Absent – Zubko (1)

The motion passed. Mr. Asselmeier said that he would inform Mr. McNelis of the next steps. If the proposal requires a public hearing, this public hearing will be at the Zoning Board of Appeals on August 28, 2017 at 7:00 p.m.

17-22 Stor Mor, Inc.
Mr. Asselmeier summarized the request. Stor Mor, Inc., represented by Robert Schneider, requested four (4) amendments to their special use permit. The petitioner would like to construct one (1) 1,650 square foot...
building, one (1) 4,300 square foot building, reduce the number of vehicles stored onsite from twenty-nine (29) to sixteen (16) and amend the landscaping plan by removing the proposed vegetation south of the proposed 4,300 square foot building. In addition the restrictions listed in the proposal, Staff requested that a condition be added to the special use permit revoking the special use permit portion of Ordinance 76-6 which granted a special use permit for storage on the northern portion of the property.

Oswego Township had no objections to the proposal. The Village of Montgomery has not submitted any comments on the proposal. ZPAC requested that the petitioner confirm with the Oswego Fire Protection District that sprinklers would not be required in the new building; ZPAC unanimously recommended approval of the proposal.

Robert Schneider, petitioner, stated he constructed the new building proposed in 2016. He would like to substitute some of the RV parking spots in favor of the new, proposed buildings. The building proposed in 2016 was constructed. Mr. Schneider considered the rear of the proposed new building to be screening.

Mr. Nelson asked if the new building constructed in 2016 was constructed without sprinklers. Mr. Schneider said that the new building did not have sprinklers and no utilities run to the building.

Mr. Wormley asked if the retention pond was wet or dry. Mr. Schneider responded that the retention pond is in existence and it is dry.

Ms. Wilson asked for clarification regarding the screening requirement. Mr. Asselmeier said that the restriction was listed as amended because the petitioner is proposing a landscaping plan that differs from the landscaping plan approved in 2016.

Mr. Schneider stated that residential uses were located on three (3) sides of the property.

Ms. Wilson asked if residents or neighbors submitted objections to the proposal. Mr. Asselmeier said that he received general questions about the proposal, but no objections.

Alzlisa Torre, Oswego, requested to see the aerial of the property and how the proposal will impact her property. Mr. Schneider showed Ms. Torre the aerial of the property and explained the proposed structures and vegetation locations in relation to her property. Ms. Torre’s property is located north of the proposal and none of the proposed buildings, vegetation or lights will impact her property because they are located on the opposite of the property. The property will be fenced.

Mr. Schneider indicated that he did not plan to make additional changes to the site plan in the near future.

Erika Dickens, Oswego, asked about the vegetation on the north side of the property. Mr. Schneider said that he might trim vegetation, but all of the proposed buildings and vegetation work will be on the opposite side of the property.

Mr. Schneider said that his office is located on the property and invited neighbors to come in and discuss any concerns they may have.

Mr. Schneider hopes to start work this fall.

Ms. Wilson asked if an onsite manager was available twenty-four (24) hours a day. Mr. Schneider said that an onsite manager lived in an apartment on the property.
Ms. Wilson made a motion to recommend approval of the petition as presented including the conditions recommended by Staff, seconded by Mr. Bledsoe.

Yes – Ashton, Bledsoe, Casey, Nelson, Rodriguez, Shaw, Wilson and Wormley (8)
No – None (0)
Absent – Zubko (1)

The motion passed. This proposal will go to the Special Use Hearing Officer on August 28, 2017 at 7:00 p.m.

OLD BUSINESS
None

NEW BUSINESS
Discussion of Special Uses within the A-1 Zoning District
Mr. Asselmeier read his memo on the subject. He provided a map of the A-1 zoned properties in Kendall County and the list of special uses currently listed in the A-1 zoning district.

Mr. Davidson suggested the uses listed in the A-1 district should be evaluated. He believed that the land along the major highways should be evaluated for different uses. In particular, he believed that the land along Route 47 in Lisbon Township should be reclassified as commercial because of the widening of Route 47.

Mr. Shaw advised that the widening of Route 47 throughout all of Kendall County will not occur in the near future due to the State’s financial situation.

Mr. Nelson agreed that the maps should be updated.

Discussion occurred regarding stakeholder meetings. Chairman Ashton advised having meetings with Lisbon Township, the Village of Lisbon and the Village of Plattville. Draft maps should be prepared and taken to stakeholder meetings.

The consensus of the Commission was that the issue of land use along Route 47 in southern Kendall County should be examined.

Discussion of Amending the Future Land Use Map for Properties Located Along Route 47 in Kendall and Lisbon Townships
Mr. Asselmeier read his memo on the subject.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD
Mr. Asselmeier reported that Petition 17-14 failed at the County Board. Petitions 17-15 and 17-20 both passed at the County Board. Petition 17-16 was tabled at the Planning, Building and Zoning Committee.

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD/ PUBLIC COMMENT
Vicki Schnabel, Yorkville, stated that her family is moving because of the banquet facility located across the street from her property. She favored distance requirements between businesses and residences in the A-1 district. She also expressed concerns about the noise restrictions placed on the banquet facility; the restrictions were too weak. Her family hears people talking next door, the music from next door and the bass from music.

Chairman Ashton asked if they received decibel readings at the property. Ms. Schnabel said that her family has not called the Sheriff’s Department.

Ms. Schnabel said that the berm and trees were inadequate to protect neighbors from noise and lights arising.
from the banquet facility or to preserve their privacy. Mr. Davidson explained that the height and width of the berm were not defined. Also, the type, number and location of trees were also not defined in the special use permit for the banquet facility across from her property.

Chairman Ashton asked if Ms. Schnabel had any suggestions for the noise ordinance.

Chairman Ashton asked about the procedure for handling noise complaints. Mr. Asselmeier responded that the Sheriff’s Department would prepare a report outlining the violation. The report would be forwarded to the Planning, Building and Zoning Committee to see if the Committee wanted to forward the complaint to the State’s Attorney’s Office. If a special use permit holder was guilty of violating the noise provisions in their special use permit, the County Board could revoke the special use permit.

Discussion occurred regarding having lower decibel requirements on future special use permits.

Mr. Davidson reported that the Planning, Building and Zoning Department researched machines for recording for decibels. Mr. Asselmeier stated that the company with the technology has not developed a waterproof device. The devices also did not have battery backup; there were electricity access issues.

Chairman Ashton gave Ms. Schnabel his phone number and the information from the Ad-Hoc Zoning Ordinance Committee regarding the noise regulations.

Chairman Ashton discussed the process of creating mining regulations.

**OTHER BUSINESS/ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Mr. Asselmeier reminded Commissioners that he emailed Plan Commission training information to them. This training is offered through the Illinois Chapter of the American Planning Association. If they would like to participate, they should contact the Planning, Building and Zoning Department.

Discussion occurred regarding the State’s Attorney’s opinion regarding forest preserve districts and zoning regulations. Ms. Wilson said she would do additional research on the topic.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Ms. Wilson made a motion, seconded by Mr. Shaw, to adjourn. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried. The Kendall County Regional Plan Commission meeting adjourned at 8:46 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,
Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP
Senior Planner